Boise State University

ScholarWorks

Geosciences Faculty Publications and
Presentations

Department of Geosciences

6-2021

Backarc Lithospheric Thickness and Serpentine Stability Control Slab-Mantle Coupling Depths in Subduction Zones

Buchanan C. Kerswell
Boise State University

Matthew J. Kohn
Boise State University

Taras V. Gerya
ETH-Zurich

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2020GC009304

Special Section:

Insights into Subduction Zone Processes from Models and Observations of Exhumed Terranes

Key Points:

- The depths of antigorite destabilization and mechanical coupling are primarily dependent on backarc lithospheric thickness
- Coupling depths in natural subduction zones can be predicted given the slab age, convergence velocity, and backarc lithospheric thickness
- Consistently high backarc heat flow in natural subduction zones may indicate a common depth of mechanical coupling globally at ca. 82 km

Correspondence to:

B. C. Kerswell,
buchanankerswell@u.boisestate.edu

Citation:

Kerswell, B. C., Kohn, M. J., & Gerya, T. V. (2021). Backarc lithospheric thickness and serpentine stability control slab-mantle coupling depths in subduction zones. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22, e2020GC009304. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009304>

Received 16 JUL 2020

Accepted 30 MAY 2021

Backarc Lithospheric Thickness and Serpentine Stability Control Slab-Mantle Coupling Depths in Subduction Zones

Buchanan C. Kerswell¹ , Matthew J. Kohn¹ , and Taras V. Gerya²

¹Department of Geosciences, Boise State University, Boise, ID, USA, ²Department of Earth Sciences, ETH-Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract A key feature of subduction zone geodynamics and thermal structure is the point at which the slab and mantle mechanically couple. This point defines the depth at which traction between slab and mantle begins to drive mantle wedge circulation and also corresponds with a rapid increase in temperature along the slab-mantle interface. Here, we consider the effects of the backarc thermal structure and slab thermal parameter on coupling depth using two-dimensional thermomechanical models of oceanic-continental convergent margins. Coupling depth is strongly correlated with backarc lithospheric thickness, and weakly correlated with slab thermal parameter. Slab-mantle coupling becomes significant where weak, hydrous antigorite reacts to form strong, anhydrous olivine and pyroxene along the slab-mantle interface. Highly efficient (predominantly advective) heat transfer in the asthenospheric mantle wedge and inefficient (predominantly conductive) heat transfer in the lithospheric mantle wedge results in competing feedbacks that stabilize the antigorite-out reaction at depths determined primarily by the mechanical thickness of the backarc lithosphere. For subduction zone segments where backarc lithospheric thickness can be inverted from surface heat flow, our results provide a regression model that can be applied with slab thermal parameter to predict coupling depth. Consistently high backarc heat flow in circum-Pacific subduction zones suggests uniformly thin overriding plates likely regulated by lithospheric erosion caused by hydration and melting processes under volcanic arcs. This may also explain a common depth of slab-mantle coupling globally.

Plain Language Summary Subduction is a process where two semi-rigid tectonic plates of Earth's outer shell converge, and one dives beneath the other into the mantle. Subduction zones produce the world's largest earthquakes and form new crust through volcanism. Understanding earthquakes and volcanism requires understanding how the two plates move with respect to each other. With increasing depth, the sinking plate transitions from sliding past the overriding plate to "gripping" the base of the overriding plate. This gripping (coupling) reduces earthquakes and causes warm rock to flow upwards, enabling volcanism. We used two-dimensional computer simulations of subduction to test what controls the depth of coupling between the plates. We found that coupling depth is primarily controlled by the thickness of the overriding plate and provide an equation to predict coupling depth in natural subduction zones. Overriding plates in subduction zones worldwide appear to have similar thicknesses, so coupling depths are also predicted to be similar. We do not yet fully understand why the overriding plates are uniformly thin globally, but perhaps this is related to hydration and melting under volcanic arcs.

1. Introduction

The thermal structure of subduction zones strongly depends on the depth where the subducting slab and overlying mantle transition from mechanically decoupled (moving differentially with respect to each other) to mechanically coupled (moving with the same local velocity, Furukawa, 1993; Peacock et al., 1994; Wada et al., 2008). Coupling drives mantle wedge circulation, and the decoupling-coupling transition defines a rapid increase in temperature along the top of the subducting slab (Peacock, 1996). Based on many observations, the depth of slab-mantle coupling has been inferred from numerical models to occur at 70–80 km depth, essentially independent of other parameters including slab age, convergence velocity, and subduction geometry (Furukawa, 1993; Wada et al., 2008; Wada & Wang, 2009). It is significant that modern subduction zones appear to achieve similar depths of coupling despite their different physical characteristics.

A prescribed slab-mantle coupling depth of 70–80 km has been used as a physical condition in many thermo-mechanical models (e.g., Abers et al., 2017; Currie et al., 2004; Gao & Wang, 2014; Syracuse et al., 2010; van Keken et al., 2011; 2018; Wada et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2014), although different coupling depths apply in other studies (e.g., 40–56 km, England & Katz, 2010; Peacock, 1996). A common coupling depth is attractive for at least two reasons: First, it helps explain the relatively narrow range of sub-arc slab depths (England et al., 2004; Syracuse & Abers, 2006) as mechanical coupling is expected to be closely associated with the onset of flux melting. Second, since mechanical coupling is required to detach and recover rocks from the down-going slab (Agard et al., 2016), a common depth of coupling may also help explain why the maximum pressures recorded by subducted oceanic material worldwide is typically ca. 2.3–2.5 GPa (roughly 80 km, Agard et al., 2009).

Beyond playing a crucial role in subduction zone thermal structure, the location and extent of mechanical coupling along the slab-mantle interface is implicated in a myriad of subduction zone geodynamics (seismicity, metamorphism, volatile fluxes into the mantle wedge, volcanism, and plate motions, e.g., Čížková & Bina, 2013; Gao & Wang, 2017; Gonzalez et al., 2016; Grove et al., 2012; Hacker et al., 2003; Peacock, 1990, 1991, 1993, 1996; Peacock & Hyndman, 1999; van Keken et al., 2011). Consequently, the mechanics of coupling have been extensively studied and discussed. Coupling fundamentally depends on the strength (viscosity) of materials above, within, and below the slab-mantle interface. In general, high water fluxes due to compaction and dehydration of clays and other hydrous minerals in the shallow forearc mantle wedge, coupled with increases in pressure and temperature, form layers of low viscosity sheet silicates—especially talc and serpentine—that inhibit transmission of shear stress from the slab to the mantle wedge (Peacock & Hyndman, 1999). The lack of traction along the interface combined with cooling from the subducting slab surface ensures the shallow mantle wedge remains cold and rigid. Experimentally determined flow laws (e.g., Agard et al., 2016), petrologic observations (e.g., Agard et al., 2016), and geophysical observations (e.g., Gao & Wang, 2014; Peacock & Hyndman, 1999) all support the plausibility of this conceptual model of subduction interface behavior.

Here we focus on two fundamental questions: (1) What controls the depth of slab-mantle mechanical coupling? (2) How does coupling depth change through time? To address these questions, we use two-dimensional thermomechanical models of subduction to investigate potential correlations between the slab-mantle coupling depth, backarc lithospheric thickness (inverted from backarc heat flow), and slab thermal parameter (Φ). Wada and Wang (2009) previously investigated steady-state slab-mantle coupling depths by modeling 17 active subduction zones. Among other parameters, their models specified convergence rate, subduction geometry, thermal structure of incoming and overriding plate, and degree of coupling versus decoupling with depth along the subduction interface. Notably, their models prescribed the mechanical coupling depth for each subduction zone, did not include mineral reactions that might influence rheologies, and discriminated the best-fit depth based on observed fore-arc heat flow. In our models, we also specify horizontal convergence velocity and thermal structure of the incoming and overriding plates, as one would for modeling a modern system. However, the geometry of the subduction system and (most importantly) the point of mechanical coupling are allowed to evolve independently until they reach steady state. That is, the coupling depth in each of our models is not a fully determined feature but rather a spontaneous model outcome. As in other previous studies (e.g., Ruh et al., 2015), we also include the rheological effect of the dehydration reaction *antigorite* $\rightleftharpoons$ *olivine* + *orthopyroxene* + H_2O , which drives mechanical coupling by an abrupt viscosity increase with antigorite loss. The position of this reaction along the subduction interface determines the coupling depth.

We quantify the effects of Φ and backarc lithospheric thickness on the depth of this reaction using linear regression. We then visualize thermal feedbacks within the system in terms of the distributions of temperature, viscosity, and mantle flow velocity. Last, we discuss how feedbacks stabilize the coupling depth through millions of years of subduction.

2. Numerical Modeling Methods

Our models simulated converging oceanic-continental plates, where an ocean basin is being consumed by subduction at a continental margin (Figure 1). The initial conditions were modified from previous modeling

Figure 1. Initial model configuration and boundary conditions. (a) A free sedimentation/erosion boundary at the surface is maintained by implementing a layer of “sticky” air and water, and an infinite-like open boundary at the bottom allows for slab penetration. The left and right boundaries are free slip and insulating. The initial material distribution includes 7 km of oceanic crust (2 km basalt, 5 km gabbro), 1 km of oceanic sediments, and 35 km of continental crust, thinning ocean-ward. (b) Oceanic lithosphere is continually created at the left boundary. The oceanic geotherm is calculated using a half-space cooling model and the continental geotherm is calculated using a one-dimensional steady-state conductive cooling model to 1,300°C. The base of the lithosphere is defined mechanically through visualization of viscosity and generally coincides with the 1,100°C isotherm (z_{1100}). (c) The oceanic crust is bent under loading from passive margin sediments, and a weak zone extends from the deflected oceanic crust, through the lithosphere, to help induce subduction. Convergence velocities are imposed at stationary regions far from the trench. Rock type colors are given in Table 2.

studies of active margins (Gorczyk et al., 2007; Sizova et al., 2010), although slab-mantle coupling was not the focus of their studies. Our approach was to use identical material properties (Tables 1 and 3), rheologic model, and hydration/melt model (see Appendix) as Sizova et al. (2010). Our version differed from Sizova et al. (2010) in its initial setup, overall dimension, resolution, the shape of the continental geotherm, the slab dehydration model, and the left boundary condition (origin of the subducting slab). These differences are outlined below. Sixty-four models were constructed with varying convergence rate, subducting plate age, and the lithospheric thickness of the overriding plate (Figure 2).

2.1. Initial Setup and Boundary Conditions

Our two-dimensional model was 2,000 km wide and 300 km deep (Figure 1). In the model domain, three governing equations of heat transport, motion, and continuity were discretized and solved using a conservative finite-difference with marker-in-cell approach on a fully staggered grid (code: I2VIS) as outlined in Gerya and Yuen (2003). The model resolution was non-uniform with higher resolution (1×1 km) in a 600 km wide area surrounding the contact between the ocean basin and continental margin and gradually changing to lower resolution (5×1 km, x - and z -directions, respectively) outside of this area. The left and right boundaries were free-slip and thermally insulating (Figures 1a and 1b) boundaries. The implementation of “sticky” air and water allowed for a free topographical surface with a simple linear sedimentation and erosion model. The lower boundary was open to allow for slab penetration and spontaneous slab motion (Burg & Gerya, 2005).

Table 1
Rheologic Parameters Used in Numerical Experiments

Material	Flow Law	h		m	n	A	A_{diff}	A_{disl}	V	V_{diff}	V_{disl}	E	E_{diff}	E_{disl}	σ_{cr}		C
		m	n	$P a \cdot s$	s^{-1}	s^{-1}	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	$P a$	$P a$	μ_0
Felsic sediments	Wet quartzite		2.3	1.97e+17			3e-06					1.54e+05			3e+04	1e+06	0.2
Felsic crust	Wet quartzite		2.3	1.97e+17			3e-06					1.54e+05			3e+04	1e+06	0.6
Oceanic crust	Plag An ₇₅		3.2	4.8e+22			8e-06					2.38e+05			3e+04	3e+05	0.6
Dry mantle	Dry olivine	0.001	2.5		8.7e+15	3.5e+22			4e-06	2e-05			3e+05	5.4e+05		1e+06	0.6
Hydrated mantle	Wet olivine	0.001	2.5		5.3e+15	2e+18			4e-06	1e-05			2.4e+05	4.3e+05		1e+06	0.6
Serpentinized mantle	Serpentine		3.8	3.21e+36			3.2e-06					8.9e+03			3e+06	1e+06	0.2
Reference ^a		d	b, c, d	b, c	d	d	b, c	d	d	d	d	b, c	d	d	b, c	a	

Note. h = grain size, m = grain size exponent, n = stress exponent, A = material constant, V = activation volume, E = activation energy, σ_{cr} = critical stress for dislocation creep, C = compressive strength at $P = 0$, $\mu_{0,1}$ = initial and final internal friction coefficient, $\mu_0 = \mu_1 = 0$ for melt-bearing rocks. ^a = Turcotte and Schubert (2002), b = Ranalli (1995), c = Hilairet et al. (2007), d = Karato and Wu (1993).

A horizontal convergence force was applied to both plates in a rectangular region far from the continental margin (Figure 1c). An initial weak layer cutting the lithosphere permitted subduction to initiate. The rectangular convergence regions prescribed inside the plates applied constant horizontal velocities without deforming the lithosphere by maintaining constant viscosities of $\eta = 10^{25} Pa \cdot s$. The subduction angle was not prescribed and was governed by the free-motion of the sinking slab. Similarly, subduction velocity can vary with time in response to extension or shortening of the overriding plate. Therefore, we calculated the slab thermal parameter (Φ) for the initial conditions as the product of the horizontal convergence velocity (km/Ma) and the slab age (Ma , cf. McKenzie, 1969). We use $\Phi/100$ as it is commonly presented in the literature to reduce the thermal parameter to convenient values (Figure 2a).

2.2. Calculating Geotherms and Defining Lithospheric Thickness

The oceanic crust was modeled as 1 *km* of sediment cover overlying 2 *km* of basalt and 5 *km* of gabbro (Figure 1a). Oceanic lithosphere was continually made at a pseudo-mid-ocean ridge on the left boundary of the model (Figure 1b) and an enhanced vertical cooling condition was applied at 200 *km* from the mid-ocean ridge to adjust for the proper oceanic plate age, and therefore its lithospheric thickness as it enters the trench (Agrusta et al., 2013). We used plate ages from 32.6 to 110 *Ma* and convergence velocities from 40 to 100 *km/Ma* (Figure 2a). This range of slab parameters broadly reflects the middle-range of the modern global subduction system (Syracuse & Abers, 2006).

The initial continental geotherms were determined by solving the heat flow equation in one-dimension to 1,300°C (Figure 2b). We assumed a fixed temperature of 0°C at the surface, constant radiogenic heating of 1 $\mu W/m^3$ in the 35 *km*-thick continental crust and 0.022 $\mu W/m^3$ in the mantle, and thermal conductivities of 2.3 and 3.0 *W/mK* for the continental crust and mantle, respectively. Above, 1,300°C, temperature was assumed to increase by 0.5°C/*km* (the mantle adiabat).

Many studies define the base of the continental lithosphere at the 1,300°C isotherm, but it can be determined more accurately from viscosity and strain rate as the model progresses. The mechanical base of the lithosphere in our models generally occurred around the 1,100°C isotherm—characterized by a rapid decrease in viscosity and increase in strain rate (Figures A3–A5). As such, we consider the oceanic and continental lithosphere in this study as mechanical layers defined by viscosity, rather than merely temperature. For convenience, we refer to the continental lithospheric thickness as z_{1100} because of its general correspondence with the 1,100°C isotherm. Our modeled range of z_{1100} corresponds to backarc surface heat flow of 59, 63, 69, and 79 *mW/m^2*.

2.3. Metamorphic (De)hydration Reactions

Our use of Lagrangian markers to store pressure, temperature, and rheology allows for subsequent changes of material properties for rocks undergoing simulated metamorphic reactions. In our models, we focused on dehydration reactions in the slab and the formation and breakdown of antigorite in the mantle wedge. For computational efficiency, our models did not solve for thermodynamically stable mineral assemblages to compute water contents for the slab and mantle wedge (e.g., Connolly, 2005). Instead, we implemented a simple model for gradual dehydration and eclogitization of oceanic crust. Dehydration of oceanic crust is computed as a linear function of depth (lithostatic pressure), with a maximum depth (150 *km*), or temperature (1,427°C), where the slab became completely de-

Table 2
Key for Rock Types Used in Model Visualizations

Number	Rock Type
1	Air
2	Water
4	Sediments
5	Sediments
6	Felsic Crust
7	Felsic Crust
8	Basalt
9	Gabbro
10	Dry Mantle
11	Dry Mantle
12	Hydrated Mantle
14	Serpentinized Mantle

hydrated. This approach effectively simulates a continuous influx of water to the mantle wedge, beginning with compaction and release of connate water at shallow depths, followed by a sequence of reactions consuming major hydrous phases (chlorite, lawsonite, zoisite, chloritoid, talc, amphibole, and phengite) in different parts of the hydrated basaltic crust (Schmidt & Poli, 1998; van Keken et al., 2011). Eclogitization was modeled by the garnet-in reactions and plagioclase-out reactions defined by Ito and Kennedy (1971).

For the mantle wedge, hydration of strong peridotite to form weak brucite and serpentine along the subduction interface is likely responsible for mechanical decoupling between the slab and the mantle wedge at shallow levels (Agard et al., 2016; Hyndman & Peacock, 2003; Peacock & Hyndman, 1999). If so, noting that brucite breaks down at much lower temperatures than serpentine (Schmidt & Poli, 1998), the loss of serpentine likely represents the key transition from a weak wedge (and subduction interface) to a strong wedge. Dehydration of serpentine was modeled as an abrupt, discontinuous reaction, which is a good approximation for near-endmember compositions, like (Mg-rich) peridotites. The P-T conditions of the reaction *antigorite* $\rightleftharpoons$ *olivine* + *orthopyroxene* + H_2O were

based on the experimentally determined stability field from Schmidt and Poli (1998) and implemented into our model using the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{atg-out}}(z) &= 751.50 + 6.008 \times 10^{-3} z - 3.469 \times 10^{-8} z^2 & \text{for } z < 63000\text{m} \\ T_{\text{atg-out}}(z) &= 1013.2 - 6.039 \times 10^{-5} z - 4.289 \times 10^{-9} z^2 & \text{for } z > 63000\text{m} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where z is the depth of a marker from the surface in meters and T is temperature in Kelvins. This reaction placement is also consistent to within 25°C of recent experiments (Shen et al., 2015). Under assumptions of thermodynamic equilibrium, markers whose internal temperature exceeds $T(z)$ spontaneously formed *olivine* + *orthopyroxene* + H_2O , releasing their crystal-bound water. This implementation is common to versions of I2VIS that do not implement thermodynamic computations of mineral stability (e.g., Connolly, 2005).

We implicitly assumed slabs of different ages release water similarly. However, older slabs may carry water to greater depths, relative to younger slabs, because cold subduction thermal gradients may delay water-releasing reactions (Peacock, 1996). Stronger slab-age-dependent differences in mechanical coupling depths were not likely to result from including thermodynamically computed water contents in our models because mechanical coupling, as our models were parameterized, were controlled by the antigorite-out reaction (Equation 1). We found that alternate models with abrupt water release at the antigorite-out reaction stabilized after 5 Ma in a similar manner to models with gradual water release. The most important reaction to model was the antigorite-out reaction (Schmidt & Poli, 1998), which was both pressure-dependent and temperature-dependent (Equation 1), and will therefore be affected by differences in slab age. The effects of explicitly modeling other major dehydration reactions at shallower depths in the slabs were thus likely to be insignificant and greatly decrease the computational efficiency. We therefore chose a simplified gradual water release model for all slabs.

The excess water released by a rock marker was modeled as a fluid particle that migrates through moving rocks with a relative velocity defined by local dynamic (nonlithostatic) pressure gradients (after Faccenda et al., 2009). The fluid velocities are scaled by a 10 cm/yr vertical percolation velocity to account for purely lithostatic pressure gradients in the mantle (after Gorczyk et al., 2007, see Appendix, (De-) hydration model). The fluid particle migrates until it reaches material that can consume an additional amount of water by equilibrium hydration reactions (e.g., Equation 1). Theoretically, the mantle can store large amounts of water because antigorite is stable at shallow mantle conditions and can contain up to 13 wt% water (Reynard, 2013). Thermodynamic models predict 8 wt% water in the mantle wedge (Connolly, 2005). However, seismic studies suggest that most forearcs are only partially serpentinized (<20%–40%), equating to

Table 3
Melting Curves Used in Numerical Experiments

Material	Solidus Constants ^a								Liq Constants ^b	
	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>
Felsic sediments	1,200	889	1.79e+04	54	2.02e+04	831	0.0600	0	1,262	0.009
Felsic crust	1,200	889	1.79e+04	54	2.02e+04	831	0.0600	0	1,262	0.009
Oceanic Crust	1,600	973	7.04e+05	354	7.78e+07	935	0.0035	62	1,423	0.105
Dry mantle	0	0	0	0	0	1,394	0.1330	−51	2,073	0.114
Hydrated mantle	2,400	1,240	4.98e+04	323	0	0	127000.0000	35	2,073	0.114
Serpentinized mantle	2,400	1,240	4.98e+04	323	0	0	127000.0000	35	2,073	0.114

Note. After phase diagrams from Schmidt & Poli (1998).

^a $T(\text{Solidus}) = b + \frac{c}{(P + d)} + \frac{e}{(P + d)^2}$ at $P < a$, $f + gP + hP^2$ at $P \geq a$, P in [MPa], T in [K]. ^b $T(\text{Liquidus}) = i + jP$, P in [MPa], T in [K].

water contents of ca. 3–6 wt% (Abers et al., 2017; Carlson & Miller, 2003). Therefore, we limit mantle wedge hydration to ≤ 2 wt% H_2O and assume any H_2O in excess exits the system through channelized fluid flow (Davies, 1999).

2.4. Rheologic Model

Contributions from dislocation and diffusion creep flow laws are taken into account by computing a composite rheology for ductile rocks, η_{creep} :

Figure 2. The range of key parameters investigated in this study. (a) Modeled thermal parameters range from 13 to 110 km/100 and broadly reflect the distribution of slab ages and convergence velocities in modern subduction zones. Model names include the prefix “cd” for “coupling depth” with increasing alphabetic suffixes. Note that neither axis is continuous. (b) Geotherms were constructed using a one-dimensional steady-state conductive cooling model using $T(z = 0) = 0^\circ\text{C}$ and $q(z = 0) = 59, 63, 69, 79 \text{ mW/m}^2$, and constant radiogenic heating of $1.0 \mu\text{W/m}^3$ for a 35 km-thick crust and $0.022 \mu\text{W/m}^3$ for the mantle. The continental geotherms were calculated up to $1,300^\circ\text{C}$, with a 0.5°C/km gradient (the mantle adiabat) for higher temperatures.

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{creep}} = \frac{1}{\eta_{diff}} + \frac{1}{\eta_{disl}} \quad (2)$$

η_{diff} and η_{disl} are effective viscosities for diffusion and dislocation creep, respectively.

For the crust and serpentized mantle, η_{diff} and η_{disl} are computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{diff} &= \frac{A}{2\sigma_{cr}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\frac{E + PV}{RT}\right) \\ \eta_{disl} &= \frac{1}{2} A^n \exp\left(\frac{E + PV}{nRT}\right) \dot{\epsilon}_{II}^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where R is gas constant, P is pressure, T is temperature (in K), $\dot{\epsilon}_{II} = \sqrt{1/2(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij})^2}$ is the square root of the second invariant of the strain rate tensor, σ_{cr} is the assumed diffusion-dislocation transition stress, and A , E , V and n are the material constant, activation energy, activation volume, and stress exponent, respectively (Table 1, Hilairet et al., 2007; Ranalli, 1995).

For the mantle, η_{diff} and η_{disl} are computed as (Karato & Wu, 1993) follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{diff} &= \frac{G}{2A_{diff}} \left(\frac{h}{b}\right)^{\frac{m}{n}} \exp\left(\frac{E_{diff} + PV_{diff}}{RT}\right) \\ \eta_{disl} &= \frac{G}{2A_{disl}^{\frac{1}{n}}} \exp\left(\frac{E_{disl} + PV_{disl}}{nRT}\right) \dot{\epsilon}_{II}^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $b = 5 \times 10^{-10}$ m is Burgers vector, $G = 8 \times 10^{10}$ Pa is shear modulus, h is the assumed grain size, m is the grain size exponent, and the other flow law parameters are given in Table 1. Our models limited viscosity for all rocks at $\eta_{min} = 10^{17}$ Pa s and $\eta_{max} = 10^{25}$ Pa s.

The ductile rheology, η_{creep} , is combined with a brittle (plastic) rheology to yield an effective viscous-plastic rheology using the following upper limit for the ductile viscosity:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{creep} &\leq \frac{C + \mu P}{2\dot{\epsilon}_{II}} \\ \mu &= \mu_0 - \gamma\mu_\gamma \quad \text{for } \gamma \leq \gamma_0 \\ \mu &= \mu_1 \quad \text{for } \gamma > \gamma_0 \\ \gamma &= \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij(plastic)})^2} dt \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where μ is the internal friction coefficient, (μ_0 and μ_1 are the initial and final internal friction coefficients, respectively, Table 1), $\mu_\gamma = (\mu_0 - \mu_1)/\gamma_0$ is the fault weakening rate with integrated plastic strain, γ ($\gamma_0 = 1$ is the upper strain limit for fracture-related weakening), C is the rock compressive strength at $P = 0$ (Table 1), t is time (s), and $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij(plastic)}$ is the plastic strain rate tensor.

2.5. Visualization and Determination of Coupling Depth

For each run (e.g., Figure 3), we made calculations at ~ 10 Ma for surface heat flow (Figure 3a), rock type (Figures 3b and 3c), temperature (Figure 3d), viscosity (Figure 3e), strain rate (Figure 3f), shear heating (Figure 3g), and flow velocity (Figure 3h). We chose 10 Ma because changes to the overall dynamics and thermal structure are small after ca. 5 Ma and the additional 5 Ma provides sufficient time for the geodynamics to reach quasi-steady state (see Figure A1).

We determined the mechanical coupling depth, z_c , by visualizing viscosity at ~ 10 Ma and selecting a node closest to the point where the viscosity contrast between the serpentized-basal mantle wedge and non-

Figure 3

Figure 4. Visual table of coupling depth as determined across a range of lithospheric thicknesses and thermal parameters. Note that the thermal parameter axis is not linear. Corresponding experiments are listed along the top of the array. Coupling depth increases systematically with increasing backarc lithospheric thickness (change in grayscale down columns) for all models. Any trend in coupling depth with respect to slab thermal parameter (change in grayscale along a row) is less apparent.

serpentinized basal mantle wedge diminishes to $<10^2 \text{ Pa s}$ (Figure 3e). In this study, we assume that the mechanical coupling depth occurs instantaneously and at a single node. However, mechanical coupling in reality must be dispersed across a finite length along the slab-mantle interface. At the resolution of our models, there was a small area (ca. $5 \times 5 \text{ km}$ or 5×5 nodes) where z_c could appropriately be determined, giving an uncertainty in our z_c determination on the order of $\pm 2.5 \text{ km}$.

3. Results

3.1. Coupling Depth Predictors

Across all 64 numerical models (Figure 4, Table 4), coupling depth correlates strongly, but not linearly, with increasing backarc lithospheric thickness (Figure 5a). This correlation is a key result of our experiments. Slab thermal parameter alone does not correlate obviously with coupling depth (Figure 5b). Considering standard least squares regressions of all possible permutations of the variables z_{1100} , z_{1100}^2 , and Φ , the following equation optimizes the number of parameters, p value, and R^2 :

$$z_c = 4.95 \times 10^{-3} z_{1100}^2 - 9.27 \times 10^{-2} \Phi + 63.6 \quad (6)$$

where z is in km and Φ is in $\text{km}/100$. Regression results are provided in Tables A1 and A2 and show that alternative linear and quadratic models of z_c versus z_{1100} and Φ fit the experiments well. Equation 6 permits the prediction of the mechanical coupling depths in modern subduction zones where z_c can be inverted from heat flow and Φ is estimated.

In plots of lithospheric thickness versus slab thermal parameter (Figures 6a–6c), contours of coupling depth are shallowly sloped, indicating that lithospheric thickness is more important than slab thermal parameter in predicting coupling depth. Predicted coupling depth for 17 modern subduction segments where backarc heat flow data are adequate for inverting for lithospheric thickness (Table 5, Figure 6d) show a wide range of

Figure 3. Visualization of model cdf with a 78 km-thick backarc lithosphere at $\sim 10 \text{ Ma}$. (a) Surface heat flow calculated at a depth of 2 km beneath the surface. (b) Rock type for the entire model domain. (c) Rock type in the region from the trench to $\sim 220 \text{ km}$ into the backarc shows a serpentine layer (pink) lubricating the interface between slab and mantle by 10 Ma. Melt is inconspicuous in the arc region because of low melt volumes and quick extraction. The effects of melting are more apparent in viscosity (e) and strain rate (f). (d) Temperature distribution shows strong thermal gradients (“bunching”) near the coupling point where serpentine becomes unstable. (e) Log viscosity shows high contrast between the slab and serpentinized mantle in the forearc and low contrast where serpentine becomes unstable. Subvertical structure above coupling point reflects melt migration. (f) Log strain rate shows localized strain in the serpentine layer that expands toward the warm core of the mantle wedge as serpentine becomes unstable. Deformation in the mantle wedge is restricted to beneath the stiff lithosphere and along subvertical melt conduits. (g) Shear heating parallels strain rate and is focused into weakest horizons. (h) Streamlines show focused mantle wedge flow toward the coupling region. Rock type key is given in Table 2.

Model	z_{1100}	Φ	z_c	Model	z_{1100}	Φ	z_c	Model	z_{1100}	Φ	z_c	Model	z_{1100}	Φ	z_c
cda46	46	13.0	66	cda62	62	13.0	80	cda78	78	13.0	87	cda94	94	13.0	95
cdb46	46	21.5	74	cdb62	62	21.5	79	cdb78	78	21.5	94	cdb94	94	21.5	101
cdc46	46	26.1	69	cdc62	62	26.1	78	cdc78	78	26.1	97	cdc94	94	26.1	108
cdd46	46	32.6	67	cdd62	62	32.6	77	cdd78	78	32.6	97	cdd94	94	32.6	113
cde46	46	22.0	72	cde62	62	22.0	87	cde78	78	22.0	90	cde94	94	22.0	100
cdf46	46	36.3	78	cdf62	62	36.3	82	cdf78	78	36.3	90	cdf94	94	36.3	104
cdg46	46	44.0	78	cdg62	62	44.0	75	cdg78	78	44.0	88	cdg94	94	44.0	104
cdh46	46	55.0	59	cdh62	62	55.0	70	cdh78	78	55.0	85	cdh94	94	55.0	104
cdi46	46	34.0	80	cdi62	62	34.0	91	cdi78	78	34.0	97	cdi94	94	34.0	101
cdj46	46	56.1	70	cdj62	62	56.1	77	cdj78	78	56.1	91	cdj94	94	56.1	102
cdk46	46	68.0	58	cdk62	62	68.0	72	cdk78	78	68.0	84	cdk94	94	68.0	101
cdl46	46	85.0	65	cdl62	62	85.0	67	cdl78	78	85.0	77	cdl94	94	85.0	107
cdm46	46	44.0	79	cdm62	62	44.0	88	cdm78	78	44.0	78	cdm94	94	44.0	106
cdn46	46	72.6	70	cdn62	62	72.6	77	cdn78	78	72.6	87	cdn94	94	72.6	102
cdo46	46	88.0	68	cdo62	62	88.0	74	cdo78	78	88.0	85	cdo94	94	88.0	98
cdp46	46	110.0	64	cdp62	62	110.0	75	cdp78	78	110.0	78	cdp94	94	110.0	108

Figure 5. Bivariate regressions. (a) Coupling depth versus lithospheric thickness shows coupling depth increasing nonlinearly with increasing backarc lithospheric thickness, which is best fit by a quadratic curve. The correlation is highly significant (see Tables A1 and A2) and explains more than 80% of the variance in coupling depth. Lithospheric thickness alone predicts coupling depth well (Tables A1 and A2). (b) Coupling depth versus slab thermal parameter shows no significant correlation (the slope is not significantly different than zero, Table A2). The thermal state of the slab has little effect on coupling depth and cannot be used as a standalone predictor.

Figure 6. Multivariate regressions and predicted coupling depths for present-day arc segments. Contour plots show predicted coupling depths (contours) as a function of slab thermal parameter and lithospheric thickness for linear (a) and quadratic (b and c) regressions, where (b) is the best fit regression (Equation 6, see Tables A1 and A2). Each black square data point represents a numerical model, and white dots are the predicted coupling depths for modern-day subduction zones (Table 5). Coupling depth strongly depends on lithospheric thickness, regardless of the regression. Subduction systems with similar thermal parameters can have quite different predicted coupling depths (e.g., Alaska vs. N. Sumatra), while subduction systems with quite different thermal parameters can have quite similar predicted coupling depths (e.g., Kamchatka vs. N. Cascadia) (d) Distribution of predicted coupling depths for the 17 modern subduction zones shown in (a–c). These 17 segments span a large range of thermal parameters but are predicted to have coupling depths of 82 ± 14 km.

Table 5
Predicted Coupling Depth for Modern Subduction Zones

Segment	q_s^a mWm^{-2}	z_{1100} km	Φ^b $km/100$	z_c^c km	z_c^d km	z_c^e km	Ref
N. Cascadia	75	74.2	3.4	92	91	90	1
Nankai	69	96.3	6.9	107	109	110	1
Mexico	72	98.1	7.2	108	111	112	1
Columbia-Ecuador	80	66.4	10.4	86	84	84	1
S.C. Chile	80	66.4	20.0	85	84	83	1
Kyushu	69	83.2	13.5	97	97	96	1
N. Sumatra	120	26.8	25.0	57	65	68	2
Alaska	80	66.4	25.3	85	83	82	1
N. Chile	85	58.7	38.4	78	77	77	1
N. Costa Rica	80	58.5	20.4	80	79	78	1
Aleutians	75	51.6	39.6	73	73	73	1
N. Hikurangi	80	58.5	41.0	78	77	76	1
Mariana	80	47.5	54.6	69	70	70	1
Kermadec	80	47.5	60.0	68	69	70	1
Kamchatka	70	80.2	77.0	89	88	88	1
Izu	80	47.5	77.0	67	68	68	1
NE Japan	88	47.7	107.9	64	65	65	1

^aAvg. backarc heat flow from Currie & Hyndman (2006). ^b Φ = age convergence velocity; applicable to Equation 4. ^c $z_c = z_{1100} + \Phi$. ^d $z_c = z_{1100}^2 + \Phi$. ^e $z_c = z_{1100} + z_{1100}^2 + \Phi$. ^f1 = Currie & Hyndman (2006), 2 = Wada & Wang (2009).

predicted coupling depths, similar to our model simulations. Predicted coupling depths for modern subduction zones are distributed quasi-normally, with a mean of ~ 82 km and variation of ± 14 km (2σ Figure 6d).

3.2. Surface Heat Flow

Calculated surface heat flow for numerical models with low to moderate slab thermal parameters (Figure 7) stabilize at uniform values in the backarc region, which reflect initial steady-state backarc geotherms. However, all numerical models show some high-amplitude and high-frequency positive deviations in heat flow within the arc and backarc regions (Figure 7), especially for the oldest slabs with the highest convergence rates (highest Φ). These deviations correspond to strong extensional deformation and heat transport via lithospheric thinning and melt migration. The effect of fluid and melt migration is most apparent as subvertical low viscosity, and high strain rate columns above the coupling point (Figures 3e and 3f). The backarc is unaffected by fluid and melt migration, making it the best choice for inverting lithospheric thickness from heat flow. Heat flow deviations in the arc and backarc regions underscore the importance of characterizing extensional deformation and local advective heat transport by fluids before attempting to invert backarc lithospheric thickness from surface heat flow.

A comparison of surface heat flow across numerical models with identical slabs, but varying backarc lithospheric thickness (model cdf with 46, 62, 78, and 94 km-thick lithospheres) shows very similar values of heat flow in the forearc (normalized distance ≤ 0.75 , Figure 8). In contrast, surface heat flow in the near-arc to backarc (normalized distance > 0.75 ; Figure 8) disperses systematically and reflects initial steady-state continental geotherms (i.e., lithospheric thickness). In nature, surface heat flow will depend on fault slip rates and rates of volcanic outputs, especially in the forearc and arc regions. However, heat flow in the back-arc may remain in steady state if rates of volcanism and crustal thinning by extension are low.

Figure 7. Surface heat flow versus normalized distance for numerical models with backarc lithospheric thicknesses of 46, 62, 78, and 94 km. Normalized distance is the true distance relative to the trench divided by the distance between the arc and trench. Heat flow is colored according to the slab thermal parameter. High amplitude fluctuations in heat flow in the arc region (normalized distance = 1.0) correspond to vertical migration of fluids and melts. In the backarc region, these fluctuations correspond to backarc extension associated with the oldest and fastest-moving slabs (lighter gray lines). Models with no extension fall within a narrow range of surface heat flow values in the backarc region (darker gray lines).

4. Discussion

4.1. Reaction Mechanism and Thermal Controls on Slab-Mantle Mechanical Coupling

In our numerical experiments, slab-mantle mechanical coupling is spatially associated with the reaction of (weak) antigorite to form (stronger) wet olivine. An antigorite-rich serpentinized subduction channel spontaneously forms atop the dehydrating slab, localizing strain, lubricating the slab-mantle interface, and mechanically decoupling the slab and mantle wedge (e.g., Agard et al., 2016; Ruh et al., 2015). As anticipated, it is the viscosity increase resulting from the transformation of antigorite that causes slab-mantle mechanical coupling in our models, where the depth of this reaction is primarily controlled by a balance of competing thermal feedbacks acting in the upper plate. Cooling and hydration of the upper lithospheric

Figure 8. Surface heat flow versus normalized distance for model cdf with backarc lithospheric thicknesses ranging from 46 to 94 km. The range of surface heat flow values in the forearc (normalized distance between 0.0 and 1.0) is narrow and shows little variance until near the arc (normalized distance between 0.75 and 1.0). Surface heat flow broadly disperses in the backarc (normalized distance > 1.0) and reflects the initial continental geotherm (lithospheric thickness). A simple relationship between surface heat flow and lithospheric thickness may be obscured in any region experiencing an addition of heat from extension or vertical migration of fluids, especially within the arc region.

mantle wedge (antigorite stabilization) and heating from the lower circulating asthenospheric mantle wedge (antigorite destabilization) compete to fix the antigorite-out reaction at depth (Figure 9).

The entire process can be conceptualized as follows. Cooling of the mantle wedge occurs mainly via diffusive heat loss to the slab along the entire length of the subducted slab-top (Figure 9a). At shallow levels, water released from the slab stabilizes serpentine in the overriding mantle, effectively decoupling the slab mechanically from the mantle (Figure 9b, point a). This mechanical decoupling promotes antigorite stabilization to greater depths because the mantle wedge stagnates and continues to cool and receive water from the dehydrating slab—a positive feedback for the formation of serpentinite. Only a thin layer of serpentine forms at the slab-mantle interface in our models, but it is sufficient to mechanically decouple the slab and mantle.

At deeper levels, beyond the stability of antigorite, diffusive heat loss from the mantle to the slab forms a thickening layer of high-viscosity mantle atop the slab (Figure 9b, point b). Downward motion of the slab, plus accreted high-viscosity mantle (Figure 9b, point b), relative to the deepest extent of the stiff forearc mantle (Figure 9b, point c) creates a pressure gradient that attracts flow of the weakest materials—serpentinite from the updip direction (Figure 9b, point d)—and hot mantle from below (Figure 9b, point e). Flow of hot mantle into the necking region between points b and c is analogous to passive asthenospheric upwelling toward a mid-ocean ridge where two strong cooling lithospheric plates diverge. Highly efficient heat advection from the warm mantle wedge core (Figure 9a) prevents formation of serpentine—thus limiting and stabilizing the coupling depth.

Thermal and petrologic feedbacks—the addition of water into a diffusively cooling, shallow mantle to produce serpentine versus the advection of heat from the deeper mantle to prevent it—drive coupling toward steady-state. Our results imply a finely tuned balance of serpentine stability that can maintain coupling depths in subduction zones for 10 s of Ma.

4.2. The Impact of z_{1100} and Φ on Coupling

How does backarc lithospheric thickness (z_{1100}) influence coupling depth? The lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary of the upper plate defines the permissible flow field of circulating upper mantle, limiting highly efficient heat advection to below the base of the mechanical lithosphere (Figures 10a–10d). Thin upper plate lithospheres (Figures 10a and 10b) permit shallower mantle wedge circulation and advection of heat farther up the subduction interface. This shallow circulation raises the depth of the serpentine breakdown reaction and mechanical coupling. Thick upper plate lithospheres (Figures 10c and 10d) restrict mantle wedge circulation and advection of heat to deeper levels, shifting the serpentine breakdown reaction and mechanical coupling to greater depths.

In our models, the thermal state of the slab, as represented by the thermal parameter (Φ), has almost no effect on the mechanical coupling depth. Previous studies of modern subduction zones also concluded that coupling appears to be insensitive to slab age and convergence velocity (Furukawa, 1993; Wada & Wang, 2009). The likely reason that Φ makes so little difference is because slab dynamics induce a negative feedback between diffusive cooling and advective heating. High- Φ slabs (older slabs with higher velocities) cool the mantle wedge more effectively, but also result in stronger mantle circulation and greater heat advection. In contrast, low- Φ slabs (younger slabs with lower velocities) are less effective in cooling the mantle wedge, but also result in weaker mantle circulation and less heat advection. That is, the shallow versus

Figure 9. Visualization of temperature, viscosity, and velocity near the coupling region at ~ 10 Ma for model cdf with lithospheric thickness of 78 km. Velocity arrows are relative to the model boundaries, so the upper mantle wedge has a leftwards velocity equal to the velocity of the upper plate, but is stagnant with respect to itself. (a) High-velocity mantle flow occurs only beneath the lithospheric base ($1,100^{\circ}\text{C}$), transferring heat toward the coupling region. (b) Log viscosity indicates coupling at the point where the viscosity contrast between the slab and mantle approaches zero. Reference points a–e are used for discussing reaction mechanisms and thermal feedbacks during the transition to slab–mantle mechanical coupling.

deep impacts of Φ tend to cancel each other, explaining the lack of strong correlation between coupling and thermal parameter.

4.3. Predicting Coupling Depths in Subduction Zones

Theoretically, coupling depth can be predicted directly from forearc heat flow using forward modeling techniques to fit surface heat flow data (e.g., Wada & Wang, 2009). However, we caution against using fore-arc

Figure 10. Streamlines for the standard model, cdf, with backarc lithospheric thickness of (a) 46, (b) 62, (c) 78, and (d) 94 km. All models are visualized at ~ 10 Ma and plotted on the same scale and location within the model domain. The flow of warm mantle is restricted below the $1,100^{\circ}\text{C}$ isotherm, which corresponds to the base of the backarc lithosphere. A minimum coupling depth appears to exist as models with extremely thin lithospheres (a) exhibit coupling at $\sim 70\text{--}80$ km depth, but increasing z_{1100} increases coupling depth as mantle flow and advective heat transport are diminished.

heat flow data because (a) forward models adjust the mechanical coupling depth independently from the backarc thermal structure, which is inconsistent with the inherent link between mechanical coupling and backarc thermal structure discussed above (e.g., Figures 8 and 10), and (b) shear heating and crustal plutonism can contribute to surface heat flow in the forearc (Gao & Wang, 2014; Rees Jones et al., 2018), complicating any simple correspondence with coupling depth.

Instead, we recommend predicting mechanical coupling depth in modern subduction zones based on Equation 6, estimates of backarc lithospheric thickness (as estimated from backarc heat flow), and slab thermal parameter. The slab thermal parameter is inventoried for most subduction zone segments (Syracuse & Abers, 2006), but a corresponding data set of lithospheric thicknesses does not exist. Several geophysical and petrologic methods might be considered for independent estimates of lithospheric thickness (e.g., seismic velocities, flexure, heat flow, mantle xenoliths, etc.), but we prefer to focus on backarc surface heat flow because of its correspondence with thermal structure. In this approach, backarc lithospheric thickness is estimated using simple one-dimensional heat transport models assuming values for radiogenic heat production in the crust (e.g., Rudnick et al., 1998). That is, one can use compiled backarc heat flow data to invert for backarc lithospheric thickness, calculate the slab thermal parameter from the product of slab age and convergence velocity, and apply Equation 6 to estimate mechanical coupling depth. Of course, care must be taken to avoid backarcs with strong extensional deformation or conspicuous heating from magmatism because heat flow in these regions will underestimate backarc lithospheric thickness and consequently underestimate the coupling depth.

4.4. A Common Coupling Depth Globally?

Mechanical coupling depths in subduction zones are commonly assumed to fall within a narrow range of 70–80 km (Syracuse et al., 2010; Wada & Wang, 2009). We tested the correlation between backarc lithospheric thickness and coupling depth using the natural data set compiled by Wada and Wang (2009) (Figure 6d). Much of their data set is based on Currie and Hyndman (2006), who infer backarc lithospheric thicknesses for 10 circum-Pacific subduction zones of 50–60 km (as defined by the 1,200°C isotherm). Backarc lithospheres are inferred to be relatively thin from uniformly high heat flow ($>70 \text{ mW/m}^2$), thermobarometric constraints on mantle xenoliths, and P-wave velocities (Currie & Hyndman, 2006). The mean value of $82 \pm 14 \text{ km}$ (2σ) for mechanical coupling depths in our analysis (Figure 6d) roughly matches the preferred depth inferred from forearc heat flow data for Cascadia and NE Japan (75–80 km, Syracuse et al., 2010; Wada & Wang, 2009) km, but our predicted coupling depths (Figure 6d) are distributed broadly. For example, omitting Mexico and Nankai because their Φ values fall outside our modeling domain, predicted coupling depths range from almost 100 km (Kyushu) to ~65 km (Sumatra and NE Japan, Table 5).

The petrologic record may also provide insight into the consistency of a mechanical coupling depth. As it approaches the mechanical coupling depth, the serpentine channel narrows and focuses return flow. The demise of the serpentine channel at greater depths may provide a natural barrier such that rocks within the serpentine channel are more likely to be exhumed than rocks below the channel. If so, the abundance of blueschists and eclogites whose maximum burial depths are less than the pinchout of the serpentine channel, that is, at the mechanical coupling depth, should be greater than rocks with greater maximum burial depths. A cumulative probability distribution of data compiled by Penniston-Dorland et al. (2015) shows a distinct kink at an inferred depth of ~80 km (Figure A2). Rocks whose peak metamorphic pressures correspond with depths $\leq 80 \text{ km}$ are much more likely to be exhumed than rocks metamorphosed at depths $> 80 \text{ km}$. In fact, nearly all examples of rocks exhumed from greater depths in the compilation of Penniston-Dorland et al. (2015) were exhumed in the context of collisions. Logically, the metamorphic record supports a common mechanical coupling depth of $\leq 80 \text{ km}$.

Relatively high backarc heat flow, especially in circum-Pacific subduction zones, suggests relatively stable and uniformly thin backarc lithospheres in mature subduction zones globally that may in turn cause a common depth of slab-mantle coupling. Although we do not yet fully understand why the overriding plates may have similar thicknesses, we can assume that this is likely related to some processes of lithospheric erosion proposed for subarc lithosphere. The following mechanisms of lithospheric erosion have been proposed: lithospheric delamination induced by lower crust eclogitization (e.g., Sobolev & Babeyko, 2005), small-scale convection caused by hydration-induced mantle wedge weakening (e.g., Arcay et al., 2006), thermal erosion

(e.g., England & Katz, 2010) and mechanical weakening (e.g., Gerya & Meilick, 2011) by percolating melts, and subarc foundering of magmatic cumulates (e.g., Jull & Kelemen, 2001). Most of these mechanisms are thus strongly related to mantle wedge hydration, melting, and melt transport toward volcanic arcs.

5. Conclusions

Four important results are highlighted in this study:

1. The antigorite to olivine dehydration reaction stabilizes where balance is achieved between competing thermal feedbacks within the stagnant mantle wedge lithosphere (diffusive heat loss and inefficient heat advection) and circulating mantle wedge asthenosphere (highly efficient and focused heat advection). The depth of this reaction, and thus mechanical coupling, is primarily dependent on the mechanical thickness of the backarc lithosphere.
2. A simple expression fitted to the results of our numerical models allows the mechanical coupling depth to be calculated for subduction zone segments with adequate surface heat flow data in the backarc region. Back-arc lithospheric thickness can be inferred from surface heat flow using a one-dimensional steady-state conductive cooling model. Together with slab thermal parameter, which is tabulated for nearly all subduction segments worldwide (Syracuse et al., 2010; Syracuse & Abers, 2006), coupling depth can be calculated using Equation 6.
3. Consistently high backarc heat flow in circum-Pacific subduction zones (Currie & Hyndman, 2006; Wada & Wang, 2009) may indicate a common depth of slab-mantle mechanical coupling globally at ca. 80 km. Prior assumptions of slab-mantle mechanical coupling at 70–80 km in thermal models are broadly consistent with our results.
4. As others have proposed (Currie & Hyndman, 2006; Wada & Wang, 2009), subduction zones may self-organize into stable configurations globally with warm (thin) upper plate lithospheres and slab-mantle mechanical coupling at depths of ca. 80 km. Questions remain, however, including: (1) How do warm (thin) backarcs persist over 100's of kilometers throughout the lifespan of subduction zones? (2) How abrupt is the antigorite-out reaction along the subduction interface? and (3) How can predictive models like Equation 6 be improved using natural datasets? We propose these questions as topics for future research.

Appendix A

A1. Subduction Duration to Achieve Steady State

In our models, the stability depth of antigorite along the slab-mantle interface increases with time as the subducting slab cools and hydrates the mantle wedge. We observed this process for the first 5 Ma of subduction with the antigorite stability depth remaining constant for ca. 10 Ma afterward (Figure A1). The change in the antigorite stability depth, and therefore the slab-mantle mechanical coupling depth, through ca. 10 Ma emerges self-consistently in our models and can help explain how similar configurations, in terms of the depth to the slab beneath arcs (England et al., 2004) and thin backarc lithospheres (Currie & Hyndman, 2006), occur in subduction zones with different slab ages, convergence rates, geometries, and subduction durations. Our modeling results indicate that subduction zones quickly (<5 Ma) develop and stabilize quasi-permanent, generalized configurations with a slab-mantle mechanical coupling depth dependent on the thickness of the backarc lithosphere.

Exceptions occur in our models with the thinnest backarc lithospheres ($z_{1100} = 46$ km), which exhibit transient behavior after ca. 5 Ma (Figure 1). These models rapidly (<5 Ma) develop spreading centers in the backarc because of their extremely thin (weak) backarc lithospheres. The proximity of a spreading center in the backarc region diverts heat from the circulating mantle wedge and interferes with the development of a stable antigorite breakdown location compared with the systems that do not develop a backarc spreading center. In principle, diversion of heat to the backarc could lead to cooler subducting slabs (less heat advection) and deeper coupling. This hypothesis may be tested by artificially increasing the strength of the backarc lithosphere for models with very thin backarc lithospheres to prevent high rates of backarc spreading.

Figure A1. The depth of antigorite stability at the slab-mantle interface versus time for models with 46, 62, 78, and 94 km-thick backarc lithospheres. Antigorite stabilization deepens for the first ca. 5 Ma of subduction and then remains roughly constant for ca. 10 Ma. The exceptions are models with very thin backarc lithospheres, which exhibit transient behavior for at least 15 Ma. The final achieved stability depth of antigorite increases with increasing backarc lithospheric thickness.

Table A1

Summary of ANOVA Test

Term	Contrast	Estimate (km)	Upper Bound(km)	Lower Bound(km)	p value
ζ_{1100}	62–46	8.3	2.5	14.0	1.84e-03
ζ_{1100}	78–46	18.0	12.3	23.7	1.08e-10
ζ_{1100}	94–46	33.6	27.8	39.3	1.99e-11
ζ_{1100}	78–62	9.8	4.0	15.5	1.83e-04
ζ_{1100}	94–62	25.3	19.6	31.0	1.99e-11
ζ_{1100}	94–78	15.6	9.8	21.3	7.31e-09

Note. Pair-wise Tukey's test comparing means between groups. Estimates are differences between means. Null hypothesis is that means are not different.

A2. Absolute Minimum Coupling Depths

The form of our preferred quadratic regression model shows shallowing of coupling depths decelerating with progressively thinner upper plate lithospheres, reaching a minimum of ca. 60 km. This implies that the antigorite-out reaction should eventually stabilize at ≥ 60 km depth even during nascent subduction and in warm systems with thin upper plate lithospheres. From a theoretical point of view, a thin upper plate lithosphere could allow high heat transport to the shallow mantle wedge and hinder stabilization of antigorite altogether. Olivine plus pyroxene would be the stable mantle minerals, and strong, shallow coupling between plates would be expected Gerya et al. (2008). However, our models show that even the warmest subduction systems eventually stabilize antigorite in the shallow mantle wedge. This is evident by the increasing depth of mechanical coupling with time for the first 5 Ma of subduction (Figure A1).

Figure A2. Cumulative probability versus peak metamorphic pressures for metamorphic rocks exhumed from subduction zones. The break in slope at ca. 2.5 GPa (ca. 80 km) suggests that the relative probability that a rock will exhume from pressures less than ca. 2.5 GPa is substantially greater (steeper slope) than for higher pressure rocks (shallower slope). Nearly all rocks exhumed from pressures greater than ca. 2.5 GPa derive from continental crust and were exhumed during collision. Paucity of data below 0.5 GPa reflects sampling bias because these rocks are at best incipiently metamorphosed and not conducive to thermobarometry.

Table A2
Summary of Regression Models

Model	Term	Estimate	Std. Error	p value
1	Intercept	89.4	3.7	2.24e-33
1	ϕ	-0.1	0.1	1.55e-01
2	Intercept	36.4	3.2	7.15e-17
2	z_{1100}	0.7	0.0	3.73e-23
3	Intercept	58.9	1.7	1.43e-41
3	z_{1100}^2	0.0	0.0	2.98e-24
4	Intercept	69.2	14.0	6.25e-06
4	z_{1100}	-0.3	0.4	4.63e-01
4	z_{1100}^2	0.0	0.0	1.95e-02
5	Intercept	41.1	3.3	1.14e-18
5	z_{1100}	0.7	0.0	1.12e-24
5	ϕ	-0.1	0.0	1.18e-03
6	Intercept	63.6	2.1	8.29e-39
6	z_{1100}^2	0.0	0.0	5.68e-26
6	ϕ	-0.1	0.0	6.98e-04
7	Intercept	73.8	12.9	3.39e-07
7	z_{1100}	-0.3	0.4	4.23e-01
7	z_{1100}^2	0.0	0.0	1.12e-02
7	ϕ	-0.1	0.0	7.28e-04

Note. 1, $z_c = \phi$; 2, $z_c = z_{1100}$; 3, $z_c = z_{1100}^2$; 4, $z_c = z_{1100} + z_{1100}^2$; 5, $z_c = z_{1100} + \phi$; 6, $z_c = z_{1100}^2 + \phi$; 7, $z_c = z_{1100} + z_{1100}^2 + \phi$.

A3. (De)hydration Model

The material properties used in our experiments are listed in Tables 1 and 3. For details about the sedimentation and erosion, melting and extraction, and rheological models, please refer to Sizova et al. (2010). Here we discuss only the hydrodynamic model, because it is the most relevant aspect of our results.

The hydrodynamics in our models controls the timing and magnitude of mantle wedge hydration. The main sources of water delivered to the mantle are altered basaltic crust and seafloor sediments, which we assumed to contain up to 5 wt% H_2O . We assumed a gradual expulsion of water from pore space and through quasi-continuous dehydration reactions occurring within the slab. Water content is computed using the following equation:

$$\chi_{H_2O(wt\%)} = \chi_{H_2O(p_0)} \times \left(1 - \frac{\Delta z}{150 \cdot 10^3} \right)$$

where $\chi_{H_2O(p_0)} = 5 \text{ wt\%}$ and Δz is a marker's depth below the topographical surface.

If a rock marker dehydrates, an independent water particle is instantaneously generated at the same location with the respective H_2O content. The new water particle is moved in accordance to the local velocity field, described by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\text{water}} &= (v_{x(\text{fluid})}, v_{z(\text{fluid})}) \\ v_{z(\text{fluid})} &= v_{z(\text{fluid})} - v_{z(\text{percolation})} \end{aligned}$$

Figure A3. Visualizations of standard model cdf78 at 1.64 Ma. (a) Rock type. (b) Temperature. (c) Log₁₀ of viscosity. (d) Streamlines. Early subduction is facilitated by the prescribed initial weak layer cutting the lithosphere. Strain is localized in the weak serpentine layer at the slab-mantle interface. The mantle wedge is stagnant and loses heat to the slab, which promotes antigorite stabilization to greater depths. Rock type colors are given in Table 2.

where v_{water} is the velocity vector of the water particle, v_x and v_z are the local velocity vectors of the solid state mantle or crust, and $v_{z(\text{percolation})}$ is a prescribed upward percolation velocity (10 cm/year). We implicitly neglect kinetics of reactions, as material properties of markers change instantaneously at equilibrium reactions.

A4. Approaches for Implementing Slab-Mantle Mechanical Coupling

Numerical models employ different approaches to simulate the mechanical decoupling-coupling transition along the slab-mantle interface. A simple but highly effective approach is to prescribe a weak layer extending from the surface to some arbitrary depth or temperature that inhibits transfer of shear stress across the slab-mantle interface. This approach is analogous to implementing a no-slip condition and it effectively decouples the slab from the mantle. Numerous models use this method (e.g., Peacock, 1996; Peacock & Wang, 1999; Syracuse et al., 2010; Wada & Wang, 2009) in part because it allows models to be fine-tuned to specific subduction zone configurations. Serpentine- or talc-rich horizons are typically invoked to justify mechanical decoupling along the shallow interface.

Our approach does not explicitly define slab-mantle mechanical coupling, rather we use a rheologic model that explicitly follows experimentally determined flow laws and mineral stability fields. Conceptually, our approach follows and extends petrologic explanations for a shallow weak interface. At lower temperatures, a hydrated, serpentine-rich, or possibly talc-rich, layer mechanically decouples the mantle wedge from the subducting slab (Hyndman & Peacock, 2003; Peacock & Hyndman, 1999). If so, as a corollary, dehydration of serpentine, or possibly talc, at high temperatures must strengthen the interface. In that context, and noting that talc is unstable at $P > 2.0 \text{ GPa}$ in an ultramafic rock (Schmidt & Poli, 1998) $> \text{GPa}$, we assigned a serpentine rheology for P-T conditions below the serpentine-out reaction, and a wet peridotite rheology above it.

To test the sensitivity of coupling on our rheologic model, we ran diverse experiments that adjusted the rheology of antigorite, the shape and position of the antigorite-out reaction, and certain hydrodynamic parameters. For brevity, these results are not presented here. The experiments included the following: (1) antigorite = wet olivine flow law, (2) antigorite and wet olivine = dry olivine flow law, (3) isothermal antigorite reaction (straight, vertical reaction line) at 690°C , (4) antigorite reaction with positive nonlinear Clapeyron slope until 715°C , where it changes to isothermal (kinked reaction line), (5) linear antigorite reaction with positive Clapeyron slope (straight, sloped reaction line), (6) linear release of antigorite-bound H_2O with depth (instead of abrupt release at equilibrium dehydration reaction), and (7) no fluid-induced weakening (pore-pressure = 0).

Only experiments 5 and 7 listed above were inconsistent with the results presented in the present study. Experiment 5 resulted in transient coupling depths and disjointed antigorite stability in the mantle wedge, whereas Experiment 7 resulted in two-sided subduction (e.g., Gerya et al., 2008). These experiments suggest that the coupling mechanism in our models is mostly contingent on the availability of fluids to the mantle wedge and the shape of the equilibrium antigorite reaction, and relatively insensitive to the exact flow law parameters.

Figure A4. Visualizations of standard model cdf78 at 5.05 Ma. (a) Rock type. (b) Temperature. (c) Log₁₀ of viscosity. (d) Streamlines. By 5 Ma, balance is achieved between heat sinking from the upper mantle wedge to lower parts of the mantle and strong advection of heat in the circulating part of the mantle wedge. A feedback has already developed—heat advection inhibits antigorite stabilization to greater depths. Rock type colors are given in Table 2.

Figure A5. Visualizations of standard model cdf78 at 9.93 Ma. (a) Rock type. (b) Temperature. (c) Log₁₀ of viscosity. (d) Streamlines. The geodynamics and thermal structure remain approximately constant from 5 Ma (cf. Figure A4). The system remains in steady state for as long as enough water is supplied to the upper mantle wedge to stabilize antigorite. Rock type colors are given in Table 2.

Data Availability Statement

Datasets and tools for reproducing the research in this study are available at (<https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/ZJAC3>). All data, scripts, and instructions for compiling, processing, and visualizing the data in this study can be found at <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/ZJAC3>, the official Open Science Framework data repository. All code is MIT Licensed and freely for use and distribution (see license details).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics group at the Institut für Geophysik, ETH Zürich, for their computing resources and invaluable instruction, discussion, and support on the numerical modelling methods. The authors also thank P. Agard, L. Le Pourhiet, and their students at ISTE, Sorbonne Université, for suggestions on the numerical modelling methods and discussions that greatly enhanced this study. The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions, which greatly improved the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant OIA1545903 to M. Kohn, S. Penniston-Dorland, and M. Feineman.

References

- Abers, G. A., van Keken, P. E., & Hacker, B. R. (2017). The cold and relatively dry nature of mantle forearcs in subduction zones. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(5), 333–337. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2922>
- Agard, P., Yamato, P., Jolivet, L., & Burov, E. (2009). Exhumation of oceanic blueschists and eclogites in subduction zones: Timing and mechanisms. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 92(1–2), 53–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.11.002>
- Agard, P., Yamato, P., Soret, M., Prigent, C., Guillot, S., Plunder, A., et al. (2016). Plate interface rheological switches during subduction infancy: Control on slab penetration and metamorphic sole formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 451, 208–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.06.054>
- Agrusta, R., Arcay, D., Tommasi, A., Davaille, A., Ribe, N., & Gerya, T. (2013). Small-scale convection in a plume-fed low-viscosity layer beneath a moving plate. *Geophysical Journal International*, 194(2), 591–610. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt128>
- Arcay, D., Doin, M. P., Tric, E., Bousquet, R., & de Capitani, C. (2006). Overriding plate thinning in subduction zones: Localized convection induced by slab dehydration. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 7(2), Q02007. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gc001061>
- Burg, J. P., & Gerya, T. (2005). The role of viscous heating in barrovian metamorphism of collisional orogens: Thermomechanical models and application to the leontine dome in the central Alps. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology*, 23(2), 75–95. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2005.00563.x>
- Carlson, R. L., & Miller, D. J. (2003). Mantle wedge water contents estimated from seismic velocities in partially serpentinized peridotites. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(5), 1250. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002gl016600>
- Čížková, H., & Bina, C. R. (2013). Effects of mantle and subduction-interface rheologies on slab stagnation and trench rollback. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 379, 95–103.
- Connolly, J. A. D. (2005). Computation of phase equilibria by linear programming: A tool for geodynamic modeling and its application to subduction zone decarbonation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 236(1–2), 524–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.04.033>
- Currie, C. A., & Hyndman, R. D. (2006). The thermal structure of subduction zone back arcs. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004024>
- Currie, C. A., Wang, K., Hyndman, R. D., & He, J. (2004). The thermal effects of steady-state slab-driven mantle flow above a subducting plate: The Cascadia subduction zone and backarc. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 223(1–2), 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2004.04.020>
- Davies, J. H. (1999). The role of hydraulic fractures and intermediate depth earthquakes in generating subduction zone magmatism. *Nature*, 417, 142–145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/18202>
- England, P., Engdahl, R., & Thatcher, W. (2004). Systematic variation in the depths of slabs beneath arc volcanoes. *Geophysical Journal International*, 156(2), 377–408. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2003.02132.x>
- England, P., & Katz, R. F. (2010). Melting above the anhydrous solidus controls the location of volcanic arcs. *Nature*, 467(7316), 700–703. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09417>
- Faccenda, M., Gerya, T., & Burlini, L. (2009). Deep slab hydration induced by bending-related variations in tectonic pressure. *Nature Geoscience*, 2(11), 790–793. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo656>
- Furukawa, Y. (1993). Magmatic Processes under arcs and formation of the volcanic front. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98, 8309–8319. <https://doi.org/10.1029/93jb00350>
- Gao, X., & Wang, K. (2014). Strength of stick-slip and creeping subduction megathrusts from heat flow observations. *Science*, 345(6200), 1038–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255487>
- Gao, X., & Wang, K. (2017). Rheological separation of the megathrust seismogenic zone and episodic tremor and slip. *Nature*, 543(7645), 416–419. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21389>
- Gerya, T., Connolly, J. A. D., & Yuen, D. A. (2008). Why is terrestrial subduction one-sided? *Geology*, 36(1), 43–46. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g24060a.1>
- Gerya, T., & Meilick, F. I. (2011). Geodynamic regimes of subduction under an active margin: Effects of rheological weakening by fluids and melts. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology*, 29(1), 7–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00904.x>
- Gerya, T., & Yuen, D. A. (2003). Characteristics-based marker-in-cell method with conservative finite-differences schemes for modeling geological flows with strongly variable transport properties. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 140(4), 293–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2003.09.006>
- Gonzalez, C. M., Gorczyk, W., & Gerya, T. (2016). Decarbonation of subducting slabs: Insight from petrological–thermomechanical modeling. *Gondwana Research*, 36, 314–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2015.07.011>
- Gorczyk, W., Willner, A. P., Gerya, T., Connolly, J. A. D., & Burg, J. P. (2007). Physical controls of magmatic productivity at Pacific-type convergent margins: Numerical modelling. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 163(1–4), 209–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2007.05.010>
- Grove, T. L., Till, C. B., & Krawczynski, M. J. (2012). The Role of H₂O in subduction zone magmatism. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 40(1), 413–439. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-042711-105310>
- Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., & Holloway, S. D. (2003). Subduction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B1), 2030. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jb001129>
- Hilairet, N., Reynard, B., Wang, Y., Daniel, I., Merkel, S., Nishiyama, N., & Petitgirard, S. (2007). High-pressure creep of serpentine, inter-seismic deformation, and initiation of subduction. *Science*, 318(5858), 1910–1913. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148494>
- Hyndman, R. D., & Peacock, S. M. (2003). Serpentinization of the forearc mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 212(3–4), 417–432. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(03\)00263-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00263-2)

- Ito, K., & Kennedy, G. C. (1971). An experimental study of the basalt-garnet granulite-eclogite transition. *The Structure and Physical Properties of the Earth's Crust*, 14, 303–314.
- Jull, M., & Kelemen, P. B. (2001). On the conditions for lower crustal convective instability. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(B4), 6423–6446. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jb900357>
- Karato, S., & Wu, P. (1993). Rheology of the upper mantle: A synthesis. *Science*, 260(5109), 771–778. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5109.771>
- McKenzie, D. P. (1969). Speculations on the consequences and causes of plate motions. *Geophysical Journal International*, 18(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1969.tb00259.x>
- Peacock, S. M. (1990). Fluid processes in subduction zones. *Science*, 248(4953), 329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.248.4953.329>
- Peacock, S. M. (1991). Numerical simulation of subduction zone pressure-temperature-time paths: Constraints on fluid production and arc magmatism. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 335(1638), 341–353. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1991.0050>
- Peacock, S. M. (1993). The importance of blueschist → eclogite dehydration reactions in subducting oceanic crust. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 105(5), 684–694. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1993\)105<0684:tiobed>2.3.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1993)105<0684:tiobed>2.3.co;2)
- Peacock, S. M. (1996). Thermal and petrologic structure of subduction zones. *Subduction: Top to Bottom*, 96, 119–133.
- Peacock, S. M., & Hyndman, R. D. (1999). Hydrous minerals in the mantle wedge and the maximum depth of subduction thrust earthquakes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26(16), 2517–2520. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999gl900558>
- Peacock, S. M., Rushmer, T., & Thompson, A. B. (1994). Partial melting of subducting oceanic crust. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 121(1–2), 227–244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x\(94\)90042-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(94)90042-6)
- Peacock, S. M., & Wang, K. (1999). Seismic consequences of warm versus cool subduction metamorphism: Examples from southwest and northeast Japan. *Science*, 286(5441), 937–939. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5441.937>
- Penniston-Dorland, S. C., Kohn, M. J., & Manning, C. E. (2015). The global range of subduction zone thermal structures from exhumed blueschists and eclogites: Rocks are hotter than models. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 428, 243–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.07.031>
- Ranalli, G. (1995). *Rheology of the earth*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Rees Jones, D. W., Katz, R. F., Tian, M., & Rudge, J. F. (2018). Thermal impact of magmatism in subduction zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 481, 73–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.015>
- Reynard, B. (2013). Serpentine in active subduction zones. *Lithos*, 178, 171–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2012.10.012>
- Rudnick, R. L., McDonough, W. F., & O'Connell, R. J. (1998). Thermal structure, thickness and composition of continental lithosphere. *Chemical Geology*, 145(3–4), 395–411. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-2541\(97\)00151-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-2541(97)00151-4)
- Ruh, J. B., Le Pourhiet, L., Agard, P., Burov, E., & Gerya, T. (2015). Tectonic slicing of subducting oceanic crust along plate interfaces: Numerical modeling. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 16(10), 3505–3531. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gc005998>
- Schmidt, M. W., & Poli, S. (1998). Experimentally based water budgets for dehydrating slabs and consequences for arc magma generation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 163(1–4), 361–379. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(98\)00142-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(98)00142-3)
- Shen, T., Hermann, J., Zhang, L., Lü, Z., Padrón-Navarta, J. A., Xia, B., & Bader, T. (2015). UHP metamorphism documented in ti-chondroite and ti-clinohumite-bearing serpentinitized ultramafic rocks from Chinese southwestern Tianshan. *Journal of Petrology*, 56(7), 1425–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egv042>
- Sizova, E., Gerya, T., Brown, M., & Perchuk, L. L. (2010). Subduction styles in the Precambrian: Insight from numerical experiments. *Lithos*, 116(3–4), 209–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2009.05.028>
- Sobolev, S. V., & Babeyko, A. Y. (2005). What drives orogeny in the andes? *Geology*, 33(8), 617–620. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g21557.1>
- Syracuse, E. M., & Abers, G. A. (2006). Global compilation of variations in slab depth beneath arc volcanoes and implications. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 7(5), Q05017. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gc001045>
- Syracuse, E. M., van Keken, P. E., Abers, G. A., Suetsugu, D., Bina, C. R., Inoue, T., et al. (2010). The global range of subduction zone thermal models. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 183(1–2), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2010.02.004>
- Turcotte, D. L., & Schubert, G. (2002). *Geodynamics*. Cambridge university press.
- van Keken, P. E., Hacker, B. R., Syracuse, E. M., & Abers, G. A. (2011). Subduction factory: 4. Depth-dependent flux of H₂O from subducting slabs worldwide. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(B1), B01401. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jb007922>
- van Keken, P. E., Wada, I., Abers, G. A., Hacker, B. R., & Wang, K. (2018). Mafic high-pressure rocks are preferentially exhumed from warm subduction settings. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 19(9), 2934–2961. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007624>
- Wada, I., Behn, M. D., & Shaw, A. M. (2012). Effects of heterogeneous hydration in the incoming plate, slab rehydration, and mantle wedge hydration on slab-derived H₂O flux in subduction zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 353–354, 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.07.025>
- Wada, I., & Wang, K. (2009). Common depth of slab-mantle decoupling: Reconciling diversity and uniformity of subduction zones. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 10(10), Q10009. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009gc002570>
- Wada, I., Wang, K., He, J., & Hyndman, R. D. (2008). Weakening of the subduction interface and its effects on surface heat flow, slab dehydration, and mantle wedge serpentinization. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(4), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jb005190>
- Wilson, C. R., Spiegelman, M., van Keken, P. E., & Hacker, B. R. (2014). Fluid flow in subduction zones: The role of solid rheology and compaction pressure. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 401, 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.052>