Boise State University

ScholarWorks

Mathematics Faculty Publications and Presentations

Department of Mathematics

5-2014

Algebraic Properties of Generalized Rijndael-Like Ciphers

L. Babinkostova Boise State University

Kevin W. Bombardier Wichita State University

Matthew M. Cole University of Notre Dame

Thomas A. Morrell Washington University

Cory B. Scott Colorado College

This document was originally published in *Groups Complexity Cryptology* by de Gruyter. Copyright restrictions may apply. The final publication is available at doi: 10.1515/gcc-2014-0004

ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED RIJNDAEL-LIKE CIPHERS

L. BABINKOSTOVA $^{1\$},$ KEVIN W. BOMBARDIER², MATTHEW M. COLE³, THOMAS A. MORRELL4, AND CORY B. SCOTT⁵

ABSTRACT. We provide conditions under which the set of Rijndael-like functions considered as permutations of the state space and based on operations of the finite field $GF(p^k)$ $(p \ge 2)$ is not closed under functional composition. These conditions justify using a sequential multiple encryption to strengthen generalized Rijndael like ciphers. In [39], R. Sparr and R. Wernsdorf provided conditions under which the group generated by the Rijndael-like round functions based on operations of the finite field $GF(2^k)$ is equal to the alternating group on the state space. In this paper we provide conditions under which the group generated by the Rijndael-like round functions based on operations of the finite field $GF(p^k)$ $(p \ge 2)$ is equal to the symmetric group or the alternating group on the state space.

1. INTRODUCTION

An SP-network is an iterated block cipher. This means that a certain sequence of computations, constituting a *round*, is repeated a specified number of times. The computations in each round are defined as a composition of specific functions (substitutions and permutations) in a way that achieves Shannon's principle [38] of confusion and diffusion. The *Rijndael* block cipher ([15], [16]) is an example of an SP-network. Rijndael is a block cipher with both a variable block length and a variable key length. The versions for the block size of 128 bits and key length of 128, 192, and 256 bits were adopted by the NIST as the *Advanced Encryption Standard* (AES) [33]. Rijndael has a highly algebraic structure. The cipher round transformations are based on operations of the finite field GF(2⁸). While little research has been done about the structural and algebraic properties of Rijndael before it was adopted as a standard, there has been much research since. Several alternative representations of the AES have been proposed (see, e.g, [2], [14] and [27]) and some group theoretic properties of the AES components have been discovered (see, e.g, [11], [32], [39] and [41]).

A motivation for investigating the group theoretic structure of a block cipher is to identify and exclude undesirable properties. One such undesirable property is short cycles of the round functions when considered as permutations of the state space. Another undesirable property is non-trivial factor groups of the group generated by the round functions of the cipher. For example, in [35] it was shown that if the group generated by the round functions of a block cipher is imprimitive then this might lead to the design of trapdoors. Some related results about the cycle structure of the AES round functions are given in [27] and [41].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20B05, 20B30, 94A60, 11T71, 14G50.

Key words and phrases. Rijndael cipher, Finite fields, Symmetric groups, Group operation, Imprimitivity. Supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS 1062857.

[§] Corresponding Author: liljanababinkostova@boisestate.edu.

Knowing the order of the group generated by the round functions is also an important algebraic question about the security of the cipher, because of its connection to the Markov cipher approach to differential cryptanalysis. In [24] it was shown that if the one-round functions of an s-round iterated cipher generate the alternating or the symmetric group, then for all corresponding Markov ciphers the chains of differences are *irreducible* and *aperiodic*. This means that after sufficiently many rounds of the cipher all differences become equally probable which makes the cipher secure against a differential cryptanalysis attack. In [41], R. Wernsdorf showed that the round functions of Rijndael over $GF(2^8)$ generate the alternating group. In [39], R. Sparr and R. Wernsdorf provided conditions under which the group generated by the Rijndael-like round functions which are based on operations on the finite field $GF(2^k)$ is equal to the alternating group on the state space. Motivated by their work we embark on a formal study of the Rijndael-like functions to determine the extent to which this and other results in [41] hold when we consider an arbitrary finite field. In this paper we provide conditions under which the group generated by the Rijndael-like round functions which are based on operations on the finite field $GF(p^k)$ $(p \ge 2)$ is equal to the symmetric group or the alternating group on the state space.

Since the adoption of AES as a standard many papers have been published on the cryptanalysis on this cryptosystem. Initially AES survived several cryptanalytic efforts. The situation started to change in 2009 when [4] and [5] presented a key recovery attack on the full versions of AES-256 and AES-192. Since then there have been several other theoretical attacks on these versions of AES and AES-128 (see, e.g. [7]) as well as on reduced-round instances of these versions of AES (see, e.g. [21]). However, in [6] the authors presented a key recovery attack on version of AES-256 with up to 10 rounds that is of practical complexity.

Theoretical attacks against widely used crypto algorithms often get better over time. The crucial question is how far AES is from becoming practically insecure. One way of strengthening AES is through using sequential multiple encryption, as it has been done with DES (see, [26], [12] and [34]). If the set of Rijndael round functions is closed under functional composition, then multiple encryption would be equivalent to a single encryption, and so strengthening AES through multiple encryption would not be possible. Thus, it is important to know whether this set is closed under functional composition. Also, it is important to know how changing the underlying finite field in AES will impact this property. In this paper we provide conditions under which the set of Rijndael-like functions considered as permutations of the state space and based on operations of the finite field $GF(p^k)$ $(p \ge 2)$ is not closed under functional composition.

The idea of examining block ciphers using different binary operations in their underlying structure has already been considered. For example, E. Biham and A. Shamir [3] examined the security of DES against their differential attack when some of the exclusive-or operations in DES are replaced with addition modulo 2^n . In [36] the authors initiated a study of Luby-Rackoff ciphers when the bitwise exclusive-or operation in the underlying Feistel network is replaced by a binary operation in an arbitrary finite group. They showed that in certain cases these ciphers are completely secure against adaptive chosen plaintext and ciphertext attacks and has better time and space complexity if considered over GF(p) for p > 2. Although, the study of the $S\mathcal{P}$ -network based ciphers over $GF(2^r)$ has already been considered (see, e.g. [41]) we are not aware of such study when the underlying operations are the field operations in $GF(p^r)$ for p > 2. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some background from the theory of permutation groups and finite fields as well as block ciphers. In Section 3 we introduce the generalized Rijndael-like SP network and provide conditions for the parity and the cycle structure of the round functions of such a network when considered as permutations on the state space. Furthermore, we show when the set of round functions in the generalized Rijndael-like SP network of *s*-rounds do not constitute a group under functional composition. In Section 4 we derive conditions for Rijndael-like round functions such that the group generated by these functions is equal to the alternating group or the symmetric group on the state space. In Section 5 we conclude the paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Iterated block ciphers. A cryptosystem is an ordered 4-tuple $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}, T)$ where $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \text{ and } \mathcal{K}$ are called the message(state) space, the ciphertext space, and the key space respectively, and where $T: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a transformation such that for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the mapping $\epsilon_k : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C}$, called an *encryption transformation*, is invertible. For any cryptosystem $\Pi = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}, T)$, let $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi} = \{\epsilon_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ be the set of all encryption transformations. In addition, for any transformation $\epsilon_k \in \mathcal{T}_{\Pi}$, let ϵ_k^{-1} denote the inverse of ϵ_k . In a cryptosystem where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$ the mapping ϵ_k is a permutation of \mathcal{M} . We consider only cryptosystems for which $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$. The set of all permutations of the set \mathcal{M} is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Under the operation of functional composition $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ forms a group called *the symmetric* group over \mathcal{M} . The symbol $\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{T}_{\Pi} \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ that is generated by the set \mathcal{T}_{Π} . The group \mathcal{G} is known as the group generated by a cipher. If $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi} = \mathcal{G}$, that is the set of permutations \mathcal{T}_{Π} forms a group, then we say the cipher is a group. As \mathcal{G} is finite by Theorem 3.3 from [22] the cipher is a group if and only if its set of encryption transformations \mathcal{T}_{Π} is a closed under functional composition. For such a cipher, multiple encryption doesn't offer better security than single encryption. Computing the group \mathcal{G} generated by a cipher is often difficult. Let T[k] denote the round function of the cipher under the key $k \in \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} denotes the set of all round keys. Let $\tau = \{T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ be the set of all round functions. The round functions T[k] are also permutations of the message space \mathcal{M} and it is often easier to compute the group $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \langle \{T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K}\} \rangle$ generated by these permutations. Suppose we have an s-round cipher with a key schedule $KS: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}^s$ so that any key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ produces a set of subkeys $k_i \in \mathcal{K}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. It is natural then to consider the following three groups relevant to the block cipher:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \langle T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$$
$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^{s} = \langle T[k_{s}]T[k_{s-1}] \cdots T[k_{1}] | k_{i} \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$$
$$\mathcal{G} = \langle T[k_{s}]T[k_{s-1}] \cdots T[k_{1}] | KS(k) = (k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{s}) \rangle$$

Thus \mathcal{G}_{τ} is the group generated by the round functions and \mathcal{G}_{τ}^{s} is the group generated by the set of all compositions of s (independently chosen) round functions. The group \mathcal{G} is the group generated by the set of all compositions of s round functions using the key schedule KS. This group can also be regarded as the group $\langle \mathcal{T}_{\Pi} \rangle$ generated by the cipher \mathcal{T}_{Π} . It is obvious that \mathcal{G} is a subgroup of \mathcal{G}_{τ}^{s} which is a subgroup of \mathcal{G}_{τ} . We will show that \mathcal{G}_{τ}^{s} is in fact a normal subgroup of \mathcal{G}_{τ} .

Lemma 1. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, G^s_{τ} is a normal subgroup of G_{τ} .

Proof. Let $T_k \in G_{\tau}$ and $T_s \circ \cdots \circ T_1 \in G_{\tau}^s$. We see that

$$T_{k} \circ (T_{s} \circ \dots \circ T_{1}) \circ T_{k}^{-1} = T_{k} \circ (T_{s} \circ \dots \circ T_{1}) \circ (\underbrace{T_{k} \circ T_{k} \circ \dots \circ T_{k}}_{s-1 \text{ copies}}) \circ \underbrace{(\underbrace{T_{k}^{-1} \circ T_{k}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ T_{k}^{-1}}_{s-1 \text{ copies}}) \circ T_{k}^{-1}}_{s-1 \text{ copies}}$$

$$= (T_{k} \circ T_{s} \circ \dots \circ T_{2}) \circ (T_{1} \circ \underbrace{T_{k} \circ T_{k} \circ \dots \circ T_{k}}_{s-1 \text{ copies}}) \circ \underbrace{(\underbrace{T_{k} \circ T_{k} \circ \dots \circ T_{k}}_{s-1 \text{ copies}})}_{s \text{ copies}}$$

It follows that $T_k \circ (T_s \circ \cdots \circ T_1) \circ T_k^{-1} \in G_{\tau}^s$. This completes the proof.

Thus the group G_{τ} generated by the round functions is an upper bound for the group generated by the cipher.

2.2. Group theoretical background. In this section we present some background from the theory of permutation groups and finite fields which are used in this paper.

2.2.1. **Permutation groups.** For a finite set X, let |X| denote the number of elements of X. For any nonempty finite set X with |X| = n, the set of all bijective mappings of X to itself is denoted by S_n and is called the *symmetric group* on X. A permutation $g \in S_n$ is a *transposition* if g interchanges two elements $x, y \in X$ and fixes all the other elements of $X \setminus \{x, y\}$. A permutation $g \in S_n$ is called an *odd* (*even*) permutation if g can be represented as a composition of an odd (*even*) number of transpositions¹.

The set of all even permutations is a group under functional composition and is called the *alternating group* on X. The symbol \mathcal{A}_n denotes the alternating group on a set X with |X| = n. The *degree* of a permutation group G over a finite set X is the number of elements in X that are moved by at least one permutation $g \in G$.

Theorem 2. For $n \geq 5$, the alternating group \mathcal{A}_n is a simple group.

For any subgroup $G \leq S_n$, for any $x \in X$, the set $orb_G(x) = \{\phi(x) : \phi \in G\}$ is called the *orbit* of x under G. The set $stab_G(x) = \{\phi \in G : \phi(x) = x\}$ is called the *stabilizer* of x in G. We will make use of the following well-known theorem, often called the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem.

Theorem 3. Let G be a finite group of permutations of a set X. Then for any $x \in X$,

$$|G| = |orb_G(x)| \cdot |stab_G(x)|$$

Let l, n denote natural numbers such that $0 < l \le n$. A group $G \le S_n$ is called *l*-transitive if, for any pair (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) and (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l) with $a_i \ne a_j$, $b_i \ne b_j$ for $i \ne j$, there is a permutation $g \in G$ with $g(a_i) = b_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$. A 1-transitive permutation group is called *transitive*.

A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called a *block* of G if for each $g \in G$ either g(B) = B or $g(B) \cap B = \emptyset$. A block B is said to be *trivial* if $B \in \{\emptyset, X\}$ or $B = \{x\}$ where $x \in X$. The group $G \leq S_n$ is called *imprimitive* if there is a non-trivial block $B \subseteq X$ of G; otherwise G is called *primitive*.

¹Note that in this terminology a cycle of even length is an odd permutation, while a cycle of odd length is an even permutation.

We use the following result from [42] which provides sufficient conditions for a permutation group to be the alternating or the symmetric group.

Lemma 4. Suppose G is a primitive permutation group of degree n on a finite set X. If G contains a cycle of length m with $2 \le m \le (n-m)!$, then G is the alternating or the symmetric group on X.

2.2.2. Finite fields. A structure $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ is a *field* if and only if both $(\mathbb{F}, +)$ is an Abelian group with identity element 0_G and $(\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0_G\}, \cdot)$ is an Abelian groups and the law of distributivity of \cdot over + applies. If the number of elements in \mathbb{F} is finite, \mathbb{F} is called a *finite field*; otherwise it is called an *infinite field*.

Definition 5. Suppose \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{K} are fields. If $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, then \mathbb{F} is called a *subfield* of \mathbb{K} , or equivalently \mathbb{K} is called an *extension* field of \mathbb{F} .

We can view \mathbb{K} as a vector space over \mathbb{F} if we define the scalar multiplication as follows

$$\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$$
$$(a, \alpha) \mapsto a\alpha$$

Suppose the extension field \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{F} is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let $d = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{K})$ be the dimension of the vector space \mathbb{K} over the field \mathbb{F} , and let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_d\}$ be a basis of the vector space \mathbb{K} over \mathbb{F} . Then any element $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_d$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}

$$\beta = a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + a_d \alpha_d$$

where $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_d \in \mathbb{F}$.

In field theory the dimension d of the vector space \mathbb{K} over \mathbb{F} is called the *degree* of extension.

It is known that every finite field has order p^n for some prime number p and some positive integer n. Such a field is called a *Galois field* of order p^n and is denoted by $GF(p^n)$. The following classical fact from the theory of finite fields (see [22]) will be used.

Theorem 6. $GF(p^{n_1}) \subseteq GF(p^{n_2})$ if and only if n_1 divides n_2 .

It is also known that a finite field \mathbb{K} of order p^{nd} can be constructed as a quotient ring $\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle}$ where $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is the polynomial ring over the field \mathbb{F} of order p^n and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree d over \mathbb{F} . The field \mathbb{K} is an extension field of degree d of \mathbb{F} i.e., a vector space of dimension d over \mathbb{F} . The equivalence classes modulo f(x) in $\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle}$ of the polynomials $1, x, x^2, \cdots, x^{d-1}$ over \mathbb{F} form a basis of \mathbb{K} viewed as a vector space over the field \mathbb{F} . Thus, using x^i as representative for the equivalence class of x^i modulo f(x) (for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$), the elements in \mathbb{K} can be represented uniquely as

$$a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + a_{d-2}x^{d-2} + \dots + a_2x^2 + ax + a_0$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 7. A quadratic field extension of a field \mathbb{K} is a field extension of degree 2.

In the case where a quadratic extension \mathbb{K} arises as the quotient ring $\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle}$ for an irreducible polynomial f(x) of the form $x^2 - c$ with c in \mathbb{F} , it is common to replace the equivalence class of x modulo f(x) with the symbol \sqrt{c} when representing the elements of \mathbb{K} as linear

combinations of basis elements of the vector space \mathbb{K} over the field \mathbb{F} . In this notation, elements of \mathbb{K} are written as $a_0 + a_1\sqrt{c}$, where $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ and \mathbb{K} is usually denoted by $\mathbb{F}(\sqrt{c})$.

We consider the following function on finite fields.

Definition 8. Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field of order q and \mathbb{K} be an extension field of \mathbb{F} of degree d. The *trace* function on \mathbb{K} with respect to \mathbb{F} is the function $Tr : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{F}$ defined by

$$\operatorname{Tr}(a) = a + a^{q} + a^{q^{2}} + \dots + a^{q^{d-1}}.$$

For any subset S of a field E write S^{-1} for the set $\{s^{-1}|0 \neq s \in S\}$. The set S is called an *inverse-closed* if $S^{-1} \subseteq S$. The inversion map in finite fields is of cryptographic interest, especially when we study the algebraic structure of the ciphers which are based on substitution-permutation networks. The following theorem is a result by S. Mattarei in [29].

Theorem 9. Let A be a non-trivial inverse-closed additive subgroup of the finite field $E = GF(p^n)$. Then either A is a subfield of E or else A is the set of elements of trace zero in some quadratic field extension contained in E.

Lemma 10. The number of elements of trace zero in a quadratic field extension $\mathbb{K}(\sqrt{c})$ of a subfield $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \operatorname{GF}(p^n)$ is equal to $|\mathbb{K}|$.

Proof. The set of elements of trace zero in $\mathbb{K}(\sqrt{c})$ is the set

$$\{a_0 + a_1\sqrt{c} \mid a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{K}, a_0 = 0\}$$

This set has $|\mathbb{K}|$ members.

Theorem 11. Any non-trivial inverse-closed additive subgroup H of a finite field $GF(p^n)$ has p^k elements for some k|n.

Proof. By Theorem 9, there are two possibilities: H is a subfield of $GF(p^n)$, in which case the result follows immediately from Theorem 6; or H is the set of elements of trace zero in a quadratic field extension $\mathbb{K}(\sqrt{c})$ of a subfield $\mathbb{K} \subseteq GF(p^r)$. In the latter case, by Theorem 6 we have that $|\mathbb{K}| = p^k$ for some k|n, and Lemma 10 yields $|H| = |\mathbb{K}| = p^k$. \Box

3. Cycle structure of the generalized Rijndael-like round functions

In this section we show properties of the cycle structure of the round functions of a Rijndael-like SP-network considered over the field $GF(p^r)$, which we call generalized Rijndael-like functions. The notation of the generalized Rijndael-like functions and their component functions will be similar to the notation in [39]. One exception will be that the underlying field in the generalized Rijndael-like functions and their component functions is the finite field $GF(p^r)$ of characteristic $p \geq 2$ instead of $GF(2^r)$.

Let m, n, r be positive integers. The symbol $M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over $\operatorname{GF}(p^r)$. The elements of $\operatorname{GF}(p^r)^{mn}$ are defined as matrices $b \in M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ with the mapping $t : \operatorname{GF}(p^r)^{mn} \to M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$, where t(a) = b is defined by $b_{ij} = a_{ni+j}$, for $0 \leq i < m, 0 \leq j < n$. First we start with the analysis of the cycle structure of the component functions in the generalized Rijndael-like function.

3.1. Analysis of the AddRoundKey-like function ($\sigma[k]$ -function).

Definition 12. Let $\sigma[k] : M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ denote the mapping defined by $\sigma[k](a) = b$ if and only if $b_{ij} = a_{ij} + k_{ij}$ and $k \in M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ for all $0 \le i < m, 0 \le j < n$.

Lemma 13. Let $k \in M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ be given.

- (1) If p > 2 then $\sigma[k]$ is always an even permutation.
- (2) If p = 2 then $\sigma[k]$ is an even permutation if and only if $r \cdot m \cdot n > 1$.

Proof. If k = 0, $\sigma[k]$ is the identity permutation. If $k \neq 0$, then $\sigma[k]$ is composed of *p*-cycles. If *p* is odd then there are no cycles of even length. If p = 2 then $\sigma[k]$ is composed of 2^{rmn-1} many 2-cycles.

3.2. Analysis of the SubBytes-like function (λ -function).

Definition 14. Let $\lambda : M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ denotes the mapping defined as a parallel application of $m \cdot n$ bijective S-box-mappings $\lambda_{ij} : \operatorname{GF}(p^r) \to \operatorname{GF}(p^r)$ and defined by $\lambda(a) = b$ if and only if $b_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}(a_{ij})$ for all $0 \le i < m, 0 \le j < n$.

Each S-box mapping consists of an inversion, multiplication by a fixed $A \in GF(p^r)$, and addition of a fixed element $B \in GF(p^r)$ i.e. it is a mapping of the form $Ax^{-1} + B$ where $A, B \in GF(p^r)$ are fixed. For convenience we define this map on all of $GF(p^r)$ so that it maps 0 to B, and any nonzero x to $Ax^{-1} + B$.

Lemma 15. Let $A \in GF(p^r)$ be the fixed element used in the S-box mapping λ_{ij} . If p = 2 then the function λ is an odd permutation if and only if $r \ge 2$ and $m \cdot n = 1$. If p > 2 then the function λ is an odd permutation if and only if m and n are odd, and either

- (1) $p \equiv_4 3$, r is odd, and $(p^r 1)/|\langle A \rangle|$ is odd, or
- (2) Either $p \equiv_4 1$ or r is even, and $(p^r 1)/|\langle A \rangle|$ is even.

Proof. Analysis of inversion: We first consider a single S-box inversion

$$f: \operatorname{GF}(p^r) \to \operatorname{GF}(p^r): x \mapsto f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we enumerate the elements of $GF(p^r)$ as $(0, x_1, \dots, x_{p^r-1})$, then we can represent f in standard permutation form as

$$f = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_i & \cdots & x_{p^r-1} \\ 0 & x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_i^{-1} & \cdots & x_{p^r-1}^{-1} \end{array}\right)$$

Writing this in disjoint cycle form we see that f consists entirely of 1-cycles and 2-cycles. The 1-cycles correspond to the x for which $x^2 = 1$ or x = 0, while 2-cycles correspond to the rest of the x's.

Assume that p > 2. Since $\operatorname{GF}(p^r) \setminus \{0\}$ is a cyclic group under multiplication, it has only $\phi(2) = 1$ element of order 2, and thus counting the identity also, there are two elements x with $x = x^{-1}$. Thus, there are $p^r - 3$ other nonzero elements, and these form 2-cycles in pairs, giving a total of $\frac{1}{2}(p^r - 3)$ many 2-cycles in the disjoint cycle decomposition of the f function. If p = 2 then $p^r - 1$ is odd, and so the cyclic group $\operatorname{GF}(2^r) \setminus \{0\}$ (under multiplication) has no elements of order 2 (since 2 is not a divisor of $2^r - 1$), and so there is only one solution to $x = x^{-1}$ in this case, namely the identity. The remaining $2(2^{r-1} - 1)$

non-zero elements contribute $2^{r-1} - 1$ disjoint 2-cycles in the cycle decomposition of the f function.

Next we analyze the inversion function as a function over $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$.

(a) Consider p > 2.

When p > 2, a fixed position (i,j) S-box inversion defined on $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ still consists of 1-cycles and 2-cycles. The remaining mn-1 positions in the $m \times n$ matrices in $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ can be filled in p^{rmn-r} ways, thus producing

$$\frac{1}{2}(p^{rmn-r})(p^r-1)$$

2-cycles over $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$, leading to a total of

(1)
$$\frac{1}{2}(p^{rmn-r})(p^r-3)$$

2-cycles, which is an odd number if $p \equiv_4 1$ or r is even.

(b) Consider p = 2.

Over $M_{m,n}(GF(2^r))$, a fixed position (i,j) S-box inversion consists of inversion in one position's subfield $GF(2^r)$ and the identity on all other (mn - 1) subfields. Therefore, for every 2-cycle over $GF(2^r)$, there are 2^{rmn-r} many 2-cycles over $GF(2^{rmn})$. The total number of 2-cycles is

$$\frac{1}{2}(2^{rmn-r})(2^r-2),$$

which is even if and only if $mn \ge 2$.

Analysis of multiplication by a fixed polynomial in $GF(p^r)$: Multiplication by a fixed polynomial (field element) $A \in GF(p^r)$ produces cycles of length $|\langle A \rangle|$ for multiplication with a non-zero field element, and length one for multiplication with the zero element. Over $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$, there are

(2)
$$\frac{(p^{rmn-r})(p^r-1)}{|\langle A \rangle|}$$

of these cycles, each of length $|\langle A \rangle|$ (see equation (6)).

(a) Consider p > 2.

Then (2) is an odd number if and only if $(p^r - 1)/|\langle A \rangle|$ is odd, in which case the cycle length $|\langle A \rangle|$ is even. In this case the permutation obtained from multiplication by A is an odd permutation.

(b) Consider p = 2.

 $|\langle A \rangle|$ is odd, so there are no even-length cycles. In this case the permutation obtained from multiplication by the polynomial $A \in GF(p^r)$ is an even permutation.

Analysis of addition of a constant: If p > 2 the addition of a constant is always an even permutation and if p = 2 then it is even if and only if $m \cdot n \cdot r > 1$ (Lemma 13).

From the above, we conclude that for p an odd prime the S-box mapping λ_{ij} is odd if $(p^r - 1)/|\langle A \rangle|$ is odd, or $p \equiv_4 1$ or r even, but not both. Thus, the function λ defined as parallel application of all $m \cdot n$ S-box mappings λ_{ij} is odd if and only if each S-box mapping λ_{ij} is odd and m and n are odd. For p = 2 the function λ is odd if and only if $r \geq 2$ and $m \cdot n = 1$.

3.3. Analysis of the ShiftRows-like function (π -function).

Definition 16. Let $\pi : M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ denotes the mapping for which there is a mapping $c : \{0, \ldots, m-1\} \to \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\pi(a) = b$ if and only if $b_{ij} = a_{i(j-c(i)) \mod n}$ for all $0 \le i < m, 0 \le j < n$.

We present our analysis of the parity of π in two cases according to whether p is an odd prime number or p = 2.

Lemma 17. Let p > 2 be a prime. The function π is an odd permutation if, and only if, $p \equiv_4 3$, n is even, r is odd, and gcd(n, c(i)) is odd for an odd number of $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.

Proof. The function π permutes each row of the state matrix, an element of $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$, by shifting that row by a constant offset. To analyze the parity of the whole permutation, we consider it as the composition of m row permutations. A row permutation shifts a specific row by the corresponding offset, while leaving all other entries of the matrix fixed. Thus for a specific matrix from $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$, such a row permutation leaves (m-1)n entries fixed.

The parity of the function π is then computed from the parity of each row permutation by considering the permutation of $M_{1,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ corresponding to the restriction of the row permutation that corresponds to the particular row in question. We count the number of even-length cycles (note that an even length cycle is an odd permutation) in the cycle decomposition of this restricted permutation, and then multiply by $p^{r(m-1)n}$ to obtain the number of even length cycles of the row permutation over $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$.

We first identify the possible lengths of cycles in the cycle decomposition of this permutation, and then we count the number of cycles of each length. From this information and the value of the prime number p we then conclude what is the parity of the permutation π .

Analysis of the cycle lengths: To determine the possible length of a cycle of the permutation that leaves all entries in the $m \times n$ matrix fixed, except for the *i*-th row, and which shifts the *i*-th row's *n* entries by c(i) units each, consider all the *n*-vectors whose entries are elements of $GF(p^r)$. A typical such vector is of the form (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) where the x_j are elements of $GF(p^r)$. A single application of this permutation maps as follows:

$$(x_0,\cdots,x_{n-1})\mapsto (x_{n-c(i)+0\,mod\,n},\cdots,x_{n-c(i)+n-1\,mod\,n}).$$

And k iterations of this permutation maps as follows:

$$(x_0,\cdots,x_{n-1})\mapsto (x_{k\cdot(n-c(i))+0\,mod\,n},\cdots,x_{k\cdot(n-c(i))+n-1\,mod\,n})$$

The least k > 0 which, for any *n*-vector (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) of elements of $GF(p^r)$ produces

$$(x_{k \cdot (n-c(i))+0 \mod n}, \cdots, x_{k \cdot (n-c(i))+n-1 \mod n}) = (x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1})$$

gives the order of the cyclic group G generated by this row permutation. For this k we have

$$k \cdot (n - c(i)) \equiv 0 \mod n$$

meaning $k \cdot c(i)$ is a common multiple of c(i) and n. By minimality of k, this is the least common multiple of c(i) and n, which is $\frac{n \cdot c(i)}{gcd(n,c(i))}$ and thus $k = \frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$.

By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem we see that for any *n*-vector (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) we have

$$\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))} = |G| = |orb_G((x_0,\cdots,x_{n-1}))| \cdot |stab_G((x_0,\cdots,x_{n-1}))|.$$

But the orbit of (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) "is" the cycle containing (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) in the disjoint cycle decomposition of this row permutation. And the length of this cycle is thus a factor of $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,c(i))}$.

For the factor d = 1, a fixed point is built by taking a vector $(x_0, \dots, x_{gcd(n,c(i))-1})$, and concatenating it $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$ times to form a vector of length n. There are p^r choices of each of the x_i , and thus $p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$ many n-vectors with orbit length equal to 1.

Claim 1: For each factor d > 1, there is an *n*-vector (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) for which the orbit length is *d*. Fix *f* such that $d \cdot f = \frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$ and choose distinct elements $x, y \in GF(p^r)$. Consider the *n*-vector which consists of the concatenation of *f* copies of the vector (y, \dots, y, x) which has only one entry equal to *x*,

$$(y, \cdots, y, x) \frown (y, \cdots, y, x) \frown \cdots \frown (y, \cdots, y, x).$$

Note that the vector (y, \dots, y, x) has length $d \cdot gcd(n, c(i))$.

Consider the last x of this *n*-vector. After a minimum number of t applications of the permutation, it is in a position of an x in the *n*-vector. Then

$$t = lcm(d \cdot gcd(n, c(i)), c(i)) = \frac{d \cdot gcd(n, c(i)) \cdot c(i)}{gcd(d \cdot gcd(n, c(i)), c(i))}$$

As d divides $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$ if follows that gcd(d, c(i)) divides $gcd(\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}, c(i))$. Since $gcd(\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}, c(i)) = 1$ we have that

$$gcd(d \cdot gcd(n, c(i)), c(i)) = gcd(n, c(i))$$

It follows that $t = d \cdot c(i)$ applications of the permutation has this *n*-vector as fixed point. Any iteration of this $d \cdot c(i)$ -iterate has this *n*-vector as fixed point, and the order of this $d \cdot c(i)$ -iterate is

$$\frac{\overline{gcd}(n,c(i))}{gcd(d \cdot c(i), \frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))})} = \frac{\overline{gcd}(n,c(i))}{gcd(d, \frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))})}$$
$$= \frac{\overline{gcd}(n,c(i))}{d}$$
$$= \frac{n}{d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$$
$$= f.$$

It follows that

$$|stab_G((y, \cdots, y, x) \frown (y, \cdots, y, x) \frown \cdots \frown (y, \cdots, y, x))| = f,$$

and thus the orbit has d elements, meaning that in the cycle decomposition of the permutation the cycle containing this vector has length d. This completes the proof of Claim 1, and establishes all occurring cycle lengths for this permutation.

Analysis of the number of cycles of a given length: Fix a divisor d' of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$. We now count the number of cycles of length exactly d' in the cycle decomposition of the given permutation. As observed before, for d' = 1 there are exactly $p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$ cycles of length 1 for this permutation. Now consider the case when d' > 1. It can be shown that if an *n*-vector (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) has an orbit of length dividing d', then it is a concatenation of a number of copies of a vector $(y_1, \dots, y_{d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))})$. The total number of such vectors that

can be constructed using the elements of $\operatorname{GF}(p^r)$ is $p^{r \cdot d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$. But for d' > 1 many of these $(d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i)))$ vectors have orbits whose cardinality is a proper divisor of d' and thus should be excluded from the count of items producing cycles of length exactly d'. Notice that for d a divisor of d' the vectors producing orbits of cardinality d are obtained by concatenating the vector $(y_1, \dots, y_{d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))})$ the appropriate number of times. The vectors among ones of the form $(z_1, \dots, z_{d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))})$ to be excluded are those obtained by concatenating $\frac{d'}{d}$ copies of a vector $(y_1, \dots, y_{d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))})$ to obtain the vector $(z_1, \dots, z_{d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))})$. Let N(d') denote the number of $(d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i)))$ vectors that produce cycles of length exactly d'. Thus, $N(1) = p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$. For d' > 1 we find that

$$N(d') = p^{r \cdot d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} - \sum_{d|d', d \neq d'} N(d)$$

Alternately this can be written

$$p^{r \cdot d' \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} = \sum_{d \mid d'} N(d)$$

By the Möbius inversion formula (Theorem 2 on p. 20 of [25]) we have

(3)
$$N(d') = \sum_{d|d'} p^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right).$$

Note that since each orbit contains exactly d' elements, the number of disjoint cycles in the cycle decomposition of the permutation contributed by these vectors is $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$. The question is whether the number $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$ is even, or odd. Since a cycle of odd length is an even permutation, the answer to this question is relevant only when d' is even. Let d' be even and have prime factorization

(4)
$$d' = 2^a \cdot p_1^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_t^{s_t}, \ a > 0.$$

Since we are interested in only the parity of $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$, we seek to determine if

$$(5) N(d') \mod 2^{a+1}$$

is zero, or positive.

Consider $\mu(\frac{d'}{d})$ for an even d' and a factor d of d'. By the definition of μ , the only case when $\mu(\frac{d'}{d})$ is non-zero is when $\frac{d'}{d}$ is 1, or else square free (*i.e.*, a product of distinct prime numbers). In each of these cases the power of 2 that divides into d is at least 2^{a-1} , so that the factor $p^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$ of the term corresponding to the factor d is of the form $v^{2^{a-1}}$ where v is an odd number if p is an odd prime number, and even otherwise.

Let a > 1. Then for any odd number v we have that $v^{2^{a-1}} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{a+1}$, by Theorem 2' in Chapter 4.1 of [25]. Then the equation (5) reduces to

$$\sum_{d|d'} p^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right) \mod 2^{a+1} = \sum_{d|d'} 1 \cdot \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right) \mod 2^{a+1} = 0$$

since for any integer d' > 1 we have, by Proposition 2.2.3 on p. 19 of [25], that $\sum_{d|d'} \mu(d) = 0$.

Next, consider a = 1. We need to analyze the following two cases.

Case 1: r is even or $p \equiv_4 1$. Since for each odd number v we have $v^2 \equiv_4 1$ and since we have a = 1 in equation (4), the equation (5) reduces to

$$\sum_{d|d'} p^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right) \mod 4 = \sum_{d|d'} 1 \cdot \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right) \mod 4 = 0$$

using Proposition 2.2.3 on p. 19 of [25] as in the previous case and the fact that a = 1and $p \equiv_4 1$ or r is even. This concludes the argument that if p is a prime number such that $p \equiv_4 1$, or if r is even, then for each i the permutation shifting each item in the i-th row of $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ by c(i) units is an even permutation. As a result the function π is a composition of even permutations, and thus is an even permutation in this case.

Case 2: r is odd and $p \equiv_4 3$. We will start analyzing this case by first assuming that d' > 2. The factors of d' are either of the form 2d where d is odd, or d where d is odd.

<u>Part 1:</u> Factors of the form 2d, where d is odd. Since for each odd number $v, v^2 \equiv_4 1$ we have the following

$$\sum_{2d|d'} p^{r \cdot 2d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{2d}\right) \mod 4 = \sum_{d|\frac{d'}{2}} p^{r \cdot 2d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \cdot \mu\left(\frac{d'}{2d}\right) \mod 4$$
$$= \sum_{d|\frac{d'}{2}} 1 \cdot \mu\left(\frac{d'}{2d}\right) \mod 4 = 0$$

again using Proposition 2.2.3 on p. 19 of [25] as before.

Part 2: Factors of the form d, where d is odd. First note that if v is an odd number such that $v \equiv_4 3$, then for any odd number r, and $v^r \equiv_4 3$. Using this observation and the fact that a = 1 in equation (4), the equation (5) reduces to

$$\sum_{d|\frac{d'}{2}} p^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{d}\right) \mod 4 = \sum_{d|\frac{d'}{2}} 3^{gcd(n,c(i))} \cdot \left(-\mu\left(\frac{d'}{2d}\right)\right) \mod 4$$
$$= (-3^{gcd(n,c(i))}) \cdot \sum_{d|\frac{d'}{2}} \mu\left(\frac{d'}{2d}\right) \mod 4 = 0$$

Here we used the fact that μ is multiplicative, so that for odd w, $\mu(2w) = \mu(2)\mu(w) = -\mu(w)$, and we again used Proposition 2.2.3 on p. 19 of [25]. Taking Part 1 and Part 2 together, we obtain for d' > 2 that $N(d') \equiv_4 0$.

Next, assume that d' = 2. Then $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$ reduces to

$$\frac{p^{r \cdot 1 \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}\mu(2) + p^{r \cdot 2 \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}\mu(1)}{2} = \frac{p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \cdot (p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} - 1)}{2}$$

Since p is odd, the parity of this quantity depends entirely on the parity of $\frac{p^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}-1}{2}$, which in turn depends on the parity of $r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))$. For this we consider the parity of $\frac{(4k+3)^m-1}{2}$ (since $p \equiv_4 3$). By the Binomial Theorem $(4k+3)^m$ has the form $3^m + 4x$ for an appropriate integer x, and so $\frac{(4k+3)^m-1}{2} = \frac{3^m+4x-1}{12}$, and the parity of this quantity depends on the parity of $\frac{3^{m-1}}{2}$. Applying the Binomial Theorem to $3^{m} = (2+1)^{m}$, we see that 3^{m} is of the form 1+2m+4x for an appropriate integer x. Thus, $\frac{3^{m}-1}{2}$ is of the form $\frac{2m+4x}{2}$, which is even if, and only if, m is even. Thus, as r is odd, we find that $\frac{N(2)}{2} \equiv_{2} 0$ if gcd(n, c(i)) is even and $\frac{N(2)}{2} \equiv_{2} 1$ if gcd(n, c(i)) is odd. Since for divisors d' > 2 of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(i))}$ we have $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$ even, if follows that when $p \equiv_{4} 3$ the row permutation is even if, and only if, $r \cdot gcd(n, c(i))$ is even. Since the function π is a composition of these row permutations we see that for $p \equiv_{4} 3$, we have that π is an odd permutation if and only if $r \cdot gcd(n, c(i))$ is odd for an odd number of i and even for the remaining values of i.

Lemma 18. The function $\pi : M_{m,n}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,n}(GF(2^r))$ is an odd permutation if, and only if, $m \cdot r \cdot gcd(n, c(0)) = 1$ and n = 2.

Proof. We analyze separately the case when m > 1 and m = 1.

Case 1: Let m > 1. The number $2^{r(m-1)n}$ is an even number, and each cycle length of the permutation π appears a multiple of $2^{r(m-1)n}$ times in its cycle decomposition. Thus in this case π is an even permutation.

Case 2: Let m = 1. Then the function π is a single row permutation, and the factor $2^{r(m-1)n}$ is equal to 1, so that the parity argument when m > 1 does not apply. Once again apply the equations (3) and (5) for p = 2. Considering a factor d' of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$ with factorization as in equation (4), we distinguish again between the cases a > 1 and a = 1.

For a > 1 we have n > 2 and the factors $2^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$ in the nonzero terms of (3) have 2^{a-1} as a divisor of d. Write $d = k_d \cdot 2^{a-1}$. We have

$$2^{r \cdot d \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} = 2^{r \cdot k_d \cdot 2^{a-1} \cdot gcd(n,c(i))}$$

which for each nonnegative integer a is divisible by $2^{2^{a-1}}$, which in turn is divisible by 2^{a+1} . Thus we find from equation (5) that $N(d') \equiv \mu(d')2^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(i))} \mod 2^{a+1}$. But since a > 1 we must have $\mu(d') = 0$. It follows that $\frac{N(d')}{d'}$ is even in this case.

For a = 1 we see that the only contributing terms to the parity of the *i*-th row permutation are of the form

$$N(d') = \mu(d')2^{r \cdot gcd(n,c(0))} \mod 4$$

where d' is an even squarefree factor of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$. If $r \cdot gcd(n,c(0)) > 1$ then $N(d') \equiv_4 0$ and the factor d' of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$ contributes an even number of cycles of even length to the cycle decomposition of the row permutation. We see that for $m \cdot r \cdot gcd(n,c(0)) > 1$ the function π is an even permutation.

Finally consider the case when $m \cdot r \cdot gcd(n, c(0)) = 1$. For d' > 2 a squarefree even factor of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$, we have that $N(d') = \mu(d')2 \equiv_4 2$. Suppose that n has x + 1 distinct prime factors, including 2. Thus, as d' > 2, we have x > 0. The number of squarefree even factors of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$ larger than 2 is $2^x - 1$, an odd number. Thus the squarefree even factors of $\frac{n}{gcd(n,c(0))}$ larger than 2 contribute an odd number of even length cycles to the cycle decomposition of the permutation π . To complete the count of the number of cycles of even length in the cycle decomposition of ShiftRows, we must still consider $\frac{N(2)}{2}$. By equation (3),

$$\frac{N(2)}{2} = \frac{2\mu(1) + 2^2\mu(1)}{2} = \frac{2(2-1)}{2} = 1.$$

In conclusion we find that for even n > 2 the function π is an even permutation. For n = 2, m = 1, r = 1 and c odd, the π permutation has one 2-cycle, and two fixed points, and is thus an odd permutation.

Lemma 19. The function π is a linear transformation of the vector space $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ over the field $GF(p^r)$.

Proof. We use the ideas in the proof of Lemma 17. The space $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ can be viewed as a direct sum

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m.$$

where each V_i is $M_{1,n}(GF(p^r))$, the space of $n \times 1$ row vectors over the field $GF(p^r)$.

Now consider V_i as the space of *i*-th rows of members of $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$. With $c(1), \dots, c(n)$ defined as before, a single application of the π permutation maps the *i*-th row

$$(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \mapsto (x_{n-c(i)+0 \mod n}, \cdots, x_{n-c(i)+n-1 \mod n})$$

Define from the $n \times n$ identity matrix the matrix C_i by letting this mapping act on each of the columns of the identity matrix as if it were the *i*-th row. As the reader could verify, this matrix C_i has the property that

$$[x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}] \cdot C_i = [x_{n-c(i)+0 \mod n}, \cdots, x_{n-c(i)+n-1 \mod n}].$$

Note that C_i is a linear transformation of the vector space $M_{1,n}(GF(p^r))$ over the field $GF(p^r)$.

Now the function π on $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ can be viewed as the direct sum of $C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_m$, where for $v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_m \in V$ we have

$$C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_m(v_1 + \cdots + v_m) = C_1 \cdot v_1 + \cdots + C_m \cdot v_m,$$

which is a linear transformation on $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$.

3.4. Analysis of the MixColumns-like function (ρ -function).

Definition 20. Let $\rho : M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ is mapping defined as the parallel application of *n* "column" mappings $\rho_j : M_{m,1}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,1}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ defined by $\rho(a) = b$ if and only if $b_j = \rho_j(a_j)$ for all $0 \le j < n$, where each ρ_j is given by $\rho_j(x) = C \cdot x$ for all $x \in M_{m,1}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$, where $C \in M_{m,m}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$ is an invertible diffusion matrix.

Lemma 21. The function ρ is a linear transformation of $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$.

Lemma 22. Let $C \in M_{m,m}(GF(p^r))$ be an invertible diffusion matrix and n > 1. Then the function ρ is an odd permutation if and only if p, n, and $\frac{p^{rm}-1}{|\langle C \rangle|}$ are odd.

Proof. Consider the function ρ as a composition of n permutations ρ_j , each of which multiplies the j^{th} column by the invertible $m \times m$ matrix C over $\operatorname{GF}(p^r)$ and fixes the other n-1 columns. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then ρ_j produces cycles of length $|\langle C \rangle|$. Of the p^{rm} possible states of the j^{th} column all but the fixed points of C, which is only the all-0 column, are members of cycles. Note that for any state of the j^{th} column, there correspond $p^{rm(n-1)}$ states of the entire matrix. Therefore, over $M_{m,n}(\operatorname{GF}(p^r))$, the permutation ρ_j consists of

(6)
$$\frac{p^{rm(n-1)}(p^{rm}-1)}{|\langle C \rangle|}_{14}$$

cycles of length $|\langle C \rangle|$. This number of cycles is odd if and only if p is odd and $\frac{p^{rm-1}}{|\langle C \rangle|}$ is odd (in this case $|\langle C \rangle|$ is even). Note that for only an odd number of ρ_j 's would their composition then be an odd permutation, meaning n must be odd.

Note that for p = 2 the ρ function is odd if and only if n = 1. Additionally, for n = 1 and p > 2 the ρ function is odd if and only if $\frac{p^{rm}-1}{|\langle C \rangle|}$ is odd.

3.5. Analysis of the generalized Rijndael-like round functions.

Definition 23. Let m, n, r > 0 be natural numbers and $k \in \mathcal{K}$. The mapping $T[k] : M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ defined as $T[k] = \sigma[k] \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda$ is called a generalized Rijndael-like round function.

Corollary 24. Let p be an odd prime. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the generalized Rijndael-like round function T[k] is an odd permutation if and only if exactly one of the functions λ , ρ , and π is odd.

Proof. By Lemma 13 each $\sigma[k]$ is an even permutation. By the definition the function T[k] is odd if and only if each of λ , ρ and π is odd, or else exactly one of these three functions is odd. By Lemmas 15, 17 and 22 these three functions cannot simultaneously be of the same parity.

Corollary 25. For n > 2 the Rijndael-like round function $T[k] : M_{m,n}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,n}(GF(2^r))$ is an even permutation.

Corollary 26. The Rijndael-like round function $T[k] : M_{m,2}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,2}(GF(2^r))$ is an even permutation if and only is π is even.

Corollary 27. The Rijndael-like round function $T[k] : M_{m,1}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,1}(GF(2^r))$ is an even permutation if and only if $\sigma[k]$ is odd or λ is odd.

Note that when n = 1 and m = 2 the Rijndael-like round function T[k] is an odd permutation.

Definition 28. Let m, n, r > 0 be natural numbers and $k \in \mathcal{K}$. For s > 1 and $2 \leq i \leq s$ the mapping $T_s[k] : M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ defined as

 $T_{s}[k] = \sigma[k_{s+1}] \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma[k_{s}] \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda) \circ \cdots \circ (\sigma[k_{2}] \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda) \circ \sigma[k_{1}]$

where $\{k_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ is the set of subkeys produced by the key k is called s-round generalized Rijndael-like function.

The AES as well as the actual Rijndael [16] are special *s*-round Rijndael-like functions for m = n = 4, r = 8, p = 2 and s = 10, 12, or 14 (depending on key size).

Theorem 29. [39] Let mn > 2 and $r \ge 2$ be natural numbers. Then the s-round Rijndael-like function

 $T_s[k]: M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(2^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(2^r))$

is an even permutation.

Using corollaries 25, 26 and 27 we have the following generalization of the theorem above.

Theorem 30. For n > 2 the s-round Rijndael-like function

 $T_s[k]: M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(2^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(2^r))$

is an even permutation.

Corollary 31. The s-round Rijndael-like function $T_s[k] : M_{m,2}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,2}(GF(2^r))$ is an even permutation if and only if π is odd and s is even or π is even.

Corollary 32. The s-round Rijndael-like function $T_s[k] : M_{m,1}(GF(2^r)) \to M_{m,1}(GF(2^r))$ is an even permutation if and only if σ is odd or λ is odd or else s is even.

The proofs of the theorems below are omitted as they follow directly from the above theorems about the parity of the functions σ , ρ , λ and π .

Theorem 33. Let p > 2 be a prime. Then the s-round generalized Rijndael-like function $T_s[k]$ is an odd permutation if

- (i) s is even, and ρ is odd, or else
- (ii) s is odd, and either π or λ is odd.

Corollary 34. Let p > 2 be a prime. Then the set of s-round Rijndael-like functions do not form a group if

- (i) s is even, and ρ is odd, or else
- (ii) s is odd, and either π or λ is odd.

4. Groups generated by the generalized Rijndael-like round functions

In this section we show properties of groups generated by the round functions of the Rijndael-like $S\mathcal{P}$ -network. We provide conditions under which the group generated by the generalized Rijndael-like round functions based on operations of the finite field $GF(p^k)$ ($p \geq 2$) is equal to the symmetric group or the alternating group on the state space. Some of the techniques that we use for this result appear in [9].

In our analysis of this group note that by Lemmas 19 and 21, the functions ρ and π appearing in $T[k] = \sigma[k] \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda$ are both linear. Thus the map $\alpha = \rho \circ \pi$ is a linear transformation.

The space $V = M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$ is a direct sum

 $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{mn}.$

where each V_i has dimension r over GF(p). For any $v \in V$ we write

$$v = v_1 + \dots + v_{mn}$$

where $v_i \in V_i$. Also, we consider the projections $\operatorname{Proj}_i : V \to V_i$ onto V_i given by $\operatorname{Proj}_i(v) = v_i$.

Definition 35. We say that $\gamma: V \to V$ is a piecewise Galois field inversion if for all $v \in V$, $\gamma(v) := (v_1)^{\epsilon_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (v_{mn})^{\epsilon_{mn}}$, where $\epsilon_{mn} \in \{-1, 1\}$ is such that

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v_i \neq 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 36. Let γ_i denotes the restriction of γ to V_i and let r > 4. Then

(1) $\gamma(0) = 0$ and γ^2 is the identity map.

- (2) For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ and
 - (a) For all $v \in V_i$ where $v \neq 0$, the image of the map $V_i \to V_i$ which maps $x \mapsto \gamma_i(x+v) \gamma_i(x)$ has size greater than p^{r-2} , and
 - (b) If a subspace of V_i is invariant under γ_i then it has codimension at least 3.

Proof. The condition (1) is satisfied by construction of γ .

Proof of (2)(a): Fix $0 \neq v \in GF(p^r)$ and consider the map $GF(p^r) \to GF(p^r)$ which maps $x \mapsto (x+v)^{-1} - x^{-1}$. The size of the image of this map is equal to the number of distinct bs that solve the equation $(x+v)^{-1} - x^{-1} = b$. If $x \neq 0$ or -v, then $(x+v)((x+v)^{-1}) = 1$ and $x(x^{-1}) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &(x+v)^{-1} - x^{-1} = b\\ \Leftrightarrow & x(x+v)((x+v)^{-1} - x^{-1}) = x(x+v)b\\ \Leftrightarrow & x - (x+v) = bx^2 + bvx\\ \Leftrightarrow & bx^2 + bvx + v = 0\\ \Leftrightarrow & b(x^2 + vx) = -v \end{aligned}$$

Now as x ranges over $GF(p^r)$ except **0** and -v, the quantity $(x^2 + vx)$ ranges over at least $\frac{p^r-2}{2}$ distinct nonzero values, whence solving for b we find at least $\frac{p^r-2}{2}$ distinct values of b. Therefore the map $x \mapsto (x+v)^{-1} - x^{-1}$ has at least $\frac{p^r-2}{2} > p^{r-2}$ distinct values, fulfilling condition 2(a).

Proof of (2)(b): Assume that U is a proper (vector-) subspace of V_i and U is closed under inversion. As subspace, U is an additive subgroup of V_i . Apply Theorem 9 and Lemma 10 to find that either U is a subfield of V_i , or |U| = |F| for some subfield $F \subset V_i$. Since V_i is isomorphic to $GF(p^r)$, Theorem 6 implies that $|U| = p^k$ where k|r and $k \neq r$. Then as k is a proper divisor of $r, k \leq \frac{r}{2}$. But then we have the following implications

$$\begin{aligned} |U| &\leq p^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Rightarrow & \dim(U) \leq \frac{r}{2} \qquad \text{because } |U| = p^{\dim(U)} \\ \Rightarrow & \operatorname{codim}(U) \geq \frac{r}{2} \qquad \text{because } \dim(U) + \operatorname{codim}(U) = \dim(V_i) = r \\ \Rightarrow & \operatorname{codim}(U) \geq 3 \qquad \text{provided } r \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of condition 2(b) and the theorem.

Theorem 37. Let r > 4 and $V = M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$. If $U \neq \{0\}$ is a subspace of V such that for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$

$$(\alpha \circ \gamma)(v+u) - (\alpha \circ \gamma)(v) \in U,$$

where $\alpha = \rho \circ \pi$, then U is invariant under α and U is a sum of some of the V_i .

Proof. We already know that α is a permutation of the set V. By Lemma 19 and Lemma 21 we have that α is an invertible linear transformation of the vector space V over the field $GF(p^r)$. Thus, $W = \alpha^{-1}[U]$ is a vector subspace of V of the same dimension as U.

Thus, for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ we have

(7)
$$\gamma(v+u) - \gamma(v) \in \alpha^{-1}[U] = W.$$

Setting v = 0 in (7) and using the fact that $\gamma(0) = 0$, we see that for each $u \in U$ we have $\gamma(u) \in W$. Hence, γ is a function from U to W. Since U and W are finite and

 $|\gamma[U]| = |U| = |W|$, (1) of Lemma 36 implies that

$$\gamma[U] = W$$
 and $\gamma[W] = U$.

Using the hypothesis that U is not $\{0\}$, choose a $u \in U$ and an i such that $u_i = Proj_i(u) \neq 0$. With i fixed from now on, consider any $v_i \in V_i$ with $v_i \neq 0$. We have that $\gamma(u+v_i)-\gamma(v_i) \in W$ and $\gamma(u) \in W$. Since W is a vector space, $-\gamma(u) + \gamma(u+v_i) - \gamma(v_i) \in W$. Explicitly written $\gamma(u+v_i)$ and $\gamma(u)$ have the form

$$\gamma(u+v_i) = \gamma_1(u_1) \oplus \gamma_2(u_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_i(u_i+v_i) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_{mn}(u_{mn})$$

and

$$\gamma(u) = \gamma_1(u_1) \oplus \gamma_2(u_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_i(u_i) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_{mn}(u_{mn}).$$

Since V_i is a vector space, $-\gamma_i(u_i) + \gamma_i(u_i + v_i) - \gamma_i(v_i) \in V_i$. Therefore,

$$-\gamma(u) + \gamma(u+v_i) - \gamma(v_i) = -\gamma_i(u_i) + \gamma_i(u_i+v_i) - \gamma_i(v_i) \in W \cap V_i.$$

If for each $v_i \in V_i$ this vector was the zero-vector, then the image of the map $v_i \mapsto \gamma_i(v_i + u_i) - \gamma_i(v_i)$ from V_i to V_i would be $\{\gamma_i(u_i)\}$. This would contradict (2)(a) of Lemma 36. Thus, $W \cap V_i \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Since $U \cap V_i = \gamma(W \cap V_i)$ and $\gamma_i(x) = 0$ implies x = 0, we have that $U \cap V_i \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Thus there is a non-zero element $u_i \in U \cap V_i$. By the hypothesis that r > 4 and (2)(a) of Lemma 36, the map $x \mapsto \gamma_i(x + u_i) - \gamma_i(x)$ from V_i to V_i has image of cardinality greater than p^{r-2} . But as seen in (7), the image of this map is also a subset of W. Thus $W \cap V_i$ is a linear subspace of V_i and has cardinality greater then p^{r-2} . As subspace of V_i the cardinality of $W \cap V_i$ must be factor of the cardinality p^r of V_i and thus is a power of the prime number p. It follows that the cardinality of $W \cap V_i$ is at least p^{r-1} . But then the codimension of $W \cap V_i$ in V_i is at most 1. Similarly, the codimension of $U \cap V_i$ is at most 1. Hence, the subspace $U \cap W \cap V_i$ of V_i has codimension of at most 2 in V_i . In particular, since r > 2 we have that $U \cap W \cap V_i \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Because $\gamma(U) = W$ and $\gamma(W) = U$, we see that $U \cap W \cap V_i$ is invariant under γ . From Condition (2), it follows that $U \cap W \cap V_i = V_i$. Hence, $U \supset V_i$.

So if U contains an element of V_i for some i, then $U \supset V_i$. Hence, U is a direct sum of some of the V_i . Since $W = \gamma(U)$ and $\gamma(V_i) = V_i$ for all i, we see that W = U. And since $U = \gamma(W)$, it follows that $U = \alpha(U)$

Theorem 38. Let $\tau = \{T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ be the set of all generalized Rijndael-like functions $T[k] : M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r)) \to M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r)) \ (p \geq 2) \ and \ \mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \langle T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$ be the group generated by the set τ . Assume that the only subspaces of $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ that are invariant under $\alpha = \rho \circ \pi$ are $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$. Then for all $m, n \ and \ r > 4$ the group \mathcal{G}_{τ} is primitive.

Proof. Let $V = M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$. Suppose that G_{τ} acts imprimitively on V. By Corollary 4.1 of [9], there is a proper subspace U of V such that $U \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and such that for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$

$$(\alpha \circ \gamma)(v+u) - (\alpha \circ \gamma)(v) \in U.$$

By Theorem 37, U is a direct sum of some of the V_i and an invariant subspace of α (*i.e.*, $U = \alpha(U)$). But this contradicts the hypothesis that α has no non-trivial invariant subspaces. Therefore, G is primitive.

The following theorem follows directly from Lemma 4 and Theorem 38.

Theorem 39. Let $\tau = \{T[k]|k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ be the set of all generalized Rijndael-like functions on $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \langle T[k]|k \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$ be the group generated by the set τ . If $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ are the only subspaces of $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ that are invariant under $\alpha = \rho \circ \pi$ and \mathcal{G}_{τ} contains an m-cycle with $2 \leq m \leq (n-m)!$, then for all m, n > 1 and r > 4 the group \mathcal{G}_{τ} is either the alternating group or the symmetric group acting on $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$.

Note that the hypothesis that α 's only invariant subspaces are $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ implies that $\mathrm{gcd}(c_1, ..., c_m, n) = 1$. Indeed, suppose that $\mathrm{gcd}(c_1, ..., c_m, n) = x > 1$. Consider an input $\mathbf{a} \in M_{m,n}(p^r)$ for α with only one non-zero entry

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that under α , the orbit of **a** will have its non-zero entries at column positions of form $1 + k \cdot x \leq n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, no orbit element will have a nonzero entry in the second column. But then as α is linear it has an invariant subspace consisting of members of $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$ that have no nonzero entries in the second column. This is a subspace different from $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$, contradicting that α 's only invariant subspaces are $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$.

Also, note that in general the condition $gcd(c_1, ..., c_m, n) = 1$ is not sufficient to guarantee that α 's only invariant subspaces are $\{0\}$ and $M_{m,n}(GF(p^r))$. To see this, the reader is invited to consider the following example.

Example. Consider the vector space $M_{2,8}(GF(7))$, an irreducible polynomial $f(x) = x^2 + x + 3$ over GF(7) and $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 5$. Since the MixColumns-like function ρ is linear it can be specified as $\mathbf{d} = M \cdot \mathbf{c}$ for $c, d \in M_{2,8}(GF(7))$ and M a matrix of dimension 2×2 . Let

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

i.e. the generating polynomial M(x) = x + 1 for $GF(7)/\langle f \rangle$.

Now let $\mathbf{a} \in M_{2,8}(\mathrm{GF}(7))$

$$\mathbf{a} = \left[\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

be the input in the function α . It is easy to see that the orbit of **a** under alpha has 48 elements containing a linearly independent subset of at most 15 elements. Thus the subspace W generated by this orbit has dimension $1 < \dim(W) \leq 15$, and as α is linear, this is an invariant subspace of α with dimension less than $\dim(M_{2,8}(\mathrm{GF}(7))) = 16$.

Note that the ShiftRows-like function π for this example (in the sense of Definition 9.4.1 of [16]) and the MixColumns-like function ρ (in the sense that the orbit of any non-zero column vector includes all the nonzero column vectors) are diffusion optimal. Thus, merely requiring that ShiftRows is diffusion optimal is not sufficient to guarantee that the only invariant subspaces of α are $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathrm{GF}(p^r))$.

Next we determine the group $\mathcal{G}^s_{\tau} = \langle T[k_s]T[k_{s-1}]\cdots T[k_1]|k_i \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$ generated by the set of all compositions of s (independently chosen) generalized Rijndael-like functions.

Theorem 40. Let $\tau = \{T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ be the set of all generalized Rijndael-like functions and $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \langle T[k] | k \in \mathcal{K} \rangle$ be the group generated by the set τ . Then

- (a) If $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \mathcal{A}_{p^{rmn}}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^s = \mathcal{A}_{p^{rmn}}$.
- (b) If $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \mathcal{S}_{p^{rmn}}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^s = \mathcal{A}_{p^{rmn}}$ if s is even and $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^s = \mathcal{S}_{p^{rmn}}$ if s is odd.

Proof. Part (a) follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2. To show Part (b) suppose that $\mathcal{G}_{\tau} = \mathcal{S}_{p^{rmn}}$. If s is even, then every element of \mathcal{G}_{τ}^s must be an even permutation. Hence $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^s = \mathcal{A}_{p^{rmn}}$ by Lemma 1. If s is odd, then \mathcal{G}_{τ}^s must contain an odd permutation. Hence $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}^s = \mathcal{S}_{p^{rmn}}$, again by Lemma 1.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we provided conditions for which the round functions of a Rijndael-like block cipher deployed over a finite field $GF(p^r)$ (p > 2) do not constitute a group under functional composition - Theorem 33. We also provided conditions for which the round functions of a Rijndael-like block cipher over a finite field $GF(p^r)$ $(p \ge 2)$ generate either the alternating group or the symmetric group on the message space - Theorem 40.

6. Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank to Rüdiger Sparr and Ralph Wernsdorf for their valuable comments.

References

- L. Babai, The probability of generating the symmetric group, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 52 (1989), 148–153.
- [2] E. Barkan, E. Biham, In how many ways can you write Rijndael?, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2501, Springer-Verlag (2002), 160–175.
- [3] E. Biham and A. Shamir, Differential Cryptanalysis of the Data Encryption Standard, Springer Verlag, (1993).
- [4] A. Biryukov and D. Khovratovich, Related-key cryptanalysis of the full AES-192 and AES-256, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5912 (2009), 1–18.
- [5] A. Biryukov, D. Khovratovich and I. Nikolic, *Distinguisher and related-key attack on the full AES-256*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 5677 (2009), 231–249.
- [6] A. Biryukov, O. Dunkelman, N. Keller, D. Khovratovich and A. Shamir, Key Recovery Attacks of Practical Complexity on AES-256 Variants with up to 10 Rounds, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 6110 (2010), 299–319.
- [7] A. Bogdanov, D. Khovratovich and C. Rechberger, *Biclique cryptanalysis of the full AES*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 7073 (2011), 344–371.
- [8] D.K. Branstead, J. Gait, S. Katzke, Report of the Workshop on Cryptography in Support of Computer-Security, National Bureau of Standards, (1977) NBSIR 77-1291.
- [9] A. Caranti, F. Dalla Volta, M. Sala and F. Villani, Imprimitive permutation groups generated by the round functions of key-alternating block ciphers and truncated differential cryptanalysis, Computing Research Repository - CoRR, Vol. abs/math/0, (2006).
- [10] C. Cid, S. Murphy, and M.J.B. Robshaw, Small scale variants of the AES, Proceedings of Fast Software Encryption, Vol. 3557, (2005), 145–162.
- [11] C. Cid, S. Murphy, and M.J.B. Robshaw, Algebraic Aspects of the Advanced Encryption Standard, Springer, New York, (2006).
- [12] K. W. Campbell and M.J. Wiener, *DES is not a Group*, Crypto 92, 512–520.
- [13] D. Coppersmith and E. Grossman, Generators for Certain Alternating Groups with Applications to Cryptography, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics Vol.29 (1975), 624–627.

- [14] N. Courtois and J. Pieprzyk, Cryptanalysis of Block Ciphers with Overdefined Systems of Equations, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2501, Springer-Verlag (2001), 267–287.
- [15] J. Daemen, and V. Rijmen, AES Proposal: Rijndael, NIST AES Proposal, (1998).
- [16] J. Daemen, and V. Rijmen, *The Design of Rijndael*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2002).
- [17] O. Dunkelman, N. Keller and A. Shamir, Improved Single-Key Attacks on 8-Round AES-192 and AES-256), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 6477, Springer-Verlag (2010), 158–176.
- [18] J.D. Dixon, The probability of generating the symmetric group, Mathematics Zeitschrift Vol. 110 Issue 3 (1969), 199–205.
- [19] N. Ferguson, J. Kelsey, S. Lucks, B. Schneier, M. Stay, D. Wagner, and D. Whiting, *Improved cryptanal-ysis of Rijndael*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1978, Springer-Verlag (2000), 213–230.
- [20] H. Gilbert and M. Minier, A Collision Attack on 7 Rounds of Rijndael, In AES Candidate Conference (2000), 230–241.
- [21] O. Dunkelman, N. Keller and A. Shamir, Improved Single-Key Attacks on 8-Round AES-192 and AES-256, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 6477 (2010), 158–176.
- [22] J. A. Gallian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, Huston Mifflan Company, (1992).
- [23] H. Gilbert and T. Peyrin, Super-Sbox Cryptanalysis: Improved Attacks for AES-Like Permutations, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 6147 (2010), 365–383.
- [24] G. Hornauer, W. Stephan and R. Wernsdorf, Markov ciphers and alternating groups, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 765 (1994), 453–460.
- [25] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A classical introduction to modern Number Theory, Springer-Verlag Graduate Texts in Mathematics 84 (Second Edition), 1990.
- [26] B.S. Kaliski, R.L. Rivest, and A.T. Sherman, Is the Data Encryption Standard a Group? (Results of Cycling Experiments on DES), Journal of Cryptology, Vol. 1 (1988), 3–36.
- [27] T. Van Le, R. Sparr, R. Wernsdorf, and Y. Desmedt, Complementation-like and cyclic properties of AES round functions, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on the Advanced Encryption Standard, Vol. 3373 (2005), 128-141.
- [28] W. Mao, Modern Cryptography: Theory and Practice, Prentice Hall, (2003).
- [29] S. Mattarei, Inverse-closed additive subgroups of fields, Israel Journal of Mathematics Vol. 159 (2007), 343–348.
- [30] L. Miller, Generators of the Symmetric and Alternating Group, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 48, (1941), 43 – 44.
- [31] S. Murphy, K.G. Paterson, P. Wild, A weak cipher that generates the symmetric group, Journal of Cryptology 7 (1994), 61–65.
- [32] S. Murphy, M.J.B. Robshaw, Essential algebraic structure within the AES, Proceedings of CRYPTO 2002 Vol. 2442 (2002), 1–16.
- [33] National Institute of Standards and Technology (US), Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), FIPS Publication 197, (2001).
- [34] National Institute of Standards and Technology (US), Recommendation for the Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Block Cipher, Special Publication 800-67 (2004).
- [35] K.G. Paterson, Imprimitive permutation groups and trapdoors in iterated block ciphers, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1636 (1999), 201–214.
- [36] S. Patel, Z. Ramzan, G. S. Sundaram, Luby-Rackof Ciphers: Why XOR Is Not So Exclusive, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2595 (2003), 271–290.
- [37] D. M. Rodgers, Generating and Covering the Alternating or Symmetric group, Communications in Algebra, 30 (2002), 425–435.
- [38] C. E. Shannon, A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Bell System Technical Journal, 27 (1948), 379–423.
- [39] R. Sparr and R. Wernsdorf, Group theoretic properties of Rijndael-like ciphers, Discrete Applied Mathematics, Vol. 156 (2008), 3139–3149.
- [40] W. Trappe and L. C. Washington, Introduction to Cryptography with Coding Theory, Pearson Education, (2006).
- [41] R. Wernsdorf, The round functions of Rijndael generate the alternating group, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2365, Springer-Verlag (2002), 143–148.

[42] A. Williamson, On Primitive Permutation Groups Containing a Cycle, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 130 (1973), 159–162.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, Boise, ID 83725

 2 Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Physics, Wichita State University, Wichita, KS 67260

 3 Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130

⁵ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Colorado College, Colorado Springs, CO 80903