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Abstract

High-precision U-Pb zircon ages on SE Newfoundland tuffs now bracket the Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary. Upper Lower Cambrian Brigus Formation tuffs yield depositional ages of 507.91 ± 0.07 Ma (Callavia broggeri Zone) and 507.67 ± 0.08 Ma and 507.21 ± 0.13 Ma (Morocconus-Condylopyge eli Assemblage interval). Lower Middle Cambrian Chamberlain’s Brook Formation tuffs have depositional ages of 506.34 ± 0.21 Ma (Kiskinella cristata Zone) and 506.25 ± 0.07 Ma (Eccparadoxides bennetti Zone). The composite unconformity separating the Brigus and Chamberlain’s Brook formations is constrained between these ages. An Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary between 507.2 ± 0.1 and 506.3 ± 0.2 Ma is consistent with maximum depositional age constraints from southwest Laurentia, which indicate an age for the base of the Miaolingian Series, as locally interpreted, of ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma. The Miaolingian Series’ base is interpreted as correlative within ≤ 0.3 ± 0.3 Ma between Cambrian palaeocontinents, although its exact synchrony is questionable due to taxonomic problems with a possible Oryctocephalus indicus-plexus, invariable dysoxic lithofacies control of O. indicus and diachronous occurrence of O. indicus in temporally distinct δ13C chemozones in South China and SW Laurentia. The lowest occurrence of O. indicus assemblages is linked to onlap (epieorogenic or eustatic) of dysoxic facies. A united Avalonia is shown by late Early Cambrian volcanics in SW New Brunswick; Cape Breton Island; SE Newfoundland; and the Wrekin area, England. The new U-Pb ages revise Avalonian geological evolution as they show rapid epi-eogenetic changes through depositional sequences 4a–6.

1. Introduction

The Cambrian Period is Earth’s first interval with ecosystems dominated by eumetazoans, including diplo- and triploblastic animals, and metabionts (Valentine, 1994; Budd & Jensen, 2000). The replacement of the earlier Ediacaran biota by clades exhibiting most of life’s current disparity is commonly referred to as the ‘Cambrian Explosion’ (Cloud, 1948; Marshall, 2006). The recognition of this radiation in animals, including changes in their interaction with sedimentary substrates and the appearance of mid-water predation, biomineralization and modular coloniality (Landing et al. 2013, 2018), had its roots in the 19th century (Darwin, 1859) and matured in the mid to late 20th century (see reviews by Droser et al. 2002; Bengtson, 2004; Peterson et al. 2005; Selacher et al. 2005; Marshall, 2006). However, it is only in the last three decades that refinement of Ediacaran–Cambrian chronology has led to dramatic changes in our understanding of the rates of taxonomic succession in stratigraphic sections, ecological turnover, geochemical excursions and eustatic and epi-eogenetic changes through this key period in the co-evolution of Earth and life (Bowring et al. 1993; Bowring & Schmitz, 2005; Erwin, 2006). An accurate chronostratigraphy for the Cambrian Period has only gradually emerged since the mid-1990s, mainly through the application of U-Pb zircon geochronology of tuffs (Bowring et al. 1993; Davidek et al. 1998; Landing et al. 1998, 2000) coupled to biostratigraphic and carbon isotope chronostratigraphic correlation schemes (Maloof et al. 2005, 2010). A progressive ‘younging’ of the period has taken place as first noted by Landing et al. (1998), with an early estimated base at c. 570 Ma and top at c. 510 Ma (Harland et al. 1989), gradually refined through radioisotopic dating to c. 538 Ma (Bowring et al. 1993; Grotzinger et al. 1995; Amthor et al. 2003; Bowring et al. 2007; Linnemann et al. 2019; Landing et al. 2021). Early Cambrian (Terreneuvian and Series 2) chronostratigraphy has been constrained through a radioisotopically calibrated global carbon isotope chronostratigraphic age model (Landing et al. 1998, 2021; Maloof et al. 2005, 2010). However, there remains a paucity of radioisotopic anchors for the Middle...
U-Pb zircon dates from North American and British Avalonia bracket the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval

2. Geological setting

2.a. Avalonia as terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician microcontinent and terrane

Avalonia is a major tectonostratigraphic unit (i.e. terrane) extending from Rhode Island through Maritime Canada and (fragmented by subsequent opening of the Atlantic Ocean) southern Britain through Belgium. It forms the core of the Appalachian–Caledonian orogen, where its tectonically isolated fragments form inliers with characteristic uppermost Ediacaran–Ordovician sedimentary rock-dominated successions that are unconformable on Neoproterozoic and older basement (Fig. 2). The Avalon zone is bounded by fault-juxtaposed, coeval marine successions to the SE (Meguma zone, now brought to Avalonia) and what is regarded as a problematical ‘Gander Zone’ to the NW that corresponds to the traditional Appalachian ‘central mobile belt’ (e.g. review and references in Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023; Landing & Geyer, 2023; Landing et al. 2023). Two differing syntheses of early Avalonian evolution exist. The ‘Perigondwana paradigm’ emphasizes the Avalonian basin definition in the context of Avalonia and regards Avalonia as a fragment detached from Gondwana possibly in the Early Ordovician (e.g. Murphy et al. 2018, and references therein). The ‘Peribaltic synthesis’ uses the terminal Ediacaran–Cambrian cover sequence of some trilobite assemblages and constraining very rapid rates of epeirogenic uplift and subsidence driven by action along the Avalonian transform fault.

2.b. Avalonia of SE Newfoundland

SE Newfoundland is a standard of reference for Avalonian geological evolution, with ‘Avalonia’ named for the large Avalon Peninsula (Williams, 1964, 1969; Fig. 1). The cover sequence in SE Newfoundland and elsewhere in Avalonia is dominated by siliciclastic-dominated, limestone-poor, temperate latitude, shallow marine sedimentary rocks of the ‘Avalon Platform’ of Rast, O’Brien and Wardle (1976; see Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). Cover sequence deposition was controlled by terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician syndepositional faulting along the Avalon transform fault (Atf). By this model, a modern analog of Avalonia is the North Scotia Ridge (Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). The Avalonian basement may have formed close to Baltica by accumulation of crustal and oceanic fragments along the Avalonian transform fault (Atf). By this model, a modern analog of Avalonia is the North Scotia Ridge (Landing et al. 2022).

Across the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval are the focus of this contribution. They are significant for being consistent with the younger estimate for the base of the Miaolingian Epoch proposed by Karlstrom et al. (2020) on the basis of detrital zircon CA-IDTIMS maximum depositional ages from SW Laurentia and for assessing the diachrony of local occurrences of diagnostic Miaolingian trilobites linked to onlaps of dysoxic (likely OMZ) water masses onto several Cambrian palaeocontinent shelves. The new dates also have implications for the biostratigraphic utility of some trilobite assemblages and constraining very rapid rates of epeirogenic uplift and subsidence driven by action along the Avalonian transform fault.

2.a. Avalonia as terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician microcontinent and terrane

Avalonia is a major tectonostratigraphic unit (i.e. terrane) extending from Rhode Island through Maritime Canada and (fragmented by subsequent opening of the Atlantic Ocean) southern Britain through Belgium. It forms the core of the Appalachian–Caledonian orogen, where its tectonically isolated fragments form inliers with characteristic uppermost Ediacaran–Ordovician sedimentary rock-dominated successions that are unconformable on Neoproterozoic and older basement (Fig. 2). The Avalon zone is bounded by fault-juxtaposed, coeval marine successions to the SE (Meguma zone, now brought to Avalonia) and what is regarded as a problematical ‘Gander Zone’ to the NW that corresponds to the traditional Appalachian ‘central mobile belt’ (e.g. review and references in Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023; Landing & Geyer, 2023; Landing et al. 2023). Two differing syntheses of early Avalonian evolution exist. The ‘Perigondwana paradigm’ emphasizes the Avalonian basin definition in the context of Avalonia and regards Avalonia as a fragment detached from Gondwana possibly in the Early Ordovician (e.g. Murphy et al. 2018, and references therein). The ‘Peribaltic synthesis’ uses the terminal Ediacaran–Cambrian cover sequence that unconformably overlies a collage of Proterozoic blocks to define the Avalonia terrane and microcontinent (Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). The Avalonian basement may have formed close to Baltica by accumulation of crustal and oceanic fragments along the Avalonian transform fault (Atf). By this model, a modern analog of Avalonia is the North Scotia Ridge (Landing et al. 2022).

2.b. Avalonia of SE Newfoundland

SE Newfoundland is a standard of reference for Avalonian geological evolution, with ‘Avalonia’ named for the large Avalon Peninsula (Williams, 1964, 1969; Fig. 1). The cover sequence in SE Newfoundland and elsewhere in Avalonia is dominated by siliciclastic-dominated, limestone-poor, temperate latitude, shallow marine sedimentary rocks of the ‘Avalon Platform’ of Rast, O’Brien and Wardle (1976; see Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). Cover sequence deposition was controlled by terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician syndepositional faulting along the Avalon transform fault (Atf) (Landing, 1996; see references therein). The Perigondwana paradigm uses the terminal Ediacaran–Cambrian cover sequence of some trilobite assemblages and constraining very rapid rates of epeirogenic uplift and subsidence driven by action along the Avalonian transform fault (Atf). By this model, a modern analog of Avalonia is the North Scotia Ridge (Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023).
Figure 2. (Colour online) Geochronology and terminal Ediacaran–Lower Ordovician depositional sequence stratigraphy of Avalonian SE Newfoundland. Avalonian dates are in red. West–east cross-section of terminal Ediacaran–Lower Ordovician trans-Avalonian depositional sequences from Burin Peninsula (marginal platform) and east–west through Trinity–Conception bays (inner platform). Stratigraphy from Landing (1996; also Landing et al. 2017, 2022). Lowest occurrence (LO) of trilobites in Avalonia (Landing et al. 2013) and Siberia and Morocco (Landing et al. 2021). Early phyletic origin of trilobites (Paterson et al. 2019) preferred over c. 521 Ma date of Holmes and Budd (2022) who presumed heavy calcification in the definition of trilobites and left out the likelihood of weakly calcified Terreneuvian trilobites that may have produced Fortunian Cruziana and Rusophycus, as well as the collection artefact with the near absence of Terreneuvian soft-bodied fossil assemblages (Landing et al. 2013). U-Pb zircon dates: 483 Ma (Cape Breton Island, Landing et al. 1997); 486.8 Ma (North Wales, Landing et al. 2000); 488.7 Ma (North Wales, Davidse et al. 1998); 494.36 Ma (Arizona, U. S., Cothren et al. 2022, revises Peng et al. 2012, of 497 Ma estimate); 506.25 Ma (Germany, Landing et al. 2020); 507.91, 507.67, 507.62 Ma (this report); 508.25 ± 2.75 Ma (New Brunswick, Landing et al. 1998, recalculated by Schmitz, 2020); 507.91, 514.45 Ma (England, Harvey et al. 2011); 517.2 Ma (England, Williams et al. 2013); 526.43 Ma (South China, Yang et al. In Press); 528 Ma (New Brunswick, Isachsen et al. 1994, recalculated by Schmitz, 2020); 538 Ma (Namibia, Linnemann et al. 2019, reevaluated by Landing et al. 2021). ‘Young’ Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary (c. 533 Ma; Nelson et al. 2023) requires reevaluation (E. Landing & U. Linnemann, unpub. data). Abbreviations: Bk., Brook; Cham., Chamberlain’s; D., depositional; Dap. St., Dapingian Stage; Formation, Formation; Group, Group; *LO, lowest occurrences of trilobites; Mbr, Member; M., Middle; O., Ordovician; seq., sequence; W.C.C., West Centre Cove.
characterized this strike-slip regime (e.g. Noda, 2013). In addition, the production of coeval, minor, extensional and compressive igneous rocks including subaerial flows and tuffs in Avalonian sedimentary rocks (Figs. 3–6; Supplementary Appendix 1) has analogues in other transform fault settings as along the North Anatolian fault (Landing et al., 2022, In press). Volcanogenic sandstones, tuffs, local volcanic edifices and intrusives common in the lower and upper parts of an Ads (Landing et al., 2022). Landing et al. (2022, see section 16.5) discussed the seemingly peculiar association of coeval Na-alkalic tholeitic volcanics and intrusives with an extensional signature accompanying a silicic to mafic calc-alkaline suite with a collisional signature along the NW margin of Avalonia. This does not record different terranes (i.e. ‘Ganderia’ vs Avalonia) as concluded by some reports (e.g. Barr & White, 1996; Barr et al., 2003; Barr et al. 2014a, 2014b; vanh Rooyen et al. 2019).

Rather, as noted above, it is interpreted to show coeval development of local collisional and extensional regimes along a transform fault.

Eustatic changes accompanied development of terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician Ads alternations, but the dominant control was likely epeirogenic (e.g. Landing et al., 2022, and references therein). This is seen by SE depocentre migration and narrow fault-defined NNE-trending depocentres, fracturing of the Avalonian basement with cover sequence onlap, and prominent volcanism at the top and base of some Ads alternations (i.e. base of Ads 8 and 9).

2.b. Ads succession and Lower–Middle Cambrian stratigraphy in SE Newfoundland

Transstensional faulting defined a marginal platform along NW Avalonia from Rhode Island to southern Britain, preserving the oldest (Ediacaran to early Terreneuvian/Lower Cambrian) sedimentary cover rocks (Fig. 2). By comparison, the Avalonian inner platform, the area that yielded the tuffs of this report, has a massive middle Terreneuvian white quartzite or younger units as the oldest Cambrian onlap deposit, with upper Lower Cambrian units such as the Brigus Formation locally overlying the Ediacaran basement (Landing, 1996; Landing et al., 2022). Movement on the Atf led to coordinated faulting shown by regional extensive Avalonian depositional sequences (Ads). Ten pre–Floian Ads alternations are recognized in North American (Landing, 1996; Landing et al., 2022; Landing & Westrop, 2023) and British Avalonia (Landing, 1996; Rees et al., 2014; Landing et al., 2022; Landing et al., 2023).

Ads alternations are shoaling-up sequences (Fig. 2) that comprise member- or formation-level stratigraphic units. The base of an Ads is a diachronous unconformity that may show tens of local collisional and extensional regimes along a transform fault. Rather, as noted above, it is interpreted to show coeval development of local collisional and extensional regimes along a transform fault.

Eustatic changes accompanied development of terminal Ediacaran–Ordovician Ads alternations, but the dominant control was likely epeirogenic (e.g. Landing et al., 2022, and references therein). This is seen by SE depocentre migration and narrow fault-defined NNE-trending depocentres, fracturing of the Avalonian basement with cover sequence onlap, and prominent volcanism at the top and base of some Ads alternations (i.e. base of Ads 8 and 9).

2.c. Lower–Middle Cambrian stratigraphy in SE Newfoundland

The trilobite-bearing, traditional Avalonian upper Lower–lower Middle Cambrian on the SE Newfoundland inner platform comprises the siliciclastic mudstone-dominated Brigus and Chamberlain’s Brook formations (Figs. 2, 3). The member-level subdivisions of these formations used herein (Landing, 1996; Landing & Westrop, 1998a) follow recommendations on nomenclatural in the international and North American stratigraphic codes (Salvador, 1994; North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005). The members are senior synonyms of those proposed by Fletcher (2006), who did not reference existing nomenclature and stratigraphic syntheses (e.g. Landing, 1996; Landing & Westrop, 1998a). Fletcher (2006) commonly relied on non-lithologic, supposed ‘separation planes’ to define the members (Westrop & Landing, 2011) and did not recognize trans-Avalonian depositional sequence unconformities that are geochronologically and epeirogenically significant (e.g. Landing, 1996; Rees et al., 2014).

2.c.1. Brigus Formation and St. Mary’s Member

The lower Brigus Formation is the St. Mary’s Member (Ads 4a), which has the lowest Avalonian trilobites. The trilobites commonly occur in a thin (up to 2 m) pinkish backstone (‘Clifton’ and later ‘Broad Cove’ members of Fletcher, 2003, 2006) that unconformably overlies the sub-trilobitic Fosters’ Point Formation (e.g. Landing et al., 2022; Figs. 2, 3). The St. Mary’s Member, with rare tuffs (Figs. 3, 4), corresponds to the Callavia Zone (e.g. Hutchinson, 1962) or C. broeggeri Zone (e.g. Landing & Westrop, 1998a; Fletcher, 2006) and includes Fletcher’s (2006) ‘bed 1’ of his lower ‘Redland Cove Member’ (Figs. 3, 4). Callavia broeggeri is poorly known, not least because it is a large trilobite and, in our experience, specimens are often fragmentary and difficult to identify to the species level with confidence. Hutchinson (1962) and Fletcher (2006) reported Callavia from the top of the traditional Smith Point Formation, which is now assigned to the base of the St. Mary’s Member (Landing & Benus, 1988; Landing & Westrop, 1998b; Westrop & Landing, 2011), but no specimens were illustrated from this level. Only a few Callavia sclerites have been figured photographically to date from the Brigus Formation in SE Newfoundland (Hutchinson, 1962) and Fletcher et al. (2008) has presented elsewhere, but restudy of Hutchinson’s (1962, pl. 24, Figs. 7–14) and Fletcher et al. (2008, Fig. 5.3, 5.5, 5.6) specimens and new material suggest there may be two species in the St. Mary’s Member (Westrop & Landing, unpublished data). The range of the genus in the St. Mary’s Member is reasonably well constrained, but species’ ranges should be used with caution. In this paper, the Callavia Zone refers to a unit essentially tied to the range of the genus, as in Avalonian Britain, whereas the C. broeggeri Zone is based on the first appearance of the eponymous species and may well represent only part of the Callavia Zone.

Fletcher (2006) did not provide a complete taxonomic composition of his Brigus Formation trilobite zones. However, he equated the C. broeggeri Zone with what he called ‘Unit 1’ of his Redland Cove Member. Our field work (by EL) shows that the top of his Unit 1 in the type section of his Redland Cove Member (Fletcher, 2006, Fig. 13) is a nodular limestone with a cap of calcareous sandstone. This is comparable to the cap of the C. broeggeri Zone in the Smith Point section and is thus interpreted to correlate with the basal Jigging Cove Member at the Smith Point section (Fig. 5, see section 4.2.3). In Fletcher’s (2006) sections, the base of the Jigging Cove Member (Ads 4b) corresponds to the first appearance of taxa of his ‘S. sabulosa Zone’ (see Fig. 5;
Figure 3. (Colour online) Red Bridge Road section through quarries on south side of Red Bridge Road. Manuels River Formation and MacLean Brook Group truncated by Pleistocene ice-shove thrusts. Upper–Lower Cambrian Callavia broeggeri Zone and lower–Middle Cambrian Eccaparadoxides bennetti Zone ashes separated stratigraphically by 6.05 m and bracket Ads 4a–6 unconformity at 47° 28’ 58” N, 53° 00’ 34” W. Key: 1, cover; 2, siliceous mudstone, locally calcareous (with symbol); 3, quartz sand laminae; 4, interbedded mudstone and quartz sandstone; 5, quartz sandstone; 6, calcareous nodules; 7, methanogenic (sideritic) nodule; 8, bedded limestone; 9, manganese nodules (black); phosphatic clasts (red); 10, ooids and pisolites; 11, manganeseiferous (M), glauconite sand and clasts (G), pyritic (P); 12, lingulate brachiopods (left) and trilobites (centre and right); 13, burrows; 14, Coleoloides typicalis tubes, as bioclasts (left) and in situ and vertical (centre), hyoliths (right); 15, low SH-V stromatolites (right) and trans-Avalonian mud mound with in situ C. typicalis tubes is cap of Ads 3 (Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2022); 16, unconformity; 17, tabular cross-sets; 18, hydrated Holyrood intrusive suite (Sparkes et al. 2021); 19, tuffs; 20, red to purplish red (r); 21, pink to brownish red (br); 22, green; 23, purple with/without greenish streaks. Abbreviations: Ads, Avalonian depositional sequence; B’tree, Braintree; C., Cavendish Formation (feather edge); Cham. Bk., Chamberlain’s Brook; E. e., Eccaparadoxides eteminicus; F., Fauna; F. Bk., Fossil Brook; Formation, Formation; Group, Group; M. hicksii, Mawddachites hicksii; Mbr, Member; M. C., Middle Cambrian; St., Stage; Subsys., Subsystem; Z., Zone. Laolingian Stage; Lenaldanian Series and Zhurinskyan Stage proposed by Landing et al. (2013).
Myopostrenua cf. M. sabulosa Fauna; Supplementary Appendix 2 has a brief discussion of the species).

2.c.2. Upper Brigus Formation and Jigging Cove Member

The Jigging Cove Member is equivalent to the ‘jigging Cove’ and ‘Branch Cove’ members of Fletcher (2006; see Landing & Westrop, 1998b; Westrop & Landing, 2011, Fig. 2). This member is largely or completely absent in eastern Conception Bay (Fig. 1), unconformably overlies the St. Mary’s Member and comprises the upper Lower Cambrian of SE Newfoundland (Ads 4b). A distinctive conglomeratic limestone at the top of the St. Mary’s Member and ‘bed 1’ of Fletcher (2006) marks the appearance of the Myopostrenua cf. M. sabulosa Assemblage at the unconformable base of the Jigging Cove Member (Ads 4b; Landing, 1996; Landing & Westrop, 1998b; Westrop & Landing, 2011, Figs. 2; 5; Supplementary Appendix 2). The upper bounding unconformity with the lower Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (Ads 5) shows subaerial exposure (i.e. bleaching and caliche) and erosional truncation of the Brigus Formation (Figs. 5, 6). With a Morocconus-Condylopyge eli Assemblage at its top (Fig. 6 and figure caption), the Jigging Cove has the highest known Avalonian Lower Cambrian faunas.

2.c.3. Chamberlain’s Brook Formation – Braintree Member

The Braintree Member is an unconformity-bounded, siliciclastic mudstone-dominated interval (Ads 6) that comprises the majority of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (Figs. 2, 3). Whether in its type area in eastern Massachusetts (Geyer & Landing, 2001) or in SE Newfoundland, no local or regional basis exists for a consistent lithologic subdivision of the Braintree Member. Thus, the Braintree includes a succession of Fletcher’s (2006) members: ‘Wester Cove,’ ‘Waterfall Cove,’ ‘Big Gully,’ ‘Head Cove’ and most of the ‘Cape Shore’ (previously ‘Deep Cove’ Member of Fletcher, 2003). This interval brackets the Acadoparadoxides harlani–Eccaparadoxides bennetti s.l. zones (Landing & Westrop, 1998a, 1998b; Landing et al. 2022, 2023).

2.c.3. Chamberlain’s Brook Formation – Fossil Brook Member

Whether in SW New Brunswick; SE Newfoundland; or the Nuneaton area, England, the top of the Braintree Member and correlatives (i.e. upper Purley Shales) is capped by a thin (to 8 m) greenish mudstone-dominated interval (Ads 7) with basal trilobite pachstone beds that unconformably overlie Ads 6. This is the top of the Chamberlain’s’ Brook Formation (Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2023). Ads 7 across North American Avalonia is the Fossil Brook Member with an Eccaparadoxides etemnicus Zone fauna (e.g. Kim et al. 2002). The basal limestone of Ads 7 was locally termed the ‘St. Mary’s Limestone’ within the ‘Deep Cove Member’ by Fletcher (2006). However, Fletcher’s (2006) ‘St. Mary’s (abandoned) is a junior homonym of the St. Mary’s Member of the Braintree Formation of Landing & Westrop, 1998a, 1998b). The greenish mudstones of the Fossil Brook Member are unconformably and diachronously overlain by the black Manuels River Formation (Ads 8; Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2023; Figs. 2, 3).

3. Lower-Middle Cambrian locales with zircon-bearing tuffs

3.a. Red Bridge Road

3.a.1. Location and stratigraphy

This unmetamorphosed, gently east-dipping Lower–Middle Cambrian succession is along the south side of Red Bridge Road
Figure 5. Smith Point section: upper Lower–Middle Cambrian (upper Terreneuvian–lower Miaolingian) succession from Smith Point and west to cover; Lower–Middle Cambrian bracketed by dated ashes at 48° 11' 59" N, 53° 52' 15" W. Key and abbreviations in Fig. 3.
Middle Cambrian Subsystem

Maotianshanian Series

Wuliuan Stage

Chamberlain’s Brook Formation

Prescottian Member

Easter Cove Member

Kiskinella cristata Zone

Jigging Cove Member

Glassy Surfaces Member

Morocconus-Condylopyge eli Assemblage

Acadoparadoxides harlani Zone

Brigus Formation

Landing and Westrop (1998a, 1998b) unit H

Dated ash: 507.21 ± 0.13 Ma

ReBr-118.5 (1 cm ash)

Subaerial exposure at the Ads 4a-S contact is shown by bleaching (bl) and caliche (cal.) with a fine-grained cryptic plasmic fabric (e.g., Allen, 1986) at the top of the Brigus. Symbols explained in Fig. 3 caption. Abbreviation: A. h. Z., Acadoparadoxides harlani Zone.

Morocconus (i.e., Cephalopyge notabilis of Fletcher, 2006) and Condylopyge eli from units B-H allows interval 6’s reference to a Morocconus-Condylopyge eli Assemblage (also Fig. 11). This assemblage name is applied as only one specimen of C. notabilis has been figured without discussion (Fletcher, 2006, pl. 27, figs. 33, 34), and a cautious taxonomic approach is taken. Detailed correlation between Branch and Redland coves shows 5 m of differential erosion at the Ads 4b-S (i.e., Brigus-Chamberlain’s Brook formation) contact. Thus, the dated tuff (ReBr-118.5) correlates with the top of Fletcher (2006) unit H. Subaerial exposure at the Ads 4a-S contact is shown by bleaching (bl) and caliche (cal.) with a fine-grained cryptic plasmic fabric (e.g., Allen, 1986) at the top of the Brigus. Symbols explained in Fig. 3 caption. Abbreviation: A. h. Z., Acadoparadoxides harlani Zone.
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It is exposed in a series of four small quarries used for road metal and fill (Landing & Benus, 1988; Landing & Westrop, 1998a; Landing et al. 2017; Sparkes et al. 2021; Fig. 3). Red Bridge Road is a dirt track that intersects Rte. 60. The quarries are c. 7 km west of Rte. 60. The section extends for c. 300 m along Red Bridge Road. The Red Bridge Road succession is referable to the Avalonian inner platform because a relatively high Lower Cambrian unit, the Brigus Formation, nonconformably overlies Proterozoic basement (Figs. 2, 3, early Ediacaran Holyrood granite). The upper Red Bridge Road section includes the Middle Cambrian Chamberlain’s Brook Formation, Manuels River Formation and MacLean Brook Group.

3.0.2. Brigus Formation at Red Bridge Road
The lowest Brigus Formation at Red Bridge Road is 25 cm of neomorphic, pink lime mudstone with planar cyanobacterial mats, oncoids and wave-oriented Coleoloides typicalis tubes in the easternmost quarry. As elsewhere in Avalonia, such shallow-water facies commonly lack trilobites (e.g. Landing & Westrop, 2004). The lower 10.75 m of the Brigus in the easternmost quarry consists dominantly of red brown with purple and green siliciclastic mudstone with trace fossils but no body fossils. The lack of trilobites in these lower mudstones may reflect continued shallow-water, oxic mudstone deposition known through the Avalonian terminal Ediacaran–Cambrian succession with low abundance of trilobites in the shallowest facies (e.g. Myrow & Landing, 1992;
Figure 8. *Parasolenopleura* cf. *P.* *ouangondiana* (Hart in Dawson, 1868), Braintree Member, Chamberlain’s Brook Formation, Red Bridge Road quarry, Kelligrews, Newfoundland, collection RBCB-38. Scale bars = 2 mm. (a–c) cranidium, NBMG 23036, dorsal, anterior and lateral views, x10. (d–f) cranidium, NBMG 23037, anterior, dorsal, and lateral views, x9.5. (See Supplementary Appendix 2 for Systematic Palaeontology)

Figure 9. *Myopsostrenua* cf. *M.* *sabulosa* Rushton (1966), Jigging Cove Member, Brigus Formation, Smith Point, western Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, collection SPBr-24 (a, b) and St Mary’s Bay, Newfoundland, collection ReBr-61.7 (c–e). Scale bars = 2 mm. (a) cranidium, NBMG 23031, dorsal view, x3.75. (b) cranidium, NBMG 23032, dorsal view, x3.5. (c, d) cranidium, NBMG 23038, dorsal view, x6. (e) cranidium, NBMG 23039, dorsal view, x5. *Mallagnostus* cf. *M.* *illarenai* (Richter & Richter, 1941) Jigging Cove Member, Brigus Formation, Smith Point, western Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, collection SPBr-40.95. (f) pygidium, NBMG 23033, dorsal view, x9. (See Supplementary Appendix 2 for Systematic Palaeontology)
Figure 10. (Colour online) Concordia diagrams and ranked $^{187}\text{Pb}^{206}\text{U}$ age plots for zircons from tuffs of the Brigus Formation and lower Chamberlain’s Brook Formation. Zircon ages were measured by CA-ID-TIMS.
Landing & Westrop, 2004) and with dissolution of trilobite sclerites in non-calcareous mud. Trilobite fragments appear at 10.75 m. The 14.7–21 m interval in the second quarry features Callavia broeggeri Zone assemblages with a 4 cm thick K-bentonite (RBBR-26.5) at 26.5 m (Fig. 4). These assemblages include the eponymous species, Serrodiscus bellimarginatus (Shaler & Foerste), Strenuella strenua (Billings; see Fig. 7 and Supplementary Appendix 2), Triangulaspis vigilans (Matthew) and Hebediscus planus (Hutchinson). These taxa enter the succession with appearance of red shales with bedded limestones and calcareous nodules and may reflect a somewhat deeper mudstone facies and non-dissolution of trilobite (including eodiscoid) sclerites in more calcareous muds.

The Brigus Formation above a massive limestone (18.45–19.5 m, trilobite sample at 19.0 m) is dominated by sparsely fossiliferous, light green to olive siliciclastic mudstone. Trilobite-bearing calcareous nodules with C. broeggeri cranidia occur in the lower 2.5 m of these green mudstones, with structureless (burrow-churned) mudstones characterizing the upper Brigus Formation and ranging up to an unconformity at 31.35 m with the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation on the Brigus Formation.

These lithologies and presence of a Callavia broeggeri Zone assemblage indicate that the uppermost Brigus Formation at Red Bridge Road comprises only the St. Mary’s Member (Ads 4a) on the Avalonian inner platform (Fig. 2). At the nearby section at the Manuels River bridge (Landing & Westrop, 1998a, stop 2; Fig. 2, loc. MR), uppermost Brigus Formation mudstones feature a massive, phosphatized, intraclast pebble wackestone (15.5–16.0 m) bed (Dale, 1915, p. 380). This bed forms a pavement in the Manuels River at its first easterly bed. It is only 1.2 m below the unconformable base of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (Ads 6), which is a manganiferous, stromatolithic, dolomitic green siltstone (Howell, 1925, bed 1). This conglomeratic bed is the base of an erosively thinned Jigging Cove Member (Ads 4b) and marks the Ads 4a–4b sequence boundary (Landing & Westrop, 1998a, p. 24).

These observations and correlations are thus taken as evidence that the Brigus Formation is truncated at Red Bridge Road under the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation because the Jigging Cove Member (Ads 4b) is absent. As a result, the 4 cm tuff (sample RBBR-26.5; Fig. 4) intercalated 3 m above the highest Callavia broeggeri Zone fossils is assigned tentatively to that zone due to the absence of the Jigging Cove Member, and as the St. Mary’s Member does not extend above the C. broeggeri Zone in SE Newfoundland (discussed below). The U-Pb depositional age for RBBR-26.5 is thus high in the upper Lower Cambrian (within Ads 4a), but is not uppermost Lower Cambrian (Fig. 2).

### 3.0.3. Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at Red Bridge Road

The base of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at 31.35 m in the Red Bridge Road section is at the east end of the second quarry and lies within green siliciclastic mudstone. An unconformable base of the Chamberlain’s Brook on the Brigus Formation is marked by the appearance of manganiferous carbonate nodules and manganese-impregnated LLH (laterally linked hemispheroid) mats 65 cm higher in the section. As noted by Howell (1925) at Manuels River, the lower Chamberlain’s Brook is poorly fossiliferous, and body fossils are limited to inarticulate brachiopods and paradoxid fragments that he and Fletcher (2006, p. 74) brought to Eccaparadoxides bennetti. Diverse trilobites occur higher in the Fossil Brook Member (Ads 7) that forms the uppermost Chamberlain’s Brook at the eastern end of the third quarry and comprise the Eccaparadoxides etemincus Zone assemblage (Landing & Westrop, 1998a, p. 20; Kim et al. 2002).
Two important beds occur in the burrow-homogenized, green siliciclastic mudstone with scattered manganiferous and calcareous nodules that forms most of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation on Red Bridge Road. The first is a 5 cm thick tuff 1.2 m above the base of the formation (sample RBCB-1.2). The second is a 25 cm thick trilobite packstone bed 38 m above the base of the Chamberlain’s Brook. This packstone forms the base of the third quarry west of the Precambrian-Brigus contact and yielded a small trilobite assemblage with ‘Parasolenoplocus’ cf. ‘P. ouangondiana’ (Hartt in Dawson; Fig. 8, Supplementary Appendix 2). The species supplies limited biostratigraphic control other than it resembles topotype East Trinity axes (Landing et al., 2006; Fletcher, 2006; Landing et al., 2006) further west and SW along the Placentia Cambrian Easter Cove Member (Ads 5; Figs. 2, 5, 6), which occurs to bright red and green striped mudstones of the lowest Middle Cambrian (Figs. 2, 5, 6). The lowest beds of the K-bentonite in the lowest Chamberlain s Brook Formation at Red Bridge Road are therefore assigned to the Braintree Member (Ads 6) and probably lie well below the fossiliferous Fossil Brook Member (Ads 7) at the top of the Chamberlain’s Brook (Landing & Westrop, 1998a, p. 20; Fig. 2).

3.0a. Sub-Chamberlain’s Brook unconformity at Red Bridge Road
Additional data refine the bio- and lithostratigraphic correlation of the K-bentonite in the lowest Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at Red Bridge Road, specifically the absence of highly manganiferous, purple to bright red and green striped mudstones of the lowest Middle Cambrian Easter Cove Member (Ads 5; Figs. 2, 5, 6), which occurs further west and SW along the Placentia–Bonavista and St. Mary’s–East Trinity axes (Landing, 1996; Fletcher, 2006; Landing et al., 2022; Landing et al., 2023). Furthermore, the oldest trilobites from the lowest Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at the nearby Manuels River section (Fig. 1, locality MB) were referred to the traditional Ecapsaradoxides bennettzi Zone by Howell (1925). This conclusion was reaffirmed by Fletcher (2006, p. 74) who reported E. bennetti and Agraulus affinis in the lowest Chamberlain’s Brook at Manuels River and in sections along southern Trinity and Conception bays (e.g. also Hutchinson, 1962, section 3, bed 39; section 10, bed 71). Fletcher (2006) followed Landing (1996) and Landing and Westrop (1998a, 1998b) in concluding that the lower Chamberlain’s Brook, or ‘unnamed member’ of Landing (1996; Landing & Westrop, 1998a, 1998b), and Easter Cove Member of Fletcher (2006) are present in St. Mary’s and western Trinity bays but absent in Conception Bay. The available data suggest that a U-Pb zircon date on the RBCB-1.2 tuff of the lowest Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at Red Bridge Road is not earliest Middle Cambrian. The age is an upper bracket on the composite Ads 4a–6 hiatus. The best interpretation of this age is based in part on absence of the lithologically distinctive Easter Cove Member that ranges into the Acasparadoxides hartleri Zone of the basal Chamberlain’s Brook (see Fletcher et al., 2005; Fletcher, 2006; Figs. 2, 3, 6). In addition, the presence of an unillustrated lower Ecapsaradoxides bennettzi Zone assemblage in the lowest Chamberlain’s Brook at the nearby Manuels River section (Howell 1925; Fletcher 2006) suggests that the best correlation of the RBCB-1.2 tuff is into the E. bennettzi Zone.

3.b. Branch and Redland coves
3.b.1 Location and stratigraphy
Branch and Redland coves lie on the west side of St. Mary’s Bay at the southern point of the SE Avalon Peninsula (Fig. 1, loc. Bn and Re). Landing and Westrop (1998b) described and figured a section exposed in sea cliffs on the north side of Branch Cove, two kilometres east of Branch Village (Figs. 1, 6; Supplementary Appendix 1, loc. Bn). The section extends for about a kilometre along the coast and includes the upper Jigging Cove Member (top Ads 4b) of the Brigus Formation, unconformably overlain by the East Cove Member of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (base Ads 5).

The strata of the upper Jigging Cove Member are assigned to the uppermost Lower Cambrian upper Morocconus-Condyloplege eli Assemblage, following the report of Fletcher (2006) on his ‘bed’ 6 and our own collections in the same interval. Important for global correlation, Fletcher (2006) reports rare Oryctocara granulata specimens (two pygidia, one cranidium) in his ‘layer H’ of ‘bed 6’ within 1 m of the unconformity with the overlying lower Easter Cove Member (Ads 5) of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation, with an Avalonian lowest Middle Cambrian Kiskinella cristata Zone fauna (Fig. 6). These litho- and biostratigraphic controls suggest that the hiatus between uppermost Lower Cambrian (Brigus Formation) and lowermost Middle Cambrian (Chamberlain’s Brook Formation) strata is at a minimum in this section.

Although zircons were not recovered from tuffs sampled at Branch Cove, these strata can be matched in detail with those of nearby Redland Cove (Fig. 6; see Westrop & Landing, 2011, Appendix 4 for a detailed log). The Redland Cove section lies on the east side of Redland Point, between Point Lance village and the ecological reserve at Cape St. Mary’s. The Brigus Formation is exposed from the tip of Redland Point to the shore, with manganese-nodule-bearing green mudstones of the lowermost Chamberlain’s Brook Formation exposed to the east at the end of the highest shore cliffs. At Redland Cove, a tuff (ReBr-118.5) occurs in the uppermost Jigging Cove Member, six metres below the unconformity (Fig. 6), at a horizon correlative to upper layer ‘H’ of Fletcher (2006) at Branch Cove. The depositional age of this tuff (c. 507.21 Ma) thus constrains the highest Lower Cambrian strata (i.e. Ads 4b) in SE Newfoundland.

3.c. Smith point
3.c.1. Location and stratigraphy
The Smith Point succession on the north shore of Smith Sound is one of the earliest documented and most famous Cambrian outcrops in SE Newfoundland (e.g. Matthew, 1899; Walcott, 1900; Fig. 1, loc. SP). This weakly metamorphosed inner platform sequence overlies Random Formation quartzites further east along Smith Sound at Clifton village (Fig. 2). The gently west-dipping section (Fig. 5) is measured from just east of the wharf at Smith Point hamlet (Landing & Benus, 1988; Landing & Westrop, 1998a; Landing et al., 2017). The Ads 3–4a unconformity and base of the Brigus shows 15 m of relief on the top of the sub-trilobitic Bonavista Group (top of Fosters Point Formation). The lowest trilobite-bearing, 1.3 m thick limestone bed of the Brigus Formation crops out under the wharf (Fig. 5).

Poorly preserved trilobites (Callavia, Strenuella strenua; collection SPBr-0.6) at the base of the St. Mary’s Member of the Brigus Formation (i.e. Ads 4a) are assigned to the Callavia broeggeri Zone, but the rest of the member is barren. A 60 cm thick green, glauconitic calcareous sandstone with limestone and phosphatic pebbles is the base of the Jigging Cove Member of the Brigus Formation and forms the Ads 4a–4b boundary (Landing & Westrop, 1998a). Poorly preserved crania of Myopsostrenua cf. M. sabulosa (Rushston) appear immediately above the sandstone
U-Pb zircon dates from North American and British Avalonia bracket the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval

4. U-Pb zircon geochronology of SE Newfoundland tuffs

4.a. Analytical methods

Abundant populations of relatively small (c. 100–200 μm in long dimension), equant to elongate prismatic zircon crystals (Supplementary Fig. 1) were separated from bulk bentonite samples with an ultrasonic clay separator (Hoke et al. 2014), followed by conventional density and magnetic methods. The entire zircon separate was placed in a muffle furnace at 900 °C for 60 hours in quartz beakers to anneal minor radiation damage. Annealing enhances cathodoluminescence (CL) emission (Nasdala et al. 2002) and prepares the crystals for subsequent chemical abrasion (Mattinson, 2005). Following annealing, individual sharply faceted, high aspect ratio grains with axial melt inclusions indicative of rapid subvolcanic growth were hand-picked for isotopic analysis.

U-Pb geochronology methods for chemical abrasion isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (CA-IDTIMS) follow those published by Macdonald et al. (2018). Zircon crystals are subjected to a modified version of the chemical abrasion method of Mattinson (2005), whereby single crystals are individually abraded in a single step with concentrated HF at 190 °C for 12 hours. The remaining residual crystals were thoroughly rinsed before spiking with the ET2355 tracer, with complete dissolution at 220 °C for 48 hours, followed by ion chromatographic purification of U and Pb and isotope ratio analysis by thermal ionization mass spectrometry. U-Pb dates and uncertainties for each analysis were calculated using the algorithms of Schmitz & Schoene (2007) and the U decay constants of Jaffey et al. (1971; Supplementary Table 1). Uncertainties are based upon non-systematic analytical errors, including counting statistics, instrumental fractionation, tracer subtraction and blank subtraction. These error estimates should be considered when comparing our 206Pb/238U dates with those from other laboratories that used tracer solutions calibrated against the EARTHTIME gravimetric standards. When comparing our dates with those derived from other decay schemes (e.g. 40Ar/39Ar, 187Re-187Os), the uncertainties in tracer calibration (0.03%; Condon et al. 2015; McLean et al. 2015) and U decay constants (0.108%; Jaffey et al. 1971) should be added to the internal error in quadrature. Quoted errors for calculated weighted means are thus of the form ±X(Y)[Z], where X is solely analytical uncertainty; Y is the combined analytical and tracer uncertainty; and Z is the combined analytical, tracer and 238U decay constant uncertainty.

4.b. Results

4.b.1 Sample RBBR-26.5

The heavy mineral separate for sample RBBR-26.5 from the St. Mary’s Member of the Brigus Formation at Red Bridge Road contains a dominant subpopulation of sharply faceted, equant to elongate prismatic crystals and subordinate subrounded crystals indicative of post-depositional reworking and holoclastic contamination (Supplementary Fig. 1). A total of eleven grains were selected for CA-IDTIMS analysis on the basis of sharply faceted morphology and high aspect. Five of these grains yielded a range in dates from 552 to 528 Ma and are interpreted as reworked detrital material. Six crystals that were distinguished by the presence of melt inclusions all produced concordant and equivalent isotope ratios, with a weighted mean 206Pb/238U date of 507.91 ± 0.07 (0.16) [0.55] Ma (Z = 0.22). Given the reproducibility of these zircon crystals with morphologies and melt inclusions typical of rapid growth in the subvolcanic magma system, this date may be interpreted as closely approximating the volcanic eruption and depositional age in the late Early Cambrian (Fig. 10; Supplementary Table 1).

4.b.2. Sample RBCB-1.2

Sample RBCB-1.2 from the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation at Red Bridge Road produced a mineral separate dominated by sharply faceted morphologies, high aspect and the presence of melt inclusions (Supplementary Fig. 1). Eight single grains analysed by CA-IDTIMS produced concordant and equivalent isotope ratios, with a weighted mean 206Pb/238U date of 506.25 ± 0.07 (0.16) [0.55] Ma (Z = 0.64). Given the reproducibility of these zircon crystals with morphologies typical of rapid growth in the subvolcanic magma system, this date (Fig. 10; Supplementary Table 1) may also be interpreted as closely approximating the
volcanic eruption and depositional age in the early Middle Cambrian.

4.b.3. Sample SPBr-105.75
A total of nine zircon grains from sample SPBr-105.75 from the Jigging Cove Member of the Brigus Formation in the Smith Point succession were selected for CA-IDTIMS analysis from a mineral separate dominated by prismatic apatite with subordinate sharply faceted zircon crystals (Supplementary Fig. 1). Three of these grains yielded a range in dates from 530.3 to 528.9 Ma and are interpreted as reworked detrital material. Six crystals that were distinguished by a more equant habit and the presence of abundant melt and mineral inclusions all produced concordant and equivalent isotope ratios, with a weighted mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U date of 507.67 ± 0.08 (0.17) [0.55] Ma (2s; MSWD = 1.26) (Supplementary Table 1). Given the reproducibility of these zircon crystals with morphologies and melt inclusions typical of rapid growth in the subvolcanic magma system, this date may be interpreted as closely approximating the volcanic eruption and depositional age in the latest Early Cambrian (Figs. 5, 10).

4.b.4. Sample SPCB-4.4
The mineral separate from sample SPCB-4.4 from the Easter Cove Member of the lowermost Chamberlain’s Brook Formation in the Smith Point succession (Fig. 5) yielded a minor amount of small, colourless, prismatic, equant zircon crystals. Of eight crystals prepared for chemical abrasion, two did not yield enough radiogenic Pb and U to produce reliable results, and a third grain yielded a Terreneuvian date attributed to inheritance. The remaining five crystals produced concordant and equivalent isotope ratios, with a weighted mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U date of 506.34 ± 0.21 (0.25) [0.60] Ma (2s; MSWD = 0.08). Although the small size and low U content of these zircons produced less precise analyses (Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary Table 1), the resulting interpreted eruption and depositional age is slightly older than that of sample RCB-1.2, consistent with its lower stratigraphic position within the lowest Easter Cove Member of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (Figs. 5, 10).

4.b.5. Sample ReBr-118.5
Only a few dozen small, prismatic, slightly elongate zircon grains were obtained from a very barite-rich mineral separate from sample ReBr-118.5 of the uppermost Jigging Cove Member of the upper Brigus Formation in Redland Cove (Fig. 6, Supplementary Fig. 1). Of seven crystals selected for chemical abrasion, one was completely dissolved and two other grains yielded latest Ediacaran or Terreneuvian dates. The remaining four crystals produced concordant and equivalent isotope ratios, with a weighted mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U date of 507.21 ± 0.13 (0.19) [0.58] Ma (2s; MSWD = 0.04). This is the litho- and biostratigraphically highest dated horizon of the Brigus Formation and produced the youngest interpreted Early Cambrian eruption and depositional age (Figs. 6, 10; Supplementary Table 1).

5. Discussion

5.a. Radioisotopic insights into Avalonian Lower Cambrian trilobite zonal durations
The oldest identifiable British Avalonian trilobites are known in the Comley area in the English Midlands (see review in Rushton, 1974). Here, the Green Callavia Sandstone (traditionally termed Ac₁; e.g. Raw, 1936, p. 238) is the top of the Lower Comley Sandstones. This very thin sandstone has Callavia callavei (Lapworth) and Hebediscus ‘attleboresis’ (Shaler & Foerste) (which was restricted to the types from Massachusetts by Westrop & Landing, 2011). A tuff in the upper Green Callavia Sandstone is dated at 514.45 ± 0.36 Ma (Williams et al. 2013). Detailed correlation with SE Newfoundland is hindered because C. callavei is even more poorly known than C. broggeri. More recent studies have referred the former to a different genus, Callavonia Lieberman (Lieberman, 2001) or have treated the latter as the senior synonym of C. callavei (Fletcher & Theokritoff, 2008). The most comprehensive treatment of C. callavei is Raw’s (1936), but until his specimens are restudied, synonymy with C. broggeri is, in our view, premature. As the Green Callavia Sandstone and St. Mary’s Member have the oldest unequivocal records of Callavia sensu lato (see Lieberman, 2001, for an alternative classification), it is likely that these units are at least partly correlative.

Overlying strata at Comley are the Lower Comley Limestones which include a thin ‘Red Callavia Sandstone’ (0.75 m, Ac₂) overlain by a metre of limestone (c. 1.0 m). The latter interval includes four beds (Ac₃, 1-5 and Ad) with distinctive fossil assemblages that form an exceptionally condensed interval with unconformities between each bed (Landing, 1996). The Red Callavia Sandstone with C. callavei (e.g. Raw, 1936) may also be partly correlative with the St. Mary’s Member in SE Newfoundland. Rushton (2011, Fig. 12; Harvey et al. 2011) assigned the overlying limestone units (Ac₅ and Ac₆) to Fletcher’s (2006) Strenuella sabulosa Zone (see Myopostrema sensu lato (Lapworth) and Geyeroderes (formerly Orodès) Zone, presumably because they lie above the Callavia-bearing interval. Bed Ac₅, the Lapworthella Limestone at the top of the Comley Limestones, lacks biostratigraphically diagnostic fossils. Ac₅ may be uppermost Lower Cambrian or lower Middle Cambrian (Rushton, 1974), with Harvey et al. (2011, Fig. 2) arbitrarily showing it straddling the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary. Although Callavia has been reported from Ac₅ as C. (Coboldius) coboldii Raw, the holotype (Raw, 1936, pl. 20, Fig. 1a–c) is so poorly preserved that Raw (1936, p. 264) noted that it is ‘too imperfect for satisfactory comparisons with other forms’. It does not offer a basis for biostratigraphic correlation, with the species treated as a synonym of Nevadella cartlandi Raw (in Walcott, 1910) by Lieberman (2001). Fletcher and Theokritoff (2008) referred some specimens from the Strenuella Limestone (Ac₅) to Strenuella strenua (Billings), but this species can be identified with confidence only in the Brigus Formation of SE Newfoundland (see Supplementary Appendix 2). Serrodiscus bellimarginatus (Shaler & Foerste) is reported from Ac₂ but, as revised by Westrop and Landing (2011), this species is also problematic, with variation in spinose axial nodes on the pygidium that might be intra-specific but could record a plexus of pseudocyrtic species similar to Eodiscus (see Westrop et al. 2018). In short, unresolved taxonomic issues limit the accuracy of correlation. If successions with unequivocal Callavia species are equated, then the St. Mary’s Member is broadly correlative with the Green and Red Callavia sandstones, with much of the Comley Limestone recording a post-Callavia interval. The correlation of Ac₅ is equivocal and depends on the interpretation of S. ‘bellimarginatus’. It could correlate with the C. broggeri Zone, but it could be younger because the species occurs with Myopostrema sabulosa in the Purley Shale in the Nuneaton district (Rushton, 1966, p. 5).
Trilobite-based correlations and the new U-Pb dates mean the c. 507.9 Ma age on what is probably the upper Callavia broeggeri Zone at Red Bridge Road is likely no younger than the Comley Ac₂ limestone. In addition, the c. 507.7 Ma age on the Green Callavia Sandstone means the traditional Callavia Zone has an extraordinary duration of at least c. 6.78 Ma as the Red Bridge Road tuff is from the top of this interval. However, the potential range of Callavia in Avalonian Britain could extend below the Green Callavia Sandstone. Further east in the English Midlands at Nuneaton, Williams et al. (2013) determined a 517.2 ± 0.2 Ma zircon age on the lower Purley Shales Formation in association with Callavia sp. fragments. The sequence stratigraphy of the Nuneaton succession provides a better basis for correlation than trilobite fragments (Williams et al. 2013, Fig. 4) that cannot be identified with confidence. A sequence stratigraphic analysis shows the underlying sub-trilobitic Home Farm Limestone with vertically arranged, in situ Coleooloids is not only correlatable biostratigraphically and by carbon isotope stratigraphy but is even lithologically comparable with the Fosters Point Formation (AdS 3) in SE Newfoundland (e.g. Landing et al. 2022; Figs. 2, 5). Both the Home Farm and Fosters Point are correlative with the c. 519–520 Ma interval in Siberia with the onset of the IV ¹³C excursion and within the range of the oldest Siberian trilobites (Brasier et al. 1992; Landing, 1996; Landing & Kouchinsky, 2016; Landing et al. 2020, 2022). This means the non-sequence with the Home Farm Member and overlying thin Woodlands Member sandstones (e.g. Williams et al. 2013, Fig. 1) is the Ad 3–4a boundary and that the lower Purley is correlative with the lower Brigus Formation.

With the c. 507.9 Ma date on Red Bridge Road sample RBBR-26.5 and the c. 517.2 Ma date at Nuneaton, the Callavia/Callavia broeggeri Zone is thus extraordinarily long by comparison with other metazoan-based biozones. It has a longer duration than even the entire Upper Cambrian (i.e. Cothren et al. 2022). Alternatively, this long duration could hint at potential problems in the correlation and dating of the base of the zone in Avalonian Britain. In either case, further study and differentiation of this late Series 2 chronostratigraphy is warranted.

5.b. Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval and evaluation of Miaolingian series

5.b.1. Radioisotopic calibration of Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval

A precise and correctly evaluated geochronology across the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval brackets significant biotic and geological events. This interval is the twilight of the Cambrian Evolutionary Radiation as it includes the origination and diversification of the last high level and ecologically important metazoan groups – i.e. echinoderms and appearance of variety of colonial clades (e.g. Sprinkle, 1992; Landing et al. 2018). Profound changes in palaeoceanographic circulation and sea level are commonly said to be associated with the boundary interval (e.g. Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2015), although reinterpretation of Early–Middle Cambrian boundary sea-level changes does not indicate a eustatic regression (i.e. Hawke Bay event) as noted in many reports (Landing et al. 2023).

The International Commission on Stratigraphy (ICS) and International Union of Geological Scientists (IUGS) agreed to define the coterminous bases of the Miaolingian Series and Wulian Stage at the FAD (first appearance datum) of the trilobite Oryctocephalus indicus (Reed) in the Wuliu-Zengjiayian (W-Z) quarry in South China (Zhao et al. 2019). The global Miaolingian was a way to resolve the problems of locally defined and temporally distinct Lower–Middle Cambrian series boundaries defined in highly provincial faunal successions on each Cambrian palaeocontinent. The Miaolingian base has also been used as the base of a proposed Middle Cambrian subsystem (Landing et al. 2021; this report). The caveats to this ICS-accepted definition are that the series is defined at the FAD of O. indicus, and secondly, this FAD is associated with, and possibly driven by, strong environmental change (section 5.b.4). This basal horizon is better designated as the ‘lowest occurrence’ (LO). A FAD is a phylogenetic origination event unlikely to be encountered in field work. In addition, reliance on the LO of a taxon for global correlation, as that of O. indicus, is compounded by collecting and taphonomic biases and local environmental features (as dysxia) that preclude finding the earliest representatives of a taxon in any succession or using the LO for reliable chronostratigraphic correlations and definitions (e.g. Landing et al. 2013; Aubrey, 2015). The strong environmental changes associated with the LO of O. indicus should have prohibited its use as a global standard (see COWIE et al. 1986, for recommended procedures for ICS and IUGS in establishing chronostratigraphic standards).

By one interpretation, this boundary is a dramatic interval in trilobite successions with major changes synchronous between faunal provinces/realms, which would allow for easy correlation through the boundary interval (e.g. Babcock et al. 2017; Zhao et al. 2019). However, these syntheses have been challenged by studies that conclude the last occurrences of the redlichioid and olenellid trilobites, and the earliest occurrences of paradoxidoids are diachronous and overlap between several palaeocontinents in the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval, which confounds interregional correlation (e.g. Geyer, 2019; Fletcher, 2003; Sundberg & McCollum, 2003; 2005; Sundberg et al. 2016, 2020).

Specifically, Sundberg et al. (2020) summarize work that shows the LO of Oryctocephalus indicus and base of their O. indicus Zone and the Miaolingian Series is two Laurentian trilobite zones above the highest olenellids in SW Laurentia and combined this biostratigraphy with a zircon maximum depositional age (MDA) of ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma on the highest olenellids in the Grand Canyon region (Karlstrom et al. 2020). Sundberg et al. (2020) thus conclude that the Miaolingian Series base is ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma and that the highest occurrence of East Gondwanan (i.e. South China) redlichioids is close to but higher than that of the highest Laurentian olenellids and assign a c. 506 Ma age to the highest redlichioids. These temporal markers are significantly younger than the aforementioned tuff date of 509.1 ± 0.2 Ma just below the earliest local occurrences of paradoxidoids and the interpreted Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary in British Avalonia (Harvey et al. 2011), although paradoxidoids actually occur lower in the upper Lower Cambrian Marocconus-Cephalopyge eli Assemblage at Branch Cove, SE Newfoundland (Fletcher, 2006). The presumption in this analysis (Sundberg & McCollum, 2003) is that the LO of O. indicus is a precise, synchronous geochronologic marker between the SW US and South China.

5.b.2. Biostratigraphic correlations through Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval

That the Oryctocephalus indicus LO is a precise marker for global correlation is testable by a presumed correlation of the Miaolingian Series’ base into the Avalonian lower Acadoparadoxides harlani Zone (Geyer, 2005, 2019; Sundberg et al. 2016, 2020; Zhao et al. 2019).
This intercontinental correlation, which is modified below, was based upon correlating the lowest Mialoolian into an interval above three key taxa known in SE Newfoundland. At Branch Cove, Fletcher (2006) reported Ovatryctocara granulata, which appears below Oryctocephalus indicus at the GSSP, at the top of the Morroconus-Condylyapa eli Assemblage in the upper Jigging Cove Member (the senior synonym of the ‘Branch Cove Member’ of Fletcher, 2006; Figs. 6, 11). The unconformably overlying Kiskinella cristata Zone of the Easter Cove Member (lowest Chamberlain’s Brook Formation, Ads 5; Figs. 6, 11) has Eoagnostus roddyi and Condylyapa eli, known from the traditional uppermost Lower Cambrian in Laurentia and Siberia, respectively. The K. cristata Zone corresponds to the range of E. roddyi, with A. harlani appearing in the middle of the zone and overlapping the upper ranges of E. roddyi and C. eli in the upper Easter Cove Member (Fletcher, 2006) and persisting through the supra-Easter Cove unconformity into the Braintree Member. Consequently, a proposed correlation of the lower Mialoolian should include the A. harlani and upper K. cristata zones.

These correlations must be qualified. They are based on a simplistic presence-absence approach to biostratigraphy. Thus, Ovatryctocara granulata at Branch Cove has limited significance for interregional correlation. Its three known sclerites (Fletcher, 2003) presumably came from a calcareous nodule horizon at the top of the Jigging Cove Member (Fletcher, 2006, Fig. 15). Thus, its reported ‘range’ (one horizon) is a taphonomic artefact with subsequent work not recording the nodules or additional O. granulata specimens over three field seasons (by EL, EL with SRW) and during a 1997 visit by the Cambrian Subcommission (Landing & Westrop, 1998b, pp. 42–44).

The known range of fossil taxa underestimates their true stratigraphic longevities (e.g. Marshall, 2006). For purposes of discussion, the ‘typical’ upper Lower Cambrian Eoagnostus roddyi has a 22 m range (including its appearance below the Jigging Cove–Easter Cove member unconformity) at Branch Cove where it has three reported fossil horizons. (Fletcher, 2006, pp. 57, 65). At 50% and 95% levels of confidence (e.g. Marshall, 1990), respectively, the confidence interval (CI) of its upper stratigraphic range is 9 m higher in the upper Kiskinella cristata Zone of the lower Braintree Member and 74 m higher in the lower Ecaparadoxides bennetti Zone. The 74 m upper CI brings the traditional Avalonian Middle Cambrian E. bennetti Zone, surprisingly, into correlation with the upper Lower Cambrian of Laurentia and Siberia and below the middle Mialoolian following Sundberg et al. (2016, 2020) correlation procedures. A modest upper CI for Condylyapa eli (eight horizons, 49 m known range; Fletcher, 2006) at a 95% level of confidence is c. 26 m, which is in the middle Ecaparadoxides harlani Zone of the Braintree Member (‘Wester Cove Member’ of Fletcher, 2006).

The Ovatryctocara granulata spot sample (Fletcher, 2006; in ‘layer H’ at Branch Cove, Fig. 6) contributes nothing to an evaluation of CIs. But, the CIs of E. roddyi and C. eli bracket the Mialoolian base in the upper K. cristata–lower E. bennetti zonal interval. There is no method to rule out the higher correlation as severe provincial differences in biotas only allow tentative correlations of the upper E. bennetti Zone (upper Ads 6), with a more robust correlation of the higher E. etemnicus Zone (Ads 7) into Iberian and Baltic middle Middle Cambrian successions (Kim et al. 2002; Fletcher, 2006; Landing et al. 2023).

In the context of this qualified biostratigraphy, the U-Pb zircon geochronology in this report clearly bears upon the current conundrum: does the chronostratigraphy of this Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval in SE Newfoundland support the inference from SW Laurentia for a base of the Mialoolian Series ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma, or the c. 509.1 ± 0.2 Ma constraint from British Avalonia.

5.b.3. Mialoolian basal date of c. 506.3 Ma

It is now apparent that in SE Newfoundland, younger U-Pb zircon depositional ages bracket the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval (Fig. 10). Our 507.91 ± 0.08 Ma tuff is significantly below the boundary and just above the highest occurrence of Callavia broeggeri in the lower Brigus Formation (Fig. 3). In addition, two tuffs dated at 507.67 ± 0.08 Ma and 507.21 ± 0.13 Ma in overlying upper Brigus Formation strata bracket a reported occurrence of the latest Early Cambrian Ovatryctocara granulata (Figs. 5, 6), although Fletcher’s (2006) report of this species has not been later confirmed (discussed above). Above the regional, composite unconformities that bracket the Easter Cove and Braintree members of the Chamberlain’s Brook Formation (Figs. 2–6), two tuffs with depositional ages of 506.34 ± 0.21 and 506.25 ± 0.07 Ma in the Kiskinella cristata and Ecaparadoxides bennetti Zones bracket the Acaparadoxides harlani Zone. The U-Pb dates of this report thus do show that an Oryctocephalus indicus LO/Zone age at the W-Z quarry, if correlated into the lowest A. harlani Zone (Sundberg et al. 2016, 2020; Geyer, 2019; Zhao et al. 2019), must be younger than 507.2 ± 0.1 Ma and may be even more closely bracketed to 506.3 ± 0.1 Ma (based upon Monte Carlo sampling of the two bracketing ages). This bracket is remarkably consistent with the recently published maximum depositional age constraint of ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma for the base of the Mialoolian as locally proposed in southwestern Laurentia (Grand Canyon area) (see sections 5.b.3. and 5.b.4.).

Given the suggested geochronologic agreement between the results from SE Newfoundland and SW Laurentia, the basal Mialoolian Series age estimate of 509.1 ± 0.2 Ma (Zhao et al. 2019; Cohen et al. 2013) based upon the U-Pb zircon geochronology of the ‘Comley ub’ tuff bed in Nuneaton, England (Harvey et al. 2011) requires some reconsideration. Harvey et al.’s (2011) ‘Comley ub’ tuff bed lies right above the erosional Ads 4b–6 sequence boundary on the Avalonian uppermost Lower Cambrian or lowest Middle Cambrian Lapworthella Limestone (unit ‘ad’), and immediately under the lower Middle Cambrian Quarry Ridge Grits (Landing, 1996; Rees et al. 2014; Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023; see Rushton, 1972, Fig. 12, on basal unconformity of Quarry Ridge Grits).

It is well known that the boundary interval is highly condensed at Comley, with significant disconformities and unconformities separating the constituent beds of the underlying Lower Comley Limestones. One possibility is that of an unrecognized hiatus at the base or top of the ‘Comley ub’ bentonite, separating it temporally from the Quarry Ridge Grits and their very poorly preserved Middle Cambrian fossil assemblages. A second possibility is that the protoliths to the altered bentonite, which is described as discontinuous and of variable thickness, are older reworked rip-up clasts that cap the basal transgressive conglomerates of the Quarry Ridge Grits. A third possibility is that the zircons in the ‘Comley ub’ bed are epichotonic volcanic zircons from an older tuff that were redeposited in younger sediments. Thin tuffs are common in the Avalonian uppermost Lower and Middle Cambrian (e.g. Supplementary Appendix 1), and it follows that reworking and redeposition of relatively juvenile epichotonic zircons in this epeirogenically active microcontinent may be common (e.g. Landing et al. 2022; Landing, Westrop & Geyer, 2023).

In all three cases, the ‘Comley ub’ date of 509.10 ± 0.22 Ma would
be interpreted as a maximum depositional age, removing any conflict with but not corroborating the younger base of the Miaolingian as interpreted in SW Laurentia and correlated with uncertainty into SE Newfoundland.

A number of reports (Harvey et al. 2011; Sundberg et al. 2016; Geyer, 2019; Zhao et al. 2019) presume the Comley ub’ age comes from the Acadoparadoxides harlani Zone. However, the age is likely even higher in the lower Middle Cambrian – perhaps above the A. harlani Zone, with Landing (1996) correlating the unit into Ads 6. The lowest paradoxid in Harvey et al.’s (2011) section was described as Paradoxides groomi (Lapworth) from poorly preserved, large fragments in a conglomerate (Fletcher, 2006, p. 65) with ‘characters [ … ] very imperfect’ (Lake, 1935, p. 209). Harvey et al. (2011) accepted Fletcher’s (2006, p. 65) synonymy of P. groomi with A. harlani, though this synonymy is a remark without discussion by Fletcher (2006). The specimens identified as ‘P. groomi’ by Lake (1935) are inadequate to characterize a species. Indeed, P. groomi was not part of later discussions of A. harlani (i.e. Fletcher et al. 2005; Fletcher & Theokrittou, 2008), and some of Lake’s (1935) figured specimens certainly cannot be assigned to A. harlani. We regard P. groomi as a nomen dubium and base a more defensible correlation of Harvey et al.’s (2011) ash by recognizing the Ads 4b–6 boundary under the Quarry Ridge Grits (Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). This means a tuff with un- reworked zircons in the Quarry Ridge Grits should be more similar in age to the c. 506.25 ash from the GSSP section based on varying numbers of transglabellar arcades (Landing, 1996; 1992; Landing & Webster, 2018). The GSSP interval (Zhao et al. 2019, Fig. 4c; Sundberg et al. 2011, Fig. 3a) has green-grey mudstone (0–1.55 m) comparable to a B-unit, overlying brownish mudstone (1.55–1.9 m, C-unit), and then interbedded black and green-grey mudstone (1.9–3.1 m) comparable to a transitional A–lower B-unit. The Oryrococephalus indicus LO and Miaolingian GSSP are low in the interbedded black and green-grey mudstone.

Thus, the local range of faunas characterized by an Oryrococephalus indicus-aspect species (see next section) comprises a traditional biostratigraphic zone, but may also represent a biofacies (see Ludvigsen et al. 1986) that locally appears with onlap of a probable dysoxic slope water mass (Landing, 2012). The seven ‘new’ trilobite species at the GSSP horizon, including O. indicus, have to be considered to be pre-existing (i.e. pre-GSSP) species that locally appeared with shelf onlap of a deeper-water O. indicus assemblage – thus, the local presence and lowest occurrence of an O. indicus biofacies may not have any decisive geochronologic significance if driven by local onlap (i.e. epeirogenic subsidence).

5.b.5. Evaluating diachrony of the basal Miaolingian correlation: taxonomy and species distribution

The use of the Oryrococephalus indicus LO to define a Cambrian series’ base and relying on it for global correlation requires geochronologic and biostratigraphic confirmation. Obviously, the correct identification of the species is required. Arguably, the diagnosis of O. indicus is problematic. It was first defined from Himalayan India from distorted specimens, while most of its cranidia (and other sclerites) from the GSSP section are visibly taphonomically distorted (e.g. Sundberg et al. 2011, Fig. 12; Esteve et al. 2017; Esteve et al. 2018, Fig. 1; Zhao et al. Fig. 6). This distortion likely took place with dissolution in a mudstone that underwent slumping and folding on a palaeoslope and may also be tectonic (e.g. Sundberg et al. 2011).

Multiple species of an Oryrococephalus indicus–plexus may occur in the GSSP succession based on varying numbers of transglabellar furrows (1–3) in adult (holaspid) specimens (e.g., Zhao et al. 2019). The nearly 100% presence of three furrows in Laurentian collections (Sundberg et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2019) and other
reported occurrences of *O. indicus* suggests a different species. The Siberian *O. reticulatus* was synonymized with *O. indicus* (Zhao et al. 2006; Esteve et al. 2017). This synonymy has been questioned by Geyer and Peel (2011) who note it has had the result of making *O. indicus* a seemingly very widespread taxon useful in a GSSP definition.

In addition to distortion and varying transglabellar furrow number is a claim that lower GSSP collections show a ‘primitive’ morphotype with two pairs of pygidial spines that is succeeded in higher collections by an ‘advanced’ morphotype with three spines (Zhao et al. 2019, p. 171). This ‘advanced’ morphotype is coeval with SW Laurentian (California and Nevada) collections according to Zhao et al. (2019). However, this argument and resultant correlation is based on a process-based approach to species recognition that relies on a constructed evolutionary narrative. Such approaches have no place in modern biosystematics (e.g. Westrop & Adrain, 2016, p. 397, with a critique of process-based approaches). A sceptical viewpoint is that the SW Laurentian ‘*O. indicus*’ is not conspecific with and may differ significantly in age from the form termed ‘*O. indicus*’ at the W-Z GSSP horizon.

Even the purported great palaeogeographic extent of reported *Oryctocephalus indicus* is problematical if compared with modern marine invertebrates. The great circle distance of *O indicus*’ reports along the Cambrian tropics (South China–North Greenland) is c. 120° (see Landing et al. 2013, Fig. 3), a distribution known in only 0.035% of marine invertebrates (Foote & Miller, 2013). Thus, the distribution of a single *Oryctocephalus* species across a third of the tropical marine realm was unlikely, even if correctly identified. This argument can be countered by proposing that *O. indicus* could have had a wide distribution if it had a nektic or pelagic habitat – which is unlikely. The overall formal *Oryctocephalus* with very dorsosventrally compressed body and a wide, plate-like anterior margin had very high drag coefficients. These features differ from the more laterally compressed body shape with a small, sometimes cylindrical frontal area (‘head’) present in actively swimming invertebrate and vertebrate taxa that may be geographically widespread and nektopelagic (e.g. Vogel, 1981, chapters 5, 7).

5.b.6. Evaluating diachrony of basal Miaolingian correlation: chemostratigraphy

Given apparent problems with taxonomy and lithofacies control of *Oryctocephalus indicus* Zone associations, non-biostratigraphic (i.e. radioisotope or chemostratigraphic) correlation could provide a more robust basis for highly resolved interprovincial correlation of successions where *O. indicus* is reported. This approach is taken by Lin et al. (2019) who provide a chemostratigraphic (carbon isotope) basis for the correlation of the LO of *O. indicus* in Nevada and South China sections, including the Miaolingian GSSP. Lin et al.’s (2019) synthesis is puzzling because the South China GSSP has a ‘stepwise decline [in δ¹³C, values] . . . marked by peak negative values’ at the LO of *O. indicus* (Zhao et al. 2019, p. 177, and references therein, italics added). However, in contrast, Lin et al. (2019) show peak positive values at the LO of the purported *O. indicus* Zone in Nevada. Lin et al. (2019) divided the Miaolingian boundary interval into successive chemostratigraphic intervals (N1–N5) defined by δ¹³C, trends (i.e. N1 and lower N4, rising values; N2 and N3, falling and then rising values; N5, stable). The five intervals are correlated by their chemostratigraphic signatures between Nevada and South China (Lin et al. 2019, Figs. 7, 8). Significantly, the top of the N2 excursion interval in South China and Nevada seems to be synchronous in both regions as it marks comparable developments in trilobite biotas with disappearance of the ‘characteristic’ upper Lower Cambrian taxa *Bathygnathus* in both regions and *Olenelli* in Nevada and *Redlichia* in South China.

However, Lin et al. (2019) rely on the *O. indicus*’ LO as the decisive tie-line for precise Nevada–South China correlation rather than the chemostratigraphic correlation. The consequence of biostratigraphic correlation is that they (p. 11, 15) show that the *O. indicus*’ LO ‘occurs much higher’ [i.e. base of N4 in Nevada] but lower just above ‘the major N2 excursion’ in South China. They explain this supposed diachronicity by proposing that it reflects the absence of N3 and N4 in South China and that it ‘impl[ies] stratigraphic condensation, a hiatus and/or environmental changes in the South China sections.’ However, they do not note physical evidence of any of these implied factors in sections lying c. 30 km along the South China palaeoslope.

The simplest explanation based on comparable chemostratigraphic signatures and the disappearance of ‘characteristic’ upper Lower Cambrian trilobite genera in N2 (noted above) is that the LO of *O. indicus*’ simply occurs higher in N4 in Nevada and that the N3 and N4 signatures are present, albeit subdued, in South China (e.g. Lin et al. 2019, Fig. 8). As an aside, a ‘hiatus’ should rule out continued use of the Miaolingian GSSP as a global standard by the ICS and IUGS (Cowie et al. 1986). We emphasize that reliance on presumed synchrony of a taxon’s LO in intercontinental correlation is an obvious assumption (discussed above), particularly when the correlation can be tested by non-biostratigraphic methods. The interpretation, that the ‘high’ and ‘low’ *O. indicus*’ LO in Nevada and South China, respectively, shows diachrony, was not considered by Lin et al. (2019) although it seems to be demonstrated by chemostratigraphy. Diachrony as the best interpretation of Nevada–South China chemostratigraphic correlation further accords with Zhao et al.’s (2019, p. 177) conclusion that an ‘advanced’ *O. indicus* morphotype is the lowest form of the ‘species’ in Nevada. Thus, an alternative palaeobiological interpretation is that a different cryptospecies of the *O. indicus*-plexus appears with onlap of a dysoxic water mass in Nevada that is younger than the appearance of similarly dysoxic bottom water at the Miaolingian GSSP in South China.

Diachrony of the South China GSSP and the Nevada LO of purported *O. indicus* is further corroborated by work in the Stansbury Basin, South Australia. Here, Birksmith et al. (2023) use the strongly negative ROECE δ¹³C excursion as one basis for correlation of the LO of *I. indicus* at the GSSP (see Zhao et al. 2019) into South Australia. This report further emphasizes that the strongly positive δ¹³C at the base of the purported ‘*O. indicus*’ assemblage in Nevada (Lin et al. 2019) shows the SW Laurentian assemblage is younger than the GSSP horizon.

Diachrony of the LO of the *Oryctocephalus indicus* Zone/biofacies in Nevada compared with South China and South Australia seems consistent with epeiricogen, not eustatic, control of the onlap of dysoxic water. Such epeiricogen control in Nevada may reflect brachyseismic activity with rift-related faulting and volcanism through much of the Early Cambrian of SW Laurentia (e.g. Smith et al. 2023). Eustatic control in the Early–Middle Cambrian boundary interval on the onlap of dysoxic OMZ water cannot be invoked as this time interval seems to show modest eustatic rise (e.g. Haq & Schutter, 2008). More recent work suggests the eustatic fall–rise couplet termed the ‘Hawke Bay event’ through the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary must be reevaluated as showing continued, albeit slow, rise that began as early as the Avalonian *Callavia broeggeri* Chron (Landing & Webster, 2018; Landing et al. 2023).
5.6. Interglacial correlation of Miaolingian
In short, precise radioisotopic age or robust chemozone correlation offers hope for quantifying the possible diachrony of *Oryctocephalus indicus* Zone/biofacies assemblages. The aforementioned caveats to interregional correlation introduce uncertainty into this exercise. Nonetheless, our new robust radioisotopic calibration of Avalonian strata of SE Newfoundland provides a numerical age for a level correlated to the LO of reported *O. indicus* in Nevada of 506.3 ± 0.1 Ma. This age is fully consistent with a detrital zircon maximum depositional age constraint of ≤506.6 ± 0.3 Ma in the basal sheet sandstones of the Saull II transgression below the LO of *O. indicus* in the overlying Poche Shale. This first tie point between independently dated successions on two palaeocontinents appears to constrain the potential diachrony of *O. indicus* Zone/biofacies assemblages to 0.3 ±0.6–0.3 Ma, within the current limitations of biostatigraphic correlation. However, much more taxonomic, chemostratigraphic and radioisotopic work is needed to confirm and refine this conclusion.

5.c. Geochronologic brackets on Avalonian epeirageny, deposition, and volcanism

An increasing number of U-Pb dates from Avalonia begin to allow for precise determinations of the timing of epeirogenic activity and volcanism and the rates of deposition on the Avalonian platform. Several results from this study may be discussed.

5.c.1. Age of onlap of Ads 4a
Ads 4a, which includes the *Callavia broeggeri* Zone, had a strongly diachronous transgression across Avalonia, and the depth of sub-Ads 4a erosion varies greatly across the Avalonian platform. As detailed elsewhere (Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2015, 2022, and references therein), the oldest part of the Avalonian trilobite-bearing Cambrian is significantly younger than an unconformably underlying lower Lower Cambrian (Fortunian) massive quartzite on the marginal platform (i.e. St. Non’s Formation, South Wales; Random Formation, Burin Peninsula, SE Newfoundland). The age of the overlying trilobite-bearing Ads 4a on the massive quartzite may be as great as c. 519 Ma (Caerfai Bay) in North Wales (Landing et al. 2013) or as young as c. 507.91 with onlap of the upper St. Mary’s Member across the Random Formation in the Burin Peninsula (e.g. Landing & Westrop, 1998a).

On the inner platform, a relatively thick (locally 12 m) sub-trilobitic limestone unit is locally the cap of Ads 3 (Fosters Point Formation in Avalonian North America; Home Farm Limestone, Nuneaton, English Midlands). This unit has a maximum age of c. 520 Ma given its biostatigraphic (small shelly fossil) and carbon isotope correlation into the lower but lowermost trilobite-bearing strata in Siberia (lower Atedabanian Stage, carbon isotope excursion IV) (e.g. Landing & Kouchinsky, 2016; Landing et al. 2021). The age of transgression of Ads 4a across Ads 3 is as great as c. 517 Ma at Nuneaton (Woodlands Member and Purley Shales Formation) while Ads 3–4a contacts on western parts of the inner platform in SE Newfoundland, the northern Antignonish Highlands, and Cape Breton Island would be c. 507.7 Ma (e.g. Landing et al. 2022, and references therein). By this interpretation, the oldest date (c. 517 Ma) would correspond to onlap on the marginal platform shortly after deposition and erosion of Ads 3.

5.c.2. Epeirogenic rates of Ads 4b–6
By comparison with a seemingly languid rate of epeirogenic activity in Ads 4a (the c. 9.5 Ma duration of the *Callavia broeggeri/Callavia Zone*), terminal Early–early Middle Cambrian epeirogenic activity, and consequently activity on the Avalonian transform fault, was rapid. A much shorter time interval of c. 700 ka spanned onlap, onlap and deposition of Ads 4b (Jigging Cove Member) (depositional ages of 507.9 Ma in the upper St. Mary’s Member and 507.2 Ma in the upper Jigging Cove Member).

The Jigging Cove–Easter Cove Member and Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary unconformity in the stratigraphically 'most complete' sections at Branch and Redland coves shows a modest amount of differential erosion (5 m; Fig. 6) on the southern St. Mary’s-east Trinity axis. However, the depositional ages of 507.2 on the upper Jigging Cove Member (Ads 4b) and 506.3 Ma in the lower Easter Cove Member (Ads 5) show an unexpectedly long, c. 900 ka, hiatus. As might be expected, a far longer duration of the composite Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary is present in eastern Conception Bay at Red Bridge Road with the St. Mary’s Member–Brantree Member hiatus bracketing c. 1.65 Ma (depositional ages of c. 507.9 on RRBR-26.5 and c. 506.25 on RBCB-1.2).

A rapid rate of epeirogeny and biotic overturn is shown in the Ads 5–lower 6 interval. This included Ads 4b–5 onlap and Ads 5 deposition (end of *Moronurus-Condylopogge* eil Assemblage interval) to Kisknella and lower Acodarapaxodares harlanii zones, i.e. Appendix 1, loc. Bn) followed by Ads 5–6 onlap and the onset of Ads 6 deposition (*A. harlanii* and lower *Eccoparadoxes bennetti zones*). This dynamic history occurred within the c. 900 ka resolution of tuff ages through this interval (Fig. 11). In the future, we anticipate that the determination of dates on tuffs from the Manuels River Formation in SW New Brunswick is a way to estimate rates of epeirogenic activity into Ads 8 (Fig. 2).

5.c.3. Late Early Cambrian volcanic pulse and unity of Avalonia microcontinent

Successions bracketing the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval (i.e. Ads 4b–6, Figs. 2–4) are present on the marginal and inner platform from Rhode Island–SW New Brunswick–SE Newfoundland, North and South Wales, and English Midlands and extend into central Belgium (e.g. Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2023, Fig. 2). Unfortunately, the boundary interval is accessible in relatively few sections. This reflects limited exposures in densely vegetated areas away from coastal outcrops, erosional non-sequences that truncate the uppermost Lower Cambrian (Ads 4b), and the restriction of the uppermost Lower Cambrian to elongate, fault-bounded syndepositional basins as in SE Newfoundland (Figs. 1, 2, see Placentia–Bonavista and St. Mary’s-East Trinity axes). Despite limitation to several coastal sections, the uppermost Jigging Cove Member in SE Newfoundland shows one or several successive tuffs, whereas they have not been noted in the lower Jigging Cove. These upper Jigging Cove Member and lower Chamberlain’s Brook Formation tuffs are present at Smith Point and Branch and Redland coves (Supplementary Appendix 1).

Well to the SW, the Bourinot Belt in Cape Breton Island is brought to Avalonia, not a 'Gander terrane' (e.g. contra van Rooyen et al. 2019), based on a typical Avalonian Middle Cambrian–Tremadocian cover sequence (e.g. Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023). The extensional Eskasoni volcanics in Cape Breton underlie a typical Avalonian cover sequence and have been regarded as a volcanic edifice on the NW edge (in modern coordinates) of the Avalonian marginal platform (e.g. Landing et al. 2008; Landing et al. 2022, section 8.7, with references). The 505 ± 3 Ma late Early–middle Middle Cambrian age on the Eskasoni volcanics (compare Landing et al.
2019) makes them possibly coeval with the latest Early Cambrian tuffs documented in this report in SE Newfoundland (Ads 4b). This correlation is strengthened by upper Lower Cambrian acritarchs in the Eskasoni Formation that show the unit lies in the oldest part of this age bracket (Palacios et al. 2012). Thus, the age of Eskasoni volcanism may be compatible with the epeirogenic activity that led to the c. 507.91 Ma volcanism of the upper Jigging Cove Member in SE Newfoundland (Landing et al. 2023, see ‘Marginal Platform, Cape Breton Island,’ and references therein).

Another link in late Early Cambrian volcanism on the Avalonian marginal platform is at Beaver Harbour, SW New Brunswick, where the Waite Lane volcanic edifice shows extensional late Early Cambrian volcanism (Landing et al. 2008, and references therein). The Waite Lane volcanics unconformably underlie the fossiliferous middle Middle Cambrian Fossil Brook Member (Ads 7) and are laterally equivalent to upper Lower Cambrian tuffs in the upper Hanford Brook Formation (Ads 4b) dated at 508 Ma ± 1.0 Ma 60 km to the NE in Saint John, New Brunswick (Isachsen et al. 1994; Schmitz, 2012, age recalibration; Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023).

This review of known late Early Cambrian volcanics and associated extensional activity further emphasizes coeval volcanics as part of a regionally extensive cover sequence along the Avalonian marginal platform. This shared epeirogenic and consequent volcanic activity accords with a pulse of extensional activity on the Avalonian transform fault and along a unified Avalonia microcontinent (e.g. Landing et al. 2022; Landing et al. 2023, and references therein). While we favour this tectonic scenario for regional patterns of Avalonian marine off- and onlap, we cannot rule out accompanying changes in eustasy across the Early–Middle Cambrian boundary, given the apparently coeval and rapid transgression of terminal Lower Cambrian sheet sands across southwest Laurentia (Karlstrom et al. 2020). However, this same time interval in NE Laurentia (eastern New York–western Newfoundland) is interpreted as showing a very slow rate of epeirogenic rise (Landing & Webster, 2018; Landing et al. 2023).

5.4. Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary interval and incompleteness of stratigraphic and fossil record

The succession of regionally extensive Avalonian depositional sequences (Ads) is separated by unconformities, each representing a hiatus of undetermined length (Landing, 1996; Landing et al. 2022; Figs. 2, 3, 5, 6). This report provides the first evidence on the duration of individual and composite unconformities and the relative completeness of the Avalonian stratigraphic record (Figs. 10, 11).

As might be expected, the composite Ads 4a–6 unconformity at Red Bridge Road and other localities on the eastern part of the inner platform (Figs. 1, 2) shows the longest hiatus. U-Pb volcanic zircon dates a few metres above and below the Ads 4a–6 unconformity (Figs. 3, 11; ash beds RBBr-26.5 and RBCB-1.2) indicate a c. 1.66 Ma hiatus.

An associated (and surprising) result is that the cryptic Ads 4b–5 unconformity (i.e. siliciclastic mudstone on siliciclastic mudstone, Fig. 5) that more closely brackets the Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary corresponds to an unexpectedly long (c. 1.33 Ma) hiatus at the Smith Point section (Figs. 5, 10, 11; SPBr-105.75 and SPCB-4.4 ashes). However, the top of the preserved Avalonian uppermost Lower Cambrian (Ads 4b) is quite diachronous, apparently reflecting post-depositional erosion, with the upper Brigus Formation on the southern St. Mary’s western Trinity axis (Fig. 1) c. 0.46 Ma younger in age (Figs. 10, 11; ash horizons SPBr-105.75 and ReBr-118.5). In short, the most completely preserved and most fossiliferous Avalonian Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary sections are in SE Newfoundland (e.g. Fletcher, 2006), but these sections (i.e. samples ReBr-118.5 and SPCB-4.4) show a boundary hiatus of at least 0.87 Ma. Between relatively unfossiliferous facies and a hiatus just short of a million years in length, Avalonia does not preserve insights into the global Lower–Middle Cambrian turnover in trilobite faunas. Indeed, the common statements in literature reports of a ‘continuous’ sedimentary record though various stratigraphic intervals with key biotic changes should be regarded as indicating an incomplete understanding of local sedimentary successions. Indeed, evidence suggests that more time may actually be represented by hiatuses at sequence boundaries and other unconformities and diastems than is actually recorded by the rock succession (e.g. Landing, 2012, p. 269 and references therein).

6. Conclusions

Regional sampling of the Lower–Middle Cambrian boundary succession in SE Newfoundland led to the recovery of five dated zircon-bearing tuffs. When combined with biostratigraphy, sequence stratigraphy and other U-Pb dates from Avalonian North America and Britain, the following determinations can be made:

1) the fundamental accuracy of the U-Pb zircon geochronology in this report is supported by the fact that progressively younger dates are recorded on successively higher tuffs as bracketed by biostratigraphic and sequence stratigraphic criteria;
2) the Callavia Zone s.l. had a very long duration of c. 9.5 Ma, e.g. from c. 517.2 to 507.7 Ma, which limits its biostratigraphic utility; by contrast the post-Callavia Zone Lower Cambrian (Ads 4b) lasted ≤ 1.4 Ma, e.g. from ≤ c. 507.7 to ≥ c. 506.3 Ma;
3) the Miaolingian base, within the limitations of its biostratigraphic correlation into Avalonia (SE Newfoundland), is constrained to ≤ 507.2 to ≥ 506.3 Ma, which is remarkably consistent with the maximum depositional age (mda) of ≤ 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma for the base of the Miaolingian as interpreted in southwestern Laurentia (Grand Canyon area).
4) the 509.1 ± 0.2 Ma date from the English Midlands formerly used for the age of the base of the Miaolingian is problematical with respect to the results from SE Newfoundland and SW Laurentia, and a recalculated c. 508 Ma date on the upper Lower Cambrian of New Brunswick, and may be biased by sedimentary and/or epiclastic reworking;
5) the geochronologic significance of the LO of faunas assigned to the Oryctophalus indicus Zone/biofacies and definition of the base of the Miaolingian Series should be reevaluated given concerns that shelf occurrences of the eponymous taxon may represent a species-plexus linked to diachronous local onlaps of dysoxic water. This study is among the first to apply radioisotope correlation to constrain the potential diachrony of O. indicus Zone/biofacies assemblages to 0.3 +0.6/-0.3 Ma, within the current limitations of biostratigraphic correlation.
6) An alternative view (see Acknowledgments) is that the confidence interval of the few ‘typical,’ Laurentian upper Lower Cambrian trilobites known in SE Newfoundland...
extends as high as the traditional Avalonian lower Middle Cambrian *Eccaparadoxides bennetti* Zone, which yielded a 506.25 ± 0.2 Ma mda date indistinguishable from the 506.6 ± 0.3 Ma age proposed for the base of the Mialonial proposed in SW Laurentia.

7) rates of epeirogenic activity along the Avalonian transform fault, constrained by marine oilfapp and onlap, were slow during much of the Early Cambrian, whereas subsequent terminal Early Cambrian–early Middle Cambrian activity was exceptionally rapid, suggesting fundamental tectonic reorganization of Avalonia that obscures evidence of coeval eustatic changes.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756898258432
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