# WALK-RUN TRANSITION SPEED AND THE RELEVANCE OF LOADING, 1 MUSCULAR FATIGUE, AND HUMAN KINEMATICS: A COMPARISON OF 2 HUMAN GAIT PATTERNS

By 9. The same state of  $\mathbf{B}$ 

Callie Gunderson **100 and 100 and 100** 

A thesis 13 and 13

submitted in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Exercise and Sport Studies, Biophysical Studies 16

Boise State University

 $\text{May } 2011$ 

### BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY GRADUATE COLLEGE

## **DEFENSE COMMITTEE AND FINAL READING APPROVALS**

of the thesis submitted by

Callie Gunderson

Thesis Title: Walk-Run Transition Speed and the Relevance of Loading, Muscular Fatigue, and Human Kinematics: A Comparison of Human Gait Patterns

Date of Final Oral Examination: 18 March 2011

The following individuals read and discussed the thesis submitted by student Callie Gunderson, and they evaluated her presentation and response to questions during the final oral examination. They found that the student passed the final oral examination.



The final reading approval of the thesis was granted by Eric L. Dugan, Ph.D., Chair of the Supervisory Committee. The thesis was approved for the Graduate College by John R. Pelton, Ph.D., Dean of the Graduate College.

#### ABSTRACT ABSTRACT

Walk-Run Transition Speed and the Relevance of Loading, Muscular Fatigue, and 5 Human Kinematics: A Comparison of Human Gait Patterns 6

#### Callie Gunderson 80 and 70 and 70

**INTRODUCTION**: The walk-to-run transition (WRT) typically occurs at a preferred transition speed (PTS) of  $1.9$ -2.1ms<sup>-1</sup>. Previous research has focused on potential triggers for this transition such as leg length, muscular fatigue, loading rates (LR), and vertical ground reaction forces (VGRFs). Rather than focus on mechanisms responsible for the transition, the purpose of the current study was to determine if basic anthropometrics or 14 gait characteristics are predictive of the WRT. **METHODS**: Thirty participants were 15 recruited for the current study ( $n = 13$  male, 17 female;  $n = 11$  normal weight,  $n = 10$ overweight,  $n = 9$  obese; age  $M = 26.3$ ,  $SD = 5.5$  years; height  $M = 68.8$   $SD = 3.8$  inches; weight  $M = 182.6$ ,  $SD = 41.0$  lbs;  $BMI = 27$  kg/m<sup>s</sup>). Participants' passive hip and ankle range of motion (ROM) was measured. Next, participants performed a minimum of three overground walking trials at their preferred walking speed (PWS), followed by three WRT trials and four tibialis anterior  $(TA)$  strength and endurance tests on a Biodex Isokinetic Machine (Biodex Medical Systems Inc, Shirley NY). TA Strength was the

peak torque derived from three maximum voluntary contractions. TA endurance was 1 defined as the graphical value that dropped below 60% of the peak torque for three consecutive trials. Kinematic data were collected with eight Vicon MX series cameras 3 (VICON, Denver, CO, USA), and VGRFs were collected with four force platforms 4 (Kistler, Amherst, MA, USA & Advanced Mechanical Technology, Inc., Watertown, 5 MA, USA). The following variables were calculated from the overground trials: active 6 ankle/hip ROM, foot progression, vertical LRs, stride length, stride frequency, VGRFs, 7 and PWS. The PTS was assessed using a motorized treadmill with a velocity increasing 8 by 0.10 mph every 10 s. **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** A Classification and Regression 9 Tree (CART) analysis was used in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) to identify 10 and assess variables' predictive ability of PTS. A series of *t* tests were also run on results from the CART. **RESULTS & CONCLUSION**: The CART analysis resulted in a tree 12 with two splits and three terminal nodes. PWS was the primary splitter, creating a division at 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup>. A PWS above 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a PTS of 2.28 +/- 0.21 ms<sup>-1</sup> for three participants, creating the first terminal node. The second splitter was BMI, 15 subdividing participants at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with 27 participants below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> transitioning at  $1.97 +/- 0.17$  ms<sup>-1</sup> and creating the second terminal node. Twelve participants were categorized above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and transitioned at  $1.8 +/- 0.13$  ms<sup>-1</sup> , creating the third terminal node. A cross-validation technique generated mean square errors of 0.0734, 19 0.0565, and 0.0456 for the first, second, and third terminal nodes, respectively. Independent *t* tests were run on the two BMI groups ( $\langle 27 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ and } 27 \text{ kg/m}^2 \rangle$  from  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  from the secondary split. Passive hip ROM was statistically significant between the

participants above and below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (p = 0.009 < 0.05), at 136 +/- 13<sup>°</sup> degrees and 161  $+/- 27^{\circ}$ , respectively. Also, TA endurance (p = 0.043 < 0.05) and step width (p = 0.05) were statistically significant, with participants above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at TA endurance values of at TA endurance values of 3 32 +/- 2.48 repetitions and participants below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at 24 +/- 0.71 repetitions. Step at  $24 + (-0.71$  repetitions. Step width values were  $0.14 + (-0.02 \text{ m and } 0.11 + (-0.01 \text{ for participants above and below } 27 \text{ m})$ kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. According to the CART analysis, PWS and BMI were identified as the best predictors for PTS compared to the other measure variables. In general, it is 7 likely that there are differences across multiple variables between these groups, and it is the collective nature of these differences that influence the PTS. Future research on PTS 9 must examine diverse populations in order to gain further insight on transition speed.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS







#### LIST OF TABLES



#### LIST OF FIGURES



#### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Interest in the area of transition speed first evolved from research in quadruped animals, with specific emphasis on horses and their walk-to-trot and trot-to-gallop 4 transitions. Transition speed from the locomotion of walking to the locomotion of 5 running is highly studied in gait-related research (Alexander, 1977; Hreljac, 1995a; 6 Hreljac, 1995b; Hreljac Imamura, Escamilla, & Edwards, 2007; Farley & Taylor, 1991; 7 Neptune & Sasaki, 2005; Raynor, Yi, Abernethy, & Jong, 2002; Segers, Lenoir, Aerts, & De Clercq, 2007b; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). The point of transition from a walk to a run is referred to as the walk-to-run transition (WRT) and is highly dependent on movement speed (Rotstein, Inbar, Berginsky, & Meckel, 2005). This speed is know as 11 the preferred transition speed (PTS) and typically occurs at a rate of about 1.9-2.1ms- $1$  (Rotstein et al., 2005). In general, the presence or absence of a flight phase also indicates the change in the mode of locomotion, with walking considered to include double support phases. Despite what researchers may have hoped to find, the transition of quadruped animals has proved to be very different from that of bipedal species, such as 16 humans (Hreljac, 1995a).

There are several protocols used to measure the walk-to-run transition. The most 1 commonly used protocols are termed "continuous" and "incremental." The incremental protocol involves the manual control of speed in increments by the researchers (Raynor et 3 al., 2002). At each given speed, participants are given a decision period to determine 4 whether walking or running is the preferred gait. However, some researchers propose that this protocol does not exhibit a true spontaneous transition or the steps leading up to the 6 transition (Li & Hamill, 2002). Conversely, a continuous protocol can be used, where the treadmill is constantly accelerating without interference from researchers. Although this 8 method has also shown to be reliable, some argue that the true point of transition is not 9 always obvious under these circumstances. Because of the difficulty in pinpointing the 10 exact moment of transition, recent research has illustrated that the transition itself is not 11 just a singular event. Rather, the transition is a sequence of events with pre-transition 12 steps that precede the WRT (Hreljac et al., 2007). The identification of the pre-transition phase has highlighted the complexity of the PTS and has led to further research that 14 explores the trigger or cause of the WRT.

Many theories have been developed to explain the cause of the WRT, however, 16 none of the variables stemming from these theories have been defined as primary 17 contributing factors. Many of the leading hypotheses include metabolic, physiological, 18 and biomechanical factors, with varying intrinsic and extrinsic potential determinants 19 (Malcolm, Fiers, Segers, Van Caekenberghe, Lenoir, & De Clercq, 2009a). 20

The WRT may be related to the minimization of metabolic cost (Mercier, Legallais, Durand, Goudal, Micallef,  $&$  Prefaut, 1994). The transition from walking to running has been viewed as an energy saving mechanism, in which the body transitions 1 as an order of efficiency. However, research conducted by Raynor et al. (2002) suggests 2 that energy cost is not minimized or even reduced during the WRT for humans. Although 3 oxygen consumption and transport cost have been ruled out as potential triggers of the 4 transition, the gait transition did result in a more efficient mode of locomotion than if the 5 speed of walking had been maintained (Raynor et al., 2002). In a study that compared 6 PTS to energetically optimal transition speed (EOTS), Sentija and Markovic (2009) 7 investigated how energy expenditure and gas exchange relate to gait patterns. Twentytwo untrained but physically active males performed four tests which revealed  $VO<sub>2max</sub>$ , walking/running gas exchange thresholds, walk-to-run/run-to-walk PTS, and EOTS. Significant positive correlations between WRT and PTS were found, suggesting that 11 aerobic threshold in running could be an important predictor of PTS (Sentija & Markovic, 12 2009). 13

Additional studies exploring correlations between oxygen consumption and the 14 WRT have specifically examined the theoretical transition speed (TTS). TTS is defined as the speed where oxygen consumption while walking becomes greater than the consumption during running (Beaupied, Multon, & Delamarche, 2003). Previous studies 17 that have measured TTS among sprinters, endurance runners, and untrained subjects have 18 revealed significant differences in TTS values between the groups, indicating transition 19 speed may be closely linked to the subject's type of training and fitness level (Beaupied et al., 2003). More recent investigations contradict this conclusion, using PTS values rather than TTS. Rotstein et al. (2005) compared PTS between runners and non-runners, but no 22

significant differences were found. Thus, PTS was concluded to be independent of aerobic capacity and training status (Rotstein et al., 2005). 2

Aside from training status, size and anthropometric measures have also been 3 investigated in regard to transition speed. Much of the early research on quadruped gait 4 and transition has confirmed the relevance of size on time of transition, claiming that 5 smaller animals transition sooner than large animals (Heglund  $& Taylor, 1988$ ). Hreljac (1995b) investigated this principle in humans, attempting to make associations between 7 leg length and transition speed. However, it was found that there was no single length variable that highly correlated with PTS (Hreljac, 1995b).

Although anthropometric values may not influence PTS in humans, musculoskeletal fatigue may be a trigger for PTS for animals and humans. Much of the 11 research among quadrupeds suggests that the WRT occurs when musculoskeletal effort reaches a critical level to avoid injuries (Farley & Taylor, 1991; Shung, de Oliveira, & Nadal, 2009). This was evident in horses during the trot-gallop transition in which energetic demands increased greatly while ground reaction forces decreased (Farley  $\&$ Taylor, 1991). Given this positive correlation in animals, the role of musculoskeletal 16 stress in human transitions has been examined as well. It has been hypothesized that the 17 WRT is influenced by dorsiflexor muscle fatigue, where the dorsiflexors reach a state of fatigue in which changing gaits significantly reduces localized stress (Hreljac, Imamura, 19 Escamilla, Edwards, & MacLeod, 2008). Specifically, research has shown that tibialis 20 anterior fatigue can greatly reduce the WRT speed, thus proving its significant role in 21 determining the WRT (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Segers, Aerts, Lenoir, & De Clercq,

2006). Conversely, Neptune and Sasaki (2005) concluded that the plantar flexor muscles 1 trigger the WRT because of their reduced force-generating capacity during fast walking. 2 Additional "trigger muscles" such as the soleus, rectus femoris, and the medial/lateral 3 gastrocnemius have also been shown to affect the WRT, especially in response to 4 fatiguing/assistive protocols (Bartlett  $\&$  Kram, 2008). By overloading the proposed trigger muscles, PTS has been shown to decrease significantly. Conversely, when 6 demand decreases for the trigger muscles, PTS may increase. Therefore, the role of 7 certain groups of muscles such as the plantar flexors or dorsiflexors appear to be vital 8 components to the initiation of WRT (Prilutsky  $& Gregor, 2001$ ).

The current state of research in this area has two main shortcomings: the discrepancy in proposed triggers of the WRT, and the narrow populations used in these studies. Many studies have used recreationally active college students as participants 12 (Bartlett & Kram, 2008), or healthy adults who are free of injury and disease (Hreljac et al., 2007; Malcolm et al., 2009a; Rotstein et al., 2005) Because of the similar subject 14 population used in most transition speed research, it is not surprising that there is a proposed general or standardized transition speed across the human species. However, 16 considering the amount of research conducted on animals with varying sizes and 17 characteristics (Heglund  $&$  Taylor, 1988), it is puzzling that more diverse populations among humans have not been investigated. As mentioned above, the research conducted by Hreljac (1995b) claimed that humans do not share the same size-transition correlation as animals. However, it should be noted that the subject population used in their study did 21 not deviate from any "normal" anthropometric measures or body compositions. The

highest body fat percentage was 25%, and was that of a female participant. According to health standards, this composition is not even considered overweight and should not be 2 considered unique or unusual.

Based on the homogenous participant population seen in previous studies, the 4 next step in transition research should be directed towards a more diverse selection of 5 subjects. For example, it is well known that overweight and obese individuals tend to 6 adopt a different gait pattern while walking, such as greater step width, greater hip 7 circumduction, and decreased knee flexion (Browning  $\&$  Kram, 2009). By including a more diverse subject population, there is the potential to take greater strides in the area of transition speed. There is no sole contributing factor to PTS that has been agreed upon. 10 Some of the main supported causes or triggers of the PTS include loading rates, 11 musculoskeletal fatigue, and kinematic variables such as ankle angular velocity. Due to 12 the discrepancy of previous findings, the current study investigated PTS and its 13 associated variables from a difference approach. As opposed to examining potential 14 causes or triggers of the WRT, the current study examined a cohort of variables presented within the literature from a broader perspective.

#### **Purpose** 17 *Purpose* 17 *Purpose*

The purpose of this study was to determine whether physical characteristics such 18 as anthropometrics or kinematics are predictive of PTS through the use of a participant population with a wide range of body mass index (BMI) values. Rather, normal weight, overweight, and obese individuals were examined to determine if unique physical 21 characteristics were predictive of the WRT. These physical characteristics were examined

during participants' normal walking gait in order to determine the predictive nature of certain variables rather than their classification as triggers or causes. 2

#### **Significance** 3

 This study has significance in several areas of human movement science. By 4 studying the WRT from a predictive standpoint, there is the potential to reveal novel information regarding PTS during the WRT. Also, the use of a diverse group of 6 participants ranging from normal weight to obese may lead to a better understanding of 7 how anthropometrics and gait characteristics influence the PTS. Finally, studies 8 examining gait and walking kinematics within the obese population are becoming 9 increasingly prominent. With the current study, there is the potential to add to the base of obesity-related research and perhaps provide novel information within this area. 11

#### **Methods** 120 and 120

#### Participants **Participants**

30 participants ( $n = 13$  male;  $n = 11$  normal weight,  $n = 10$  overweight,  $n = 9$ obese; age  $M = 26.3$ ,  $SD = 5.5$  years; height  $M = 68.8$   $SD = 3.8$  inches; weight  $M = 182.6$ ,  $SD = 41.0$  lbs; BMI = 27 kg/m<sup>s</sup>) free of lower body musculoskeletal injury and previous joint surgeries were recruited for the study. Written informed consent, approved by Boise State University Institutional Review Board, was obtained from all participants prior to 18 involvement.

#### **Data Acquisition** 2012 2022 2023 2024 2022 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2023 2024 2022 2023 2024 2023 2024 2023 2024 2023 2024 2023 2024 2023 202

Passive range of motion tests were performed on the participant on the hip and ankle by the primary investigator. Participants then performed multiple overground

walking trials over two force plates mounted in the floor. Participants were instructed to 1 walk at a comfortable pace from point A to point B (approximately 7 meters), traveling over the force plates.

Participants then performed a warm-up on a motorized treadmill. Participants 4 walked at 2.0 mph for 6-minutes at a 0% incline. The treadmill was programmed to automatically accelerate and function through the use of National Instruments Labview 6 Software 8.6 (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), enabling researchers to manually 7 start and stop the treadmill from the computer. Following the warm-up period, 8 participants then participated in a WRT familiarization trial on the treadmill. Treadmill 9 velocity began at 1.5 mph and increased at .10 mph every 10 seconds. Participants were 10 informed that the treadmill would gradually accelerate at a constant pace and eventually 11 bring them to a speed where they would transition to a run.

Following the familiarization trial, three additional WRT trials were conducted to find the participants' PTS. The WRT trials were identical to the familiarization trial in protocol and treadmill acceleration. A 3-minute rest was given to the participants 15 following the familiarization trial, and in between each WRT trial thereafter. After completion of the WRT trials, all reflective markers were removed. Participants then rested for 15-minutes.

Participants then participated in Tibialis Anterior (TA) Strength/Endurance testing trials. Participants were seated on a Biodex isokinetic machine (Biodex, Shirley, NY) 20 with the test leg at a  $60^{\circ}$  angle of knee flexion and  $90^{\circ}$  (or neutral) for the ankle. The speed was set at  $210^{\circ}/s$  for dorsiflexion and  $400^{\circ}/s$  for plantar flexion. The participant

first completed three isokinetic dorsiflexion maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs), 1 beginning plantar flexed and initiating movement into dorsiflexion. This set of three qualified as the warm-up as well as provided a baseline value MVC to be evaluated later 3 (Parijat & Lockhart, 2008). Following a 3-minute rest, participants then performed a 4 fatiguing test on the Biodex machine. Participants performed isokinetic repetitions until 5 they could no longer move through the full range of motion. 6

#### Data Analysis 7

All data from the overground trials were averaged from three successful trials for 8 each limb. The purpose of these trials was to collect data on active ROM at the ankle and 9 hip, foot progression, vertical loading rates, stride length, stride frequency, the first peak of vertical ground reaction forces (VGRFs), and preferred walking speed (PWS). The 11 WRT was defined as the first evident flight phase following toe-off of the opposite limb (Segers et al., 2006). PTS was then defined as the speed at which this event occurred.

The peak value from the three maximal repetitions on the Biodex machine was 14 drawn from graphical data and indentified as the TA strength variable. Data graphs from 15 the endurance portion of the trial were analyzed according to 60% of the peak torque value acquired during the strength trial. Specifically, when the torque value fell below 17 60% of the peak strength value for at least three consecutive repetitions, the endurance 18 value was identified as that specific number of repetitions (Parijat  $&$  Lockhart, 2008).

All data were collected and processed in Vicon Nexus software (VICON, Denver, CO, USA). Data were then further processed and analyzed in Visual 3D (C-motion Inc, 21 Germantown, MD, USA). All data was filtered with a lowpass butterworth filter with a 22

cut-off frequency of 6 Hz. VGRFs were measured using two Kistler force plates (Kistler, 1 Amherst, MA, USA) and two AMTI force plates (Advanced Mechanical Technology, 2 Inc., Watertown, MA, USA) mounted in the floor and were filtered at 40 Hz. 3 Statistical Analysis **4. In the Statistical Analysis** 4. The Statistical Analysis 4. The Statistical Analysis

A Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis was run in MATLAB 5 (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) to identify and assess different contributing factors to 6 transition speed. Specifically, the CART analysis subdivided the subjects on the basis of 7 measurements that detected binary, ordinal or continuous covariates that maximized split 8 criterion. Mean square error was used to determine the predictive ability variables 9 displayed in the tree. The generated tree was cross-validated in order to apply trends within the tree to its own data. The tree with the lowest of mean square error across splitters was selected and then minimized or "pruned" to eliminate less applicable variables. Such subdivisions allow for more generalized data to successfully predict 13 outcomes of alternative data sets. A minimum leaf value (N value) of three participants 14 was implemented.

As an additional exploratory measure, an Independent *t* Test was run on the results generated in the CART analysis. Specifically, the *t* test was used to determine if 17 significant differences existed between the classification groups revealed by the CART tree. This method of analysis was a secondary approach to testing the significance of 19 variables that contribute to the predictor variables themselves.

#### **Limitations** 1.1 and 1.1 and

Because the study was conducted on a college campus, many of the participants were college-aged. Although participant recruitment was also conducted off campus, 3 many of the individuals who responded were students. Therefore, the average age of 4 participants was  $M = 26.3$  years old (*SD* = 5.5). Although there is no documented research on the relationship between age and PTS, the results of the study display a small 6 range in age and lack of diversity in that respect. Also, race and gender were not 7 controlled in the current study. Although neither has been shown to affect WRT or PTS values, the current study"s results include more females. Overall, race or ethnicity was 9 not observed or required information in order to participate.

#### **Delimitations** 11 **11**

BMI was held constant in the current study, excluding individuals with a BMI over 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for experimental reliability purposes. Also, individuals who had lower extremity joint surgery, lower extremity injuries, or had trained for race walking were also excluded. Also, overground walking trials were only deemed reliable if one full foot 15 landed on an entire force plate. 16 and 16 and

#### **Summary** 17

By studying a physically diverse subject population from a biomechanical perspective, much of the disagreement surrounding the WRT and the associated variables 19 may be resolved. Overall, the current study will positively influence many areas of human movement research and greater insight that further progress transition research.

#### CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 1

#### **Introduction**

The transition from walking to running occurs spontaneously in both humans and 4 animals. When a person begins walking and gradually increases their speed, they prefer 5 to switch to a run at one particular speed. This gait transition occurs because it 6 instinctively feels easier to run than to walk, even though it is possible to walk faster than 7 the preferred transition speed (PTS) (Kram, Domingo, & Ferris, 1997). The walk-to-run 8 transition (WRT) and the run-to-walk transition  $(RWT)$  are initiated when the speed of travel reaches a critical value (Raynor et al., 2002). The WRT in particular is 10 characterized by a discrete and relatively abrupt change dependent on movement speed 11 (Rotstein et al., 2005). The WRT has been defined as "the slowest speed at which people 12 prefer to run, or the moment in which a double support phase is no longer present in the 13 mode of locomotion" (Thorstensson  $& \text{Roberthson}, 1987$ ).

The speed at which transition occurs is referred to as the preferred transition speed, a generalized term used for both the WRT and RWT. This point of transition, or PTS, commonly occurs at approximately 2.0  $\text{ms}^{-1}$  when transitioning from walking to running.

(Hreljac, 1995a; Hreljac et al., 2008; Raynor et al., 2002; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1 1987). 2

#### WRT Overview

#### **Origins of Transition Research 4 and 2000 Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract Control Contract Contract**

To better understand the WRT, the mechanics of walking itself must be 5 understood. Two interrelated models are commonly used to describe walking gait 6 transitions: the inverted pendulum mechanism and the Froude number. Both models 7 interpret the roles of gravity, mass, leg length, and forward speed in locomotion. 8

The inverted pendulum is a mechanical model that perhaps best describes walking 9 for bipedal species (Alexander, 1977). This model considers walking to be a series of vaults over rigid legs (Cavagna, Heglund, & Taylor, 1977). In humans, the pendulum-like mechanism conserves approximately 70% of the mechanical energy from step to step at the PTS (Cavagna et al., 1977). Pendulum-like exchange eventually disappears at faster 13 walking speeds due to a disparity in the magnitudes and phases of the fluctuations of the two forms of mechanical energy, gravitational potential energy and kinetic energy. 15 Therefore, at non-optimal speeds, the muscles must provide additional mechanical power 16 (Kram et al., 1997).

The Froude number provides a simple explanation for both bipedal and quadruped transition (Alexander, 1977). The Froude number represents the ratio between centripetal 19 force and gravitation force (F =  $v^2$ /gl, (mv<sup>2</sup>/l)/mg = v<sup>2</sup>  $/gl)$  (Kram et al., 1997). There is evidence that the moment of transition occurs at one particular Froude number for all animals, of which is governed by speed, acceleration, and leg length. Humans and other 22

bipedal animals switch from a walk to a run at a Froude number of approximately 0.5 1 (Alexander, 1977; Hreljac, 1995b ; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). At a Froude 2 number above 1.0, walking is impossible for the simple inverted-pendulum model described above (Kram et al., 1997).

The association between biomechanically based models and locomotion initially led researchers to begin observing changes or transitions to other forms of locomotion. Through the observation of a variety of quadruped animals, researchers formed general 7 hypotheses regarding gait transition as a highly dynamical process.

Specifically, gait transitions displayed by animals are related to a spring mass model to describe the mechanics of bouncing gaits (Farley, Glasheen, & McMahon, 10 1993). It was determined that in the context of the spring mass model, dynamic similarity 11 between a large and small animal predicts that while moving at similar Froude numbers, 12 equal compression, equal angle, and equal ratio of peak force will be present (Farley et al., 1993). This finding not only confirms the relevance of the Froude number across a 14 variety of quadrupeds but it also reveals that animals experience similar muscular stress prior to transition.

Levels of peak ankle extensor muscular stress during movement are also highly 17 studied. Researchers have shown two different species of rats (Kangaroo and white rats) developed nearly identical levels of peak stress in the ankle extensors at their preferred speeds, using only 1/3 of their peak isometric force (Perry, Blickhan, Biewener, Heglund,  $\&$  Taylor, 1988). This finding suggests that animals prefer to travel at speeds that are the most energetically inexpensive and with a reduced amount of stress. Accordingly, it has 22

been hypothesized that at increased speeds, terrestrial vertebrates in general are forced to 1 alter their gait in order to reduce mechanical forces and muscular stress (Perry et al., 2 1988). 3

Using the Froude number and the inverted pendulum model, researchers continue 4 to investigate the relevance of animal size to PTS. Additional research on animals has 5 focused on the anthropometric attributes that may cause gait transition to occur, such as 6 leg length and general size (Heglund & Taylor, 1988).

As predicted by researchers (Heglund  $&$  Taylor, 1988), there is a high correlation between animal size and transition speed. Such conclusions have been formed through 9 the study of speed and stride frequency among a multitude of species of animals, ranging 10 from rodents to horses. Through this research, a high correlation between body mass and 11 speed was revealed. Based on these results, it has been concluded that minimum, 12 preferred, and maximum speeds with a trot or gallop change are predictable dependent upon body size (Heglund  $&$  Taylor, 1988).

It has also been suggested that quadruped gait transition is triggered by joint kinetic factors. Research on larger quadrupeds such as horses has shown extensive 16 amounts of musculoskeletal stress on the limbs prior to transition (Farley  $&$  Taylor, 1991). Based on this finding, it is hypothesized that quadrupeds change gaits to prevent 18 musculoskeletal stress from reaching a critical stage thereby reducing the risk of injury. Quadruped versus Human Terrestrial Locomotion

As a result of advanced research in quadrupedal gait, the topic of locomotion has 21 increasingly evolved. Alexander (1977) first proposed that humans and quadrupeds share several gait characteristics, most importantly, the transition occurring at the same Froude 1 number. Based on this information, many researchers have assumed that animals and 2 humans perhaps share similar transition characteristics.

One of the most prominent contributors in quadruped gait transition is the 4 relationship between an animal's size and preferred transition speed. Allometric equations developed for quadrupeds relating body segment mass and length to gait parameters have been shown to apply to bipedal species (Holt, Hamill, & Andres, 1990). Others have 7 proposed that quadrupeds and humans both display similar relationships between 8 temporal gait parameters and speed (Vilensky & Gehlsen, 1984).

Despite the number of proposed associations made between human and animal locomotion, there are many underlying factors that present obstacles. First, it should be considered that animals and humans still exhibit different modes of locomotion. 12 Quadrupeds generally exhibit three modes of locomotion (walking, trotting, or galloping) 13 while bipedal species exhibit two (walking and running). Therefore, two gait transitions exist for quadrupeds (walk-trot and trot-gallop) while only one exists for humans (walkrun) (Hreljac, 1995b). Because of this, certain information has not been considered 16 applicable to human locomotion, such as the mass-transition relationship (Heglund  $\&$ Taylor, 1988). Such research has revealed a strong relationship between mass and the 18 trot-gallop transition in quadrupeds, but only within an animal group possessing a wide 19 range of length and mass characteristics.

Additionally, many of the procedures used in studies conducted on animals do not 21 provide for reliability or ethical reproducibility for human-based research due to invasive 22

16

techniques used in previously cited animal studies (Hreljac, Arata, Ferber, Mercer,  $\&$ Row, 2001). Additionally, inconsistencies exist between the walk-trot and trot-gallop 2 transitions in horses, as joint stress was not reduced during the walk-trot transition. 3 Varying results present the issue of whether quadrupedal gait can truly be applied to that 4 of bipedal species. Can associations be made between animals and humans although the 5 walk-trot or trot-gallop transitions are not present in human gait?

Researchers have approached these questions by studying the adaptations to changing speed in human locomotion and the role of leg length in gait transition 8 (Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). Studies comparing acceleration and deceleration 9 have shown that individuals with shorter legs have lower transition speeds. However, no difference in PTS has been evident among individuals with medium or long legs 11 (Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). Such results only display a weak tendency for the case of leg length and transition speed in humans.

Despite low correlations, Thorstensson and Roberthson (1987) hypothesized that the inverted pendulum mechanism may still be applicable to size-dependent quadrupedal gait. In order to keep the same high horizontal speed (i.e.. speed walking), a shorter 16 pendulum is forced to exceed its natural frequency more than a long pendulum, provided 17 that the dorsiflexion angle is kept the same in both cases (Thorstensson and Roberthson, 18 1987). Thus, it is expected that a shorter-legged person would change mode of 19 locomotion at a lower speed. 2012 12:20 a 201

Similarly, Hreljac (1995b) conducted a study with the purpose of determining 21 whether body size is related to human terrestrial locomotion as shown to be true with

quadrupeds. The study investigated the extent to which anthropometric variables 1 (primary length variables) affect the PTS. Additionally, allometric equations (i.e., the 2 Froude number) relevant to quadrupedal locomotion were compared to the bipedal 3 locomotion of humans (Alexander, 1977). A supplementary equation was derived by 4 Hreljac (1995b), which included thigh length to sitting height ratio and lateral malleolus 5 in order to identify anthropometric values. Weak correlations between body mass and 6 PTS were found but it was determined that the Froude number at PTS was highly 7 correlated due to the relationship between Froude number and speed (Hreljac, 1995b). More importantly, no single length variable was significantly more correlated to PTS 9 than others. The state of t

Despite these findings, the correlations between body size and PTS in humans are not as significant as those found in studies on quadrupeds (Hreljac, 1995b). Possible 12 explanations may include the small range of length and speed variables within a single species such as humans. Unlike humans, the size of animals can vary greatly, providing 14 the assumption that larger animals have skeletal structures that are larger and stronger. 15 Because of this, larger animals would possibly transition at proportionally greater speeds (Hreljac, 1995a).

In general, animals tend to represent a more diverse selection of size, speed, and strength. Although humans are perhaps less unique, it is clear that each human still 19 displays unique locomotion characteristics. Thus, weak correlations between humans' size and PTS are likely related to the differences in gait kinematics between subjects rather than their anthropometric values (Hreljac, 1995b).

18

#### Human Gait Transition: a League of its Own 1

Human terrestrial locomotion and the associated transitions have been determined 2 to be unique and different than that of animals. Humans not only vary in size and mass but also in their chosen gait patterns (Hreljac, 1995b). The study of gait kinematics 4 among humans requires a foundational understanding of gait itself but also knowledge of 5 the basic elements of transitioning speeds. Thus, the discussion of the occurrence of 6 transition is key to understanding the PTS and WRT literature. 7

Some researchers have proposed that the WRT is actually a sequence of events 8 rather than an abrupt change in gait, with a "pre" preparation step of the WRT that adapts landing configuration (Segers et al., 2007b). This alternative proposition suggests that the WRT is not quite as spontaneous as it may appear and may include a transition process. To test this theory, several studies have examined the steps prior to and following a 12 transition step (Hreljac et al., 2007; Segers et al., 2007b; Segers, Aerts, Lenoir, & De 13 Clercq, 2008). The transition step (TS) is typically defined as the first step with a flight phase when speed was increased (Segers et al., 2007b). Research has shown that at the start of the TS, the limb already has an altered landing configuration similar to the 16 running configuration. This preparation is likely starting as early as the swing phase of 17 the last walking stride (Segers et al., 2007b). Based on these results, it is possible that 18 transition is realized in one discrete step. This event is termed the "pre" preparation 19 period, occurring during the last stride that adapted the landing configuration of the foot.

Additional research on ground reaction forces (GRF) during the transition phase also supports the preparation theory (Li & Hamill, 2002; Segers et al., 2008). Reported

changes in the vertical ground reaction forces (VGRFs) in the steps prior to the WRT 1 may indicate a preparation mechanism. Similarly, the transition process has been studied 2 with a specific emphasis on GRFs and center of mass (COM), indicating that kinetic adaptations were found in the last step before transition (Segers et al., 2008). 4

#### **Transition Speed Protocols** 5 and 5

Examination of preparatory steps or phases tends to be more or less successful 6 depending on the protocol used. Treadmill protocol and overground protocols are the two 7 most general methods used to examine WRT and PTS. . In some cases, one protocol may 8 be more useful than the other, as both techniques have various advantages and 9 disadvantages. The contract of the contract of

The use a treadmill protocol for analyzing gait offers a number of benefits, such 11 as reduced physical space and number of motion capture cameras. However, treadmill walking may not exactly mimic overground walking mechanics (Van Ingen Schenau, 1980). Slightly significant differences in temporal, kinematic, and kinetic components 14 exist between overground and treadmill walking. (Van Ingen Schenau, 1980; White, Yack, Tucker, & Lin, 1998). In contrast, more recent research has determined that differences between the two protocols were small and within a range of reproducibility 17 (Riley, Paolini, Della Croce,  $&$  Kerrigan, 2007). It has been proposed that a familiarization period of a minimum of 4 minutes is required to allow a participant to adjust to treadmill walking. Following such a familiarization period, overground and treadmill gait kinematics are very similar (Matsas, Taylor, & McBurney, 2000).

With specific regards to WRT, treadmill protocols are most commonly used in research settings. By using treadmills to analyze gait transition, researchers have the 2 ability to observe the moment of transition in a more controlled setting. 3

Conversely, an overground WRT protocol allows a participant to utilize gait 4 patterns most similar to normal walking mechanics when transitioning. This also includes 5 a more spontaneous and less controlled transition. Few documented studies have used 6 overground protocols to examine the WRT, with the exception of more recent research 7 examining spatiotemporal parameters (Segers et al., 2008). Given this, there is currently no literature confirming or denying the accuracy of treadmill versus overground protocols 9 for PTS.

When using the treadmill method to examine PTS, two protocols termed "incremental" and "continuous" are typically used. The most commonly used method in 12 measuring WRT and PTS is the "incremental" protocol (Raynor et al., 2002), in which researchers manually control the treadmill speed or incline in a set incremental manner. During this type of protocol, participants have 30 seconds at each speed increment to determine whether it is most comfortable to walk or run at the given speed. The speed at which the participant decides that running is the most appropriate gait is termed the PTS. Although this method provides an accurate and easy form of measurement, some argue that an actual spontaneous transition does not occur. Because of this, an analysis of the 19 steps leading up to the transition is impossible, such as the "pre" preparation step defined by Segers and colleagues (2007b) (Li and Hamill, 2002).

In contrast, the "continuous" protocol can be used, which utilizes a constantly

accelerating treadmill to determine PTS. As opposed to the incremental protocol, the 1 continuous protocol elicits a spontaneous gait transition, but the determination of the 2 exact instant of the transition is not always obvious (Hreljac et al., 2007). Hreljac and 3 colleagues (2007) compared the incremental and continuous protocols in different grade 4 conditions. The overall PTS between both protocols was 1.89 m  $s^{-1}$  at 0% grade, with at 0% grade, with 5 similar WRT and PTS between both incremental and continuous trials. However, at 10% and 15% grade, PTS was greater during the continuous protocol than the incremental 7 protocol. It was proposed that the slower PTS during the incremental protocol may have 8 been due to increased sensitivity to dorsiflexor stress, allowing the subjects to perceive 9 this stress differently due to the long decision period (Hreljac et al., 2007).

Despite the many advancements in gait and transition related research, the primary cause or trigger that initiates the WRT is still debated. Some researchers have 12 stated that any variable can be considered a trigger for the WRT if it becomes larger during walking than during running, and is reduced following transition (Prilutsky  $\&$ Gregor, 2001). There are several aspects of PTS that appear to meet the criteria of the above-mentioned definition. Energetic/metabolic variables, muscular activity, fatigue, 16 ankle kinematics, and loading rates have been identified as potential contributing factors 17 (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Hreljac, 1995b; McGowan et al., 2008; Raynor et al., 2002; 18 Sasaki & Neptune, 2006).

#### **WRT Variables** 1999 **1999**

#### Energy Efficiency 2

Many studies have concluded that the gait transition occurs due to energetic/efficiency determinants, with the system attempting to optimize or reduce the effect of certain energetic variables (Raynor et al., 2002). There is a basic understanding 5 that the recruitment of muscles involves generating a unit of force per unit of time 6 (Heglund & Taylor, 1988). This leads to the notion of energy efficiency or perhaps the 7 idea of how much work is necessary to produce a certain mode of locomotion. With 8 efficiency, the question of demand or effort for a task is addressed. In terms of traveling 9 from one point to the next, is it more efficient to use a mode of locomotion that is faster and more energetically costly, or a mode of locomotion that is somewhat slower and 11 requires less energy?

With regards to PTS between walking and running, muscle fiber mechanical work before, during, and after transition may be a likely trigger of the WRT. In order to 14 address this question, researchers have investigated the storage and return of elastic 15 energy as a type of energy saving mechanism in the human body (Sasaki  $\&$  Neptune, 2006). Total muscle fiber work throughout the gait cycle in running below the PTS can 17 be as much as 20% greater than walking at the same speed (Sasaki & Neptune, 2006). This is attributed to the increase in fiber work during the stance phase. As expected, 19 walking above the PTS has been shown to require higher muscle fiber work than in running. Based on this information, it is reasonable to conclude that walking below the 21 PTS requires less fiber work than running above the PTS (Sasaki  $\&$  Neptune, 2006).

This information is strongly related to elastic energy utilization while running. It 1 has been suggested that effective utilization of elastic energy is an important determinant of PTS (Kram et al., 1997). Similarly, it is presumed that conservation of mechanical 3 energy would potentially reduce the metabolic cost of locomotion. In order for there to be 4 a metabolic trigger involved in gait transition, the PTS must correspond to the speed that 5 surpasses where it would be metabolically cheaper to use a different gait (Kram et al., 1997). From an energetic perspective, there is a speed where it is advantageous to 7 transition from walking to running. This particular speed is termed the energetically 8 optimal transition speed (EOTS) (Rotstein et al., 2005). In an EOTS study, weight- 9 specific oxygen consumption is typically measured as a form of energetic expenditure. Using a participant base with a range of training levels, it has been shown that PTS occurs at a velocity significantly lower than the EOTS (Rotstein et al., 2005). Such 12 research has shown that despite the higher energy demand of running, PTS occurs on its own accord.

The low correlation between EOTS and PTS may indicate that selection of transition speed is independent from optimization of energy expenditure. Several 16 researchers have also questioned how well humans perceive small changes in energy 17 expenditure (Raynor et al., 2002; Rotstein et al., 2005). These conclusions contradict findings reported by Hanna, Abernethy, Neal, and Burgess-Limerick (2002), who found 19 evidence that EOTS and PTS were nearly identical. Oxygen consumption rate was found to be 99.6 % of the PTS while energy cost of locomotion was  $100.5\%$  (Hanna et al., 2000). However the results of Hanna et al should be viewed with caution because 22

metabolic steady states cannot be reached in the time required for the WRT to be based 1 on information perceived by the body (Minetti, Ardego, & Saibene, 1994). 2

The discussion of energetic expenditure is closely related to that of the muscles involved during human locomotion. As studied by Sasaki and Neptune (2006), muscle 4 fiber activity is directly involved in the transition from the walk to a run in human 5 locomotion. More recent research has studied the role of muscular stress and fatigue as a prime contributor to the WRT, claiming the presence of a stress reduction mechanism 7 (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Raynor et al., 2002; Segers, Lenoir, Aerts, & De Clercq, 2007a). 8 **Muscular Activity and Fatigue 9 and 1997 The Set of Australian Set of Austral** 

Within transition literature, overexertion and fatigue are some of the most popular proposed triggers of the WRT. The muscles that are involved in body support during 11 walking are the plantar flexors, mainly the soleus and gastrocnemius (Neptune  $\&$  Sasaki, 2005). Research involving weight and mass alterations has shown the unique roles of the plantar flexors under stress or load carrying scenarios. A common understanding in 14 weight reduction research is that muscles providing body support (i.e. gastrocnemius) are sensitive to alterations in body weight while muscles providing forward propulsion (i.e. soleus) are sensitive to alterations in body mass (McGowan et al., 2008). Under these premises, differences have been found in the roles of the plantar flexors while walking 18 with added loads. Symmetrical loading to the trunk has shown to effect muscular activity of the plantar flexors, such as the gastrocnemius. Research has shown the gastrocnemius 20 is sensitive to changes in body weight and mostly insensitive to changes in body mass (McGowan et al., 2008). Specifically, gastrocnemius activity tends to increase with added 22 loads and decrease with weight support. Conversely, soleus activity is highly sensitive to not only body weight but also body mass. Based on these results, it is proposed that 2 although both the soleus and gastrocnemius assist in body support, the soleus is the 3 primary contributor to forward trunk propulsion (McGowan et al., 2008). Considering the 4 vital role of the plantar flexor muscles in body support and forward propulsion, the role 5 of plantar flexor activity in the WRT has become a popular entity. 6

Based on the forward propulsive duty of the soleus, it has been proposed that a 7 reduced force output during fast walking triggers the gait transition (Sasaki & Neptune, 8 2006). However, plantar flexor fatigue may not initiate the transition due to decreased 9 force production after the point of transition.

Additional studies focusing on plantar flexor activity have resulted in similar conclusions, mainly that plantar flexor activity cannot be a trigger for the WRT due to the consistency of EMG activity during acceleration. More specifically, it has been shown that the plantar flexor activity is amplified as walking speeds increase. Unlike dorsiflexor 14 activity, the plantar flexors continue to increase even following transition (Bartlett  $\&$ Kram 2008). This function does not coincide with the proposed trigger definition that states muscular activity must change as a result of transition (Hreljac, 1993). Based on 17 these results, research has begun to shy away from plantar flexor activity as a key 18 component in the WRT. However, while the plantar flexor activity does not decrease, 19 there is evidence that the role of the plantar flexor changes after the PTS. Therefore, there is still a debate as to the role the plantar flexors in the WRT.

Conversely, a greater emphasis has been placed on the role of dorsiflexor activity.
Based on the knowledge that ankle angular velocity and acceleration occur at critical 1 levels of dorsiflexion (Hreljac, 1995a), it is feasible to propose that muscular stress in the 2 dorsiflexors would precipitate gait transition (Hreljac, 1995b). 3

## **Dorsiflexor Activity** 4. The same of the

The primary muscle involved in dorsiflexion is the tibialis anterior (TA). Because of the contribution of the TA in dorsiflexion, it is hypothesized that overexertion of the 6 muscle may affect PTS due to fatigue (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Prilutsky & Gregor, 2001; Segers et al., 2007a). In an effort to compare the TA with other lower extremity muscles, previous studies have utilized EMG analysis on the plantar flexors, quadriceps, 9 hamstrings, and gluteals (Prilutsky  $& Gregor, 2001$ ).

By comparing swing phases associated with walking and running, certain conclusions can be made from kinematics and joint moments. The speed of ankle flexion 12 is particularly important, as studies have shown that peak velocity of ankle flexion at the PTS is abruptly reduced after switching to running (Hreljac, 1995a). Based on these findings, it can be concluded that mechanical demand of the swing-related muscles (i.e. 15 TA, biceps femurs, and rectus femoris) increases during higher walking speeds and 16 therefore may precede a transition to reduce joint stress (Prilutsky & Gregor, 2001).

Given that the TA generates ankle flexion moments during swing (Prilutsky  $\&$ Gregor, 2001), there is growing evidence that the TA is the primary contributor to the WRT in humans. In order to single out the role of the TA in the WRT, fatigue protocols have been developed to target the TA itself. The use of a "tib exerciser" (Segers et al., 2007a) in particular has proved to be an accurate method to induce TA fatigue and 22

overexertion. Within such studies, increased EMG activity, decreased PTS, and increased 1 stride length have occurred following TA fatiguing protocols (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Segers et al, 2007a). Segers et al. (2007a) demonstrated such results with a Tib Exerciser 3 by having subjects perform 15 repetitions at 70% of their maximum load until exhaustion 4 occurred. Following the fatiguing process, subjects then performed a post-fatigue PTS 5 test on a treadmill (the pre-test occurring prior to the fatigue protocol). It was shown that 6 the WRT-speed was significantly lower in all accelerations as compared to the pre-tests 7 (Segers et al., 2007a). Stride length was also found to be significant, as a decrease in 8 WRT-speed due to fatigue was correlated with a decreased stride length.

TA fatigue has also been shown to initiate an increased sense of effort for the performer (Kant-Braun, Ng, Doyle, & Towse, 2002). Because of this detection of 11 overexertion or work, the force generating capacity is reduced and in turn disables the 12 performer to position the foot in a controlled manner (Segers et al., 2007a). Such 13 reactions to fatigue of the TA have also resulted in a lower touch-down angle of the foot, perhaps inferring an inability to achieve toe clearance.

The research on TA fatigue has created a reliable base of knowledge for PTS and 16 WRT literature. Therefore, the role of the TA in PTS is perhaps the most scientifically reliable proposed PTS trigger to date. Such positive associations have led many to hypothesize that *reduced* work or effort on the part of the TA may affect PTS as well.

It has been proposed that a reduction in the demand on trigger muscles would increase transition speed while an increase in demand would slow transition speed (Bartlett & Kram, 2008). However, the trigger muscles have been expanded to more than just the TA for such research. Bartlett  $&$  Kram (2008) examined EMG activity of the TA, soleus, rectus femurs, and the medial and lateral gastrocnemius. As opposed to previous 2 research that used only fatiguing devices, Bartlett  $\&$  Kram (2008) developed and used three assistive devices to test PTS. These included a dorsiflexor assist device (DFA), a 4 leg swing assist device (LSA), and a device that applied a horizontal force (AHF) near the COM to cause positive or negative effects on forward progression by the plantar 6 flexors (Bartlett  $\&$  Kram, 2008). It was shown that changing the demand of specific muscles altered PTS, including the hip flexors, plantar flexors, and dorsiflexors. Also, the 8 DFA and LSA devices decreased EMG muscle activity, thus increasing transition speed significantly. However, plantar flexor EMG did not display muscular activity changes, limiting is applicability to the WRT. Consistent with previous research, increasing the 11 demand on the dorsiflexors decreased the PTS.

## Ankle Kinematics **13. In the Ankle Kinematics** 13. In the Ankle Kinematics 13. In the Ankle Kinematics 13. In the Ankle Kinematics 13. In the Ankle An

Due to the growing amount of dorsiflexor and TA research, it is reasonable to conclude that the TA plays an essential role in gait transition. Because the TA is directly related to ankle function, mainly dorsiflexion, ankle kinematics may contribute to 16 transition speed. As previously mentioned, a critical level of dorsiflexion is often reached 17 during ankle acceleration that appears to precede the moment of transition (Hreljac, 1995a). Larger peaks of ankle flexion moment are largely responsible for the 19 contributions of the TA to transition (Prilutsky & Gregor, 2001). Because of this, an abrupt change is thought to occur in ankle flexion as a result of transitioning from a walk to a run. Although previous research has not reported passive ROM of the ankle joint in

subject populations, it is possible that ROM of the ankle joint and flexors may affect 1 one's ability to transition. Active ROM in particular has been shown to require at least 10º 2 of dorsiflexion is for walking and 15º dorsiflexion during running for normal gait 3 function (Starkey  $\&$  Ryan, 2002).

Malcolm et al. (2009a) researched the WRT by examining the moment of ankle push off. An assist condition was developed that targeted the plantar flexor muscles. This 6 assist condition revealed an increased plantar flexion at toe off. However, the resist 7 condition resulted in decreased plantar flexion at toe off, and may be an indicator of decreased effort by the dorsiflexor muscles (Malcolm et al., 2009a). The combination of decreased plantar flexion and decreased muscular effort of the dorsiflexors during the beginning of swing phase may lead to an increase in WRT speed. Thus, the ankle plantar flexion angle can perhaps be considered as strong of a contributor to PTS as dorsiflexion 12 angle. The contract of the con

## Loading Rates 14

As a result of ankle acceleration and critical angles, the loading rates of the ankle joint have also been identified as potential triggers for the WRT (Li & Hamill, 2002; Raynor et al., 2002; Segers et al., 2007a). Consistent with previous ideas that the WRT is 17 an injury prevention mechanism (Hreljac, 1995b), loading rates are considered a stress 18 inducing mechanism. It has previously been shown that increased loading rates 19 significantly decreases PTS during the WRT (Raynor et al., 2002). It is also proposed that loading rates reflect the musculoskeletal system's storage and utilization of elastic energy capabilities. This implies that loading rates are detected by the muscular system, 22

requiring a "response" mechanism rather than an effect mechanism. Essentially, a 1 transition to running from walking allows elastic energy to be used efficiently and 2 effectively (Raynor et al., 2002).

With specific reference to ankle kinematics, TA fatigue has been shown to influence the loading rate of vertical GRF (Segers et al., 2007a). Because increased 5 loading rates are considered "typical" characteristics of the last steps before WRT, there is likely a high correlation between TA fatigue and loading rate levels (Li  $&$  Hamill, 2002). 8

It appears that PTS is closely related to loading rates, ankle velocity, and tibialis 9 anterior fatigue, and can perhaps be considered the trifecta of ankle kinematics. Despite 10 these findings, there are additional factors that should be considered with regards to the 11 WRT. Specifically, an individual's fitness level and size may contribute to the moment of transition, but perhaps may not be considered triggers, per se. These aspects of gait transition research have not been studied as often the thus less is known about how they 14 contribute to PTS.

## Fitness Level/Training Status 16 and 16

The EOTS is the point at which it is energetically optimal to transition from a walk to a run (Rotstein et al., 2005; Sentija & Markovic, 2009). When plotted on a graph,  $V_2$  speed curves for walking and running typically intersect at a point that corresponds with the EOTS (Sentija  $& Markovic, 2009$ ). This similarity is largely correlated to stride frequency and metabolic cost per stride, indicating that the metabolic costs for walking 21 and running should intersect at PTS (Minetti et al., 1994). However, EOTS has

continually been shown to occur above PTS, indicating that the moment of transition 1 does not occur due to metabolic cost (Rotstein et al., 2005; Sentija & Markovic, 2009). 2

In studies comparing aerobic thresholds and gait transition speed, it has been shown that aerobic threshold for walking speeds (AeTw) and aerobic threshold for 4 running speeds (AeTr) did not differ from PTS (Sentija & Markovic, 2009). Because the AeTr and PTS have shown to be highly correlated, aerobic threshold in running could be 6 a proposed trigger of PTS (Sentija & Markovic, 2009). 7

Despite the high correlations between aerobic thresholds, the subject population of such studies should be considered carefully. Many studies have used untrained or unfit 9 participants for aerobic threshold purposes (Sentija & Markovic, 2009), providing no comparison between individuals of different fitness status. In contrast, the use of athletes is gradually emerging in transition studies (Beaupied et al., 2003; Rotstein et al., 2005). Runners have proved to be reliable subjects in research, in part because of varying 13 training levels (sprinter vs. long distance), and also due to the obvious gap between 14 runners and untrained subjects with respect to oxygen consumption.

Runners are also qualified candidates for transition research due to the high 16 influence of form and specific training involved with the activity. Previous research that 17 tested untrained, sprint trained, and endurance-trained men showed PTS to be directly 18 related to a subject's type of training (Beaupied et al., 2003). This conclusion largely 19 considered the running techniques that are typically acquired with experienced runners and differences were partially attributed to these techniques. Such research has also taken 21 a metabolic approach, often times using internal work and metabolic energy expenditure

(MEE) as determinants of PTS. As demonstrated by Beaupied et al. (2003), internal work 1 and MEE were graphically compared in order to find an intersection point corresponding 2 to the theoretical transition speed (TTS). The TTS is slightly different from the PTS in 3 that it represents the point at which "oxygen consumption becomes greater while walking 4 than while running" (Beaupied et al., 2003). Based on this intersection, it was determined 5 that sprinters, endurance trained, and untrained participants varied greatly in terms of 6 both internal work and MEE, therefore altering the intersection point of the TTS.

However, the use of TTS instead of PTS may not accurately reflect the true speed at which transition occurs. In contrast to that of Beaupied et al. (2003), similar research 9 has shown no difference in PTS between long distance runners and non-runners (Rotstein et al., 2005). Such studies have not only shown PTS to be almost equal but have also 11 found no difference in EOTS between distance runners and non-runners. This finding 12 may indicate that oxygen consumption or capacity does not affect PTS and may not determine PTS for participants of any training status level.

In accordance to the assumption that training status may not affect PTS, an individual's size must also be considered. Despite the correlations between size and PTS 16 within animals, anthropometric measurements do not appear to determine PTS in humans (Hreljac, 1995b). Although it has been shown that thigh length to sitting height ratio may 18 be correlated to PTS, it was shown that this measurement does not significantly affect transition time (Hreljac, 1995b). Such findings have provided a basis on which a majority 20 of transition literature has relied on, but it should be mentioned that the variety of 21 subjects in such studies is not wide spread.

Hreljac (1995b) used a subject population including both males and females, with 1 varying body weight, height, and BMI. However, the range in mass only varied by 39.4 2 kilograms, body fat by 16.3%, and height by 36.7cm. Additionally, the greatest body fat 3 percentage recorded was 25% and was that of a female participant. This indicates that 4 although there was a wide spread in height measurements, the size in relation to body 5 composition of the participants did not appear to vary greatly. Although the premise of this study was not to compare overweight and normal weight subjects' PTS, it is evident 7 that a very narrow selection of participants was used. In fact, it isn't uncommon for many 8 gait transition studies to use a very homogenous base of "healthy" participants (Hreljac, 9 1995a; Riley et al., 2007; Segers et al., 2007a; Hreljac et al., 2007). 10

#### **Limitations of Previous Research: Homogenous Subject Populations**

A typical subject selection will include healthy adults (Hreljac et al., 2008; Riley 12 et al., 2007; Seay, Haddad, van Emmerik, & Hamill, 2006), but often with no reference to 13 how the term 'healthy' is defined. Active adults are also targeted for transition research, typically free of musculoskeletal injury (Segers et al., 2007b). Also, many studies tend to 15 exclude participants with a BMI greater than 30 or too wide a range of anthropometric variables (Riley et al., 2007). Although such exclusions are necessary to avoid outliers, 17 unique populations outside of healthy or untrained/normal weight groups should be 18 tested. In addition to untrained populations, integration of sedentary, overweight, and 19 obese individuals may provide newly formed hypotheses regarding PTS (Rotstein et al., 20 2005).

The inclusion of obese populations may be beneficial to transition research on a

number of levels. First, previous studies have a tendency to avoid subjects of high BMI 1 or fat percentages. By using individuals who are obese, additional information involving 2 metabolic and fatigue protocols may reveal novel results. Second, individuals who are 3 obese are highly studied within gait research in general, demonstrating unique gait 4 characteristics (Browning, Baker, Herron, & Kram 2006; Browning & Kram, 2007). Investigation of such gait dynamics may indeed reveal more biomechanically related PTS 6 triggers. The contract of the

## **Obesity Gait Research** 8. *PHODER* 8.

It is well known that obese individuals tend to expend more energy during 9 exercise bouts (Browning et al., 2006, Browning & Kram, 2009). However, it has been shown that obese individuals expend energy at rates only 10% higher than normal weight individuals (Browning et al., 2006). More specifically, percent body fat only explains 12 45% of the variance in net metabolic rate of walking (Browning et al., 2006); leaving an 13 additional 55% that is not fully understood. These slightly higher metabolic rates in obese individuals may indicate that energy expenditure is a result of adjusted gait dynamics 15 (Browning et al., 2006; Browning & Kram, 2009; Malatesta, Vismara, Menegoni, Galli, 16 Romei, & Capodaglio, 2009).

Individuals who are obese tend to display gait characteristics that differ from normal weight individuals (Browning  $&$  Kram, 2007). Walking with a straighter leg and more erect posture are typical adjustments made in order to reduce the cost of supporting increased body weight (Devita & Hortobagyi, 2003). Peak hip extensor, knee extensor, and ankle plantar flexor moments have been shown to be greater in obese populations

(Browning et al., 2007). Also, increased speed while walking coincides with increased 1 joint loads in obese subjects (Browning & Kram, 2009). Other common attributes include a step width as much as 30% greater than normal weight individuals (Malatesta et al., 3 2009). This use of lateral motion through wider steps is thought to improve recovery of 4 mechanical energy (Browning et al., 2006). This mechanism is likened to the "waddling" 5 pattern utilized by penguins (Griffin & Kram, 2000), which exhibit a similar shifting of weight that causes more lateral movement (Browning, McGowan, & Kram, 2009). However, research has shown that penguin waddling is not wasteful. Their lateral 8 movements actually increase the kinetic energy available to be converted into 9 gravitational potential energy (Griffin  $&$  Kram, 2000). This typical lateral movement pattern displayed by obese individuals is unique from an energetic perspective, but also 11 from a biomechanical perspective. The inverted pendulum mechanism is an appropriate biomechanical model that describes bipedal walking for the purpose of this study. 13 However, it is commonly understood that the pendulum model is primarily applied to 14 sagittal plane motion. Considering this, it is evident that the gait exhibited by obese individuals has significant non-sagittal components. In other words, an obese person may 16 not exactly "follow the rules" when it comes to the typical inverted pendulum model. 17 And if an obese individual does not conform to "normal" walking gait patterns, there is the potential for additional gait related variables to deviate from what is considered 19 "normal" as well.

## **Application to Gait Transition** 1

Because individuals who are obese typically walk with greater step width, it is 2 possible that normal heel-toe walking is not present. And if indeed obese individuals use 3 mechanisms similar to waddling while walking, it is possible that typical plantar flexor or 4 dorsiflexor activity does not occur. There is evidence that obese individuals display 5 greater hip circumduction than normal weight subjects, accompanied by greater lateral 6 leg swing (Browning & Kram, 2009). This mechanism may provide a majority of the 7 work required for locomotion, therefore reducing the work of dorsiflexors such as the 8 TA. Given this, the TA may be underdeveloped in individuals who are obese, and may 9 not be accustomed to the demand required during "normal" walking gait patterns. It has been previously demonstrated that TA fatigue is highly correlated with PTS (Bartlett  $\&$ Kram, 2008). Given this conclusion, it may be reasonable to propose that the TA is more highly susceptible to fatigue and overexertion among obese individuals. Thus, increased time to fatigue may result in a decreased PTS.

Additionally, it is possible that obese individuals may have decreased passive and 15 active ROM at the ankle joint. Plantar flexion and dorsiflexion have both been shown to 16 play pivotal roles in stability and forward propulsion (Neptune  $\&$  Sasaki, 2005). However, in alignment with TA function, it is possible that the full range of dorsiflexion or plantar flexion is not present in gait patterns utilized by the obese population. This may 19 be due to less flexion occurring during lateral motion, or perhaps inactivity levels that may be associated with sedentary lifestyles.

Loading rates have been shown to be contributing factors to PTS and WRT

(Hreljac, 1995b) and may also affect PTS for the obese population. Increased loading 1 rates are expected in obese populations due to a greater amount of body mass present. 2 Because of this existing characteristic, it is reasonable to believe that loading rates may 3 increase even more at gait transition. 4

Based on previous research, it is clear that individuals who are obese tend to 5 adopt unique gait patterns. Gait transition in specific populations such as those who are 6 overweight or obese is not well understood due to a lack in research. However, there is 7 the potential to advance knowledge in PTS by studying special populations. By studying unique gait patterns, underlying mechanical factors may be brought forward as predictive 9 variables to the WRT.

## **Summary** 111 **111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111**

Research on preferred transition speed in human locomotion has gradually evolved over time. The study of quadruped gait transitions provided a strong base of knowledge, and has given insight to the diverse qualities of a number of species. Like 14 that of quadruped research, greater steps should be taken in human gait analysis to 15 examine more unique subject populations.

## CHAPTER 3: METHODS

The purpose of the current study was to determine if basic anthropometrics or gait 6 characteristics are predictive of this transition. Due to the nature of some of the variables 7 of interest, a diverse participant pool with regard to body composition and height were 8 recruited for this study. The participants were required to fill out several questionnaires and a consent form prior to participation as well as attend one informational meeting and one testing session. The sessions included measuring range of motion (ROM) of several 11 joints, a familiarization period with the WRT protocol, performing three walk-to-run 12 transitions, and a tibialis anterior (TA) endurance test. Standard motion capture 13 techniques were used to record the participants' gait patterns. Reflective markers were placed on the participants in order to track and record their gait patterns. All data 15 collection trials took place in the Center for Orthopaedic and Biomechanics Research at 16 Boise State University. The relationships between the variables of interest and WRT were examined using a Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis. As an additional exploratory measure, an Independent *t* Test was run on the results generated in the CART analysis. The following is a table (See Table  $(1.1)$  of variables that will be identified and analyzed for this study (See Appendix A for variable definitions).

# **Table 1.1 – WRT Variables Classified as either 'Anthropometric' or 'Gait'** 2



## **Participants** 4

30 Participants (*n* = 13 male, 17 female; *M* = 26.3, *SD* = 5.54 years; height *M* = 5 1.75,  $SD = 0.1$  meters; weight  $M = 83$ ,  $SD = 28.93$  kilograms; normal weight  $n = 11$ , overweight =  $n = 10$ , obese  $n = 9$ ) were recruited for the current study. Participants were recruited through mailings, announcements in local fitness centers, flyers, and 8 community centers. Recruitment on Boise State campus occurred by word of mouth and email. The participants were required to fill out a Physical Activity Questionnaire (PAR-Q) and an independently formatted Medical History Questionnaire prior to participating 11

in the current study. Any individuals who checked "no" for at least one box on the PAR-Q 1 were excluded. Participants were also excluded if they endured a musculoskeletal injury 2 or underwent joint surgery on the lower body in the previous year, arthritis in a lower body joint, any current lower body injuries that impaired locomotion, or if they were 4 currently training for race walking. Participants were also excluded from the study if BMI exceeded 40 due to the experimental difficulty associated with accurate marker 6 placement and motion capturing data.

All information and data collected regarding the participants is strictly confidential. All participants were assigned ID numbers in order to remain anonymous throughout the study. Also, all participants had the right to not participate or cease 10 participation at any time throughout the duration of the study. It was the responsibility of 11 the primary investigator to properly inform the participants of their rights and fully 12 explain the intention and methods of the current study.

To determine if the subjects could safely participate in the study, a Physical 14 Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) and independently formatted Health History 15 Questionnaire were administered. The PAR-Q is an appropriate survey for identifying 16 individuals who can safely participate in physical activity and those who cannot and is 17 considered a reliable questionnaire for identifying high-risk individuals (Kaelin, 2001). The Health History Questionnaire included questions regarding previous injuries, joint 19 surgery, or debilitating diseases (e.g., arthritis) that may have impaired the participant's ability to perform the walk-to-run transition. Additionally, subjects completed the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) to determine the activity level of 22

the participants. The IPAQ is a commonly used survey to determine activity levels, and is 1 considered an effective form of physical activity assessment (Ainsworth et al, 2006). 2

## **Data Collection**

## Testing Materials 4

A standard weight scale and tape measure were used to determine the participants' weight, height, and BMI. Such calculations are typically used in physiological and biomechanical studies and are considered an accurate measurement of 7 body composition (Browning et al., 2006; Browning et al., 2009; Malatesta et al., 2009). Also, a standard goniometer was used to measure passive range of motion (ROM) at the 9 ankle and hip. A Biodex isokinetic machine (Biodex, Shirley) was used for the dorsiflexor endurance testing procedures.

A set of reflective markers was placed on the participants in order to track each 12 segment's actions (See Appendix B). For calibration purposes, a set of 24 reflective spherical markers were fixed securely onto the lateral and medial side of the bony 14 anatomical landmarks of the right and left leg. Individual markers included placements 15 on the right and left calcaneous, lateral and medial malleoli, lateral and medial 16 epicondyle of the knee, one marker on each anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS), and one 17 marker on each posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS). The marker set also included several clusters of markers that were placed on specific areas of the legs and feet. A cluster of 19 three markers was placed on the lateral side of each foot, a cluster of four markers on the lateral shank, and a cluster of four markers on the lateral thigh of each leg. The abovementioned marker placements are typically used to examine lower extremity muscles

during transition, providing reliable kinematic data (Seay et al., 2006; Segers et al., 1 2007). 2

A treadmill (Model 10Qi, Columbus Image Company) was used for warm-up 3 procedures and the walk-to-run transition trials. The treadmill was manually operated 4 from the computer through National Instruments Labview Software 8.6 (National 5 Instruments, Austin, TX, USA),

Eight cameras were used to capture the movements of the participant as they 7 performed the overground trials and the WRT trials. The cameras used during this study 8 are Vicon MX series cameras (VICON, Denver, CO, USA). Specifically, the cameras 9 collected 3D kinematic data of the limbs and joint movements, all of which is commonly used to assess gait transition (Hreljac et al., 2007; Segers et al., 2007).

#### Anthropometric Measurements and ROM

Initially, participants' height, weight, and leg length was measured. Leg length was measured from the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) to the medial malleolus of the tibia with a standard tape measure with the participant standing (Starkey & Ryan, 2002). An average of two values was computed for leg length. Participants' passive ROM was measured with a goniometer by the primary researcher and a research assistant. Passive 17 ankle dorsiflexion/plantar flexion, knee flexion/extension, hip flexion/extension, and hip 18 adduction/abduction were measured. Participants sat at the end of the examination table 19 with the legs and feet relaxed and hanging over the edge of the table for ankle ROM. Participants laid supine for knee flexion and hip adduction/abduction, and prone for leg extension. Each measurement was taken three times for an average.

## Marker Placement and Overground Trials 1986 and 1987 and 1988 and 198

Following ROM, 16 reflective markers were placed on lower body joint centers and bony landmarks according to standard procedure (Seay et al., 2006; Segers et al., 2007a). Participants then performed active hip and knee ROM trials while being captured 4 by eight Vicon MX series cameras through the use of Nexus Motion Capturing software (VICON, Denver, CO, USA). 6

Participants then performed multiple overground walking trials at their preferred walking speed (PWS). Participants were instructed to walk at a comfortable pace from point A to point B (approximately 7 meters), traveling over the force plates. Participants 9 were told to alter their starting point based on the primary researcher's observation of valid force plate data. This method assisted in ensuring the participants did not adjust 11 their gait to the plates.

## Warm-up and Familiarization 13 and 13 an

Participants then performed a warm-up on the motorized treadmill. Participants walked at 2.0 mph for 6-minutes at a 0% incline. The treadmill was programmed to automatically accelerate and function through the use of National Instruments Labview 16 Software 8.6 (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), enabling researchers to manually start and stop the treadmill from the computer. Following the warm-up period, 18 participants then participated in a WRT familiarization trial on the treadmill. Treadmill 19 velocity began at 1.5 mph and increased by .10 mph every 10 seconds. Participants were 20 informed that the treadmill would gradually accelerate at a constant pace and eventually 21 bring them to a speed where they would transition to a run. Participants were instructed to

let the transition occur "naturally" if they asked for further direction or explanation. Very 1 few instructions were given regarding the transition. This was done in an effort to deter 2 participants from approaching the PTS from a mental aspect rather than physical. 3 Participants were unable to see the speed of the treadmill throughout the duration of the locomotion trials. Participants were also informed that they could stop the trial with a verbal command or by grabbing the safety/stabilization handrail if they ever felt unsafe or 6 uncomfortable. The point of transition or WRT was observed as evidence of the first 7 flight phase during locomotion, or an obvious jogging locomotion. The investigators then gave 5-10 seconds following transition to ensure that the participant continued to run 9 rather than revert back to a walking gait. The participants were then given verbal warning that the treadmill would stop. The state of the state

## WRT Trials **12.12 Trials** 12.22 Trials 1

Following the familiarization trial, three additional WRT trials were conducted to find the participants' PTS. The WRT trials were identical to the familiarization trial in protocol and treadmill acceleration. A 3-minute rest was given to the participants 15 following the familiarization trial, and in between each WRT trial thereafter. After completion of the WRT trials, all reflective markers were removed. Participants then rested for 15-minutes.

#### Tibialis Anterior Muscular Endurance & Strength

Participants then participated in Tibialis Anterior (TA) Strength/Endurance testing trials. Participants were seated on a Biodex isokinetic machine (Biodex, Shirley, NY) 21 with the test leg at a  $120^{\circ}$  angle of knee flexion and  $90^{\circ}$  (or neutral) for the ankle. The

speed was set at  $210^{\circ}/s$  for dorsiflexion and  $400^{\circ}/s$  for plantar flexion. The participant first completed three isokinetic dorsiflexion maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs), 2 beginning plantar flexed and initiating movement into dorsiflexion. This set of three counted as the warm-up as well as provided a baseline value MVC to be evaluated later 4 on (Parijat & Lockhart, 2008). Following a 3-minute rest, participants then performed a fatiguing test on the Biodex machine. Participants performed isokinetic repetitions until 6 they could no longer move through the full range of motion. This required the participant 7 to perform as many repetitions as possible at the same settings as the strength test. The 8 test concluded participants could no longer move through the full range of motion of 9 dorsiflexion and plantar flexion 100 and 100 a

## **Data Analysis** 111 **Data Analysis**

The purpose of the overground trials was to collect data on active ROM at the ankle and hip, foot progression, vertical loading rates, stride length, stride frequency, the 13 first peak of vertical ground reaction forces (VGRFs), and preferred walking speed 14 (PWS). The variables derived from the first three successful overground trials were averaged for each limb. During the PTS trials, the WRT was defined as the first evident flight phase following toe-off of the opposite limb (Segers et al., 2006). PTS was defined as the speed at which this event occurred and was the only variable observed during the WRT trials.

The peak value from the three maximal repetitions on the Biodex machine was drawn from graphical data and indentified as the TA strength variable. Data graphs from 21 the fatiguing test, or TA endurance, were be analyzed according to 60% of the peak 22

torque value acquired during the strength trial. Specifically, when the torque value fell 1 below 60% of the peak strength value for at least three consecutive repetitions, the 2 endurance value was identified as that specific number of repetitions.

All data were collected and processed in Vicon Nexus software (VICON, Denver, 4 CO, USA). Data were then further processed and analyzed in Visual 3D (C-motion Inc, 5 Germantown, MD, USA). All data was filtered with a lowpass butterworth filter with a 6 cut-off frequency of 6 Hz. VGRFs were measured using two Kistler force plates (Kistler, 7 Amherst, MA, USA) and two AMTI force plates (Advanced Mechanical Technology, 8 Inc., Watertown, MA, USA) mounted in the floor and were filtered at 40 Hz.

## **Statistical Analysis** 10

A Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis was run in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) to identify and assess different contributing factors to 12 transition speed. Specifically, the CART analysis subdivided the subjects on the basis of 13 measurements that detected binary, ordinal or continuous covariates that maximized split 14 criterion. Square error mean was used to determine the power of variables displayed in 15 the tree. The generated tree was cross-validated in order to apply trends within the tree to 16 its own data. The tree with the lowest of mean square error across splitters was selected and then minimized or "pruned" to eliminate less applicable variables. Such subdivisions 18 allow for more generalized data to successfully predict outcomes of alternative data sets. 19 A minimum leaf value (N value) of three participants was implemented.

As an additional exploratory measure, an Independent *t* Test was run on the results generated in the CART analysis. Specifically, the *t* test was used to determine if

## CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

## **Results** 6 *Results*

To avoid overfitting of the data to our specific participant pool, a cross-validation 7 technique was used to determine how well the tree may represent the larger population. 8 As a result of this process the original tree was pruned two levels (Figure 3.1). The CART analysis resulted in a tree with two splits and three terminal nodes. Gait velocity or PWS was identified as the primary splitter, creating a binary division at  $1.61 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . A  $\mathbf A$ PWS above 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup> predicted a transition speed of 2.28  $+/- 0.21$  ms<sup>-1</sup> for three participants, creating the first terminal node. The second strongest identified splitter within the tree was BMI, subdividing participants at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with 27 participants below , with 27 participants below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> predicted to transition at  $1.97 +/- 0.17$  ms<sup>-1</sup> and creating the second terminal node. Participants categorized above at BMI of 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>were predicted to transition at  $1.8 +/- 0.13$  ms<sup>-1</sup> and creating the third and final terminal node for 12 participants Therefore, the primary and secondary splitters were identified as PWS and BMI, while the three terminal nodes represented three PTS values of  $2.28 + (-0.21 \text{ ms}^{-1}, 1.97 + (-0.17 \text{ m})$ ,  $1.97 + -0.17$  $\text{ms}^{-1}$ , and 1.8 +/- 0.13 ms<sup>-1</sup>. The cross-validation technique generated mean square errors of 0.0734, 0.0565, and 0.0456 for the 2.28 +/- .21  $\text{ms}^{-1}$ , 1.97 +/- 0.17  $\text{ms}^{-1}$ , and  $1.8 +/ 0.13 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  terminal nodes, respectively. Overall group means are displayed in Figure 3.1.

As an additional exploratory measure, a series of independent t-tests were run on 1 the two BMI groups ( $\langle 27 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ and } 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) demonstrated by the split in the CART analysis. Specifically, the t-tests were used to determine if significant differences existed 3 between the two BMI classification groups on specific variables. The difference in 4 passive hip ROM was statistically significant between the participants above and below 5 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (p = 0.009 > 0.05), at 136 +/- 13<sup>°</sup> degrees and 161 +/- 27<sup>°</sup>, respectively. Also, differences in TA endurance ( $p = 0.043 < 0.05$ ) and step width ( $p = 0.05$ ) were statistically significant, with participants above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at TA endurance values of 32  $+/- 2.48$  repetitions and participants below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at 24  $+/- 0.71$  repetitions. Step width values were shown to be  $0.14 +/- 0.02$  m and  $0.11 +/- 0.01$  for participants above and below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. This statistical method was investigative in nature and was not the primary form of analysis.



**Figure 3.1 – CART Analysis Tree** 3

<b>VARIABLE</b>	<b>AVERAGE</b>	<b>STANDARD DEVIATION</b>
Age (Years)	26.3	5.54
<b>Height</b> (meters)	1.75	0.1
Weight (kg)	83	28.93
BMI (m/kg <sup>2</sup> )	27	9.27
<b>Passive Ankle ROM (degrees)</b>	76	11.39
<b>Active Ankle ROM (degrees)</b>	33	6.25
Passive Hip flex/ext ROM (degrees)	151	4.97
<b>Active Hip flex/ext ROM (degrees)</b>	38	24.02
Passive Hip add/abd ROM (degrees)	51	6.59
Active Hip add/abd ROM (degrees)	12	0.71
Step width (m)	0.13	0.03
Stride Length (m)	1.43	0.24
<b>Cycle Time steps/min</b>	1.02	0.15
TA Strength (ft/lb)	15.63	3.98
<b>TA Endurance (repetitions)</b>	28	9.49
$PWS$ (ms <sup>-1</sup> )	1.41	0.14
$PTS$ (ms-1)	1.93	0.21
<b>VGRFs N/s</b>	1.12	0.065
<b>Loading Rates BW/s</b>	7.13	1.43
<b>Physical Activity (Scale 1-3)</b>	2	1.41

Table 3.1 – Group Means and Standard Deviations from WRT Variables

## **Discussion** 2

The primary purpose of the current study was to determine if basic anthropometrics or gait characteristics are predictive of the WRT and to determine their 4 overall relationship to PTS. The analysis resulted in a primary and secondary split within 5 the CART tree, the first split occurring at a preferred walking speed of 1.61  $\text{ms}^{-1}$  and the second split occurring at a BMI of 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. From these splitters, three terminal nodes formed. The first node included individuals above a PWS of 1.61 ms<sup> $-1$ </sup> who were predicted to transition at a speed that is considered fast compared to the other participants 9 (2.28 ms<sup>-1</sup>). Participants below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were predicted to transition at 1.97 +/- 0.17 ms<sup>-1</sup>  $,$ a speed that is considered to be average within the current research and consistent with previous literature. Participants categorized above a BMI of 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were predicted to transition at  $1.8 + (-0.13 \text{ ms}^{-1})$ , a speed that is classified as slow compared to the other participants.

#### Primary Splitter: Preferred Walking Speed

The CART analysis revealed PWS as the primary splitter, creating a binary division at 1.61 ms<sup> $-1$ </sup> for preferred walking speed and separating three participants into the upper classification ( $> 1.61 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) and 27 participants into the lower classification ( $<$ 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup>). A preferred walking speed of 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup> is outside the range of  $1.3 - 1.4$  ms<sup>-1</sup>  $,$ a commonly accepted PWS mean value within gait related literature (Browning & Kram, 2006; Entin et al., 2010).

Within lower leg mechanics, the role of plantar flexors, dorsiflexors, and ankle angular velocities may relate to PWS. As previously noted by researchers, dorsiflexors 2 and/or plantar flexors may play a significant role in PTS (Bartlett and Kram, 2008; Neptune and Sasaki, 2005; Segers et al., 2006). The role of the plantar flexors specifically 4 applies to stabilization of the leg during stance, an observation that may lend to PTS. However, EMG data has shown plantar flexor activity to continue to increase following 6 transition, an observation that does not classify it as a trigger variable (Bartlett  $\&$  Kram, 2008; Hreljac, 2003). Conversely, dorsiflexors play a significant role in the WRT. During 8 fast walking, a critical dorsiflexion angle is reached that initiates transition (Hreljac, 9 1995a). If an individual selects a PWS that is considered faster than other individuals, it 10 is probable that from a musculoskeletal perspective, they are "comfortable" walking at faster speeds. Considering this, it is reasonable to suggest that a faster PWS may be associated to PTS simply due to delayed discomfort in the dorsiflexors during increasing 13 gait velocity. This proposal may be directly related to the strength and endurance 14 capabilities of the TA, as well as ankle ROM. Within the data of the current study, the 15 average TA endurance capabilities of the participants separated into the  $2.27 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ terminal node was determined to be 28.21 repetitions, approximately .81 repetitions 17 higher than the group average  $(27.83 +/- 5)$ . Also, the average passive and active ankle ROM values for individuals above 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup> (passive = 76 +/- 26°, active = 32 +/- 2°) were similar to that of the individuals below 1.61 ms<sup>-1</sup> (passive  $= 81 + (-14^{\circ})$ , active  $= 30$  $+/- 1^{\circ}$ ). Based on this observation, it is difficult to determine if TA endurance capabilities or ROM lent to the selection of PWS among the participants in that terminal node. Also, 22

PWS itself has rarely been studied in relation to PTS. Previous studies have used normal 1 walking gait velocity to identify kinetic variables that contribute to PTS; however, the gait velocities were derived as a percentage of the participants' PTS (Hreljac et al., 2008). Although such research produced valuable results regarding ankle dorsiflexor 4 contribution to PTS, these findings were not reflective of a self-selected walking velocity. Therefore, there is a limited base of research on the relationship between PWS and PTS 6 to draw conclusions from and use as a comparison model. 7

## Secondary Splitter: Body Mass Index 8 and 8 and

The secondary splitter revealed by the CART analysis was BMI, creating a binary 9 split at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. In previous literature, little evidence has been presented to link body size to PTS in humans. In quadrupedal gait, however, size plays a significant role in 11 determining PTS. The Froude number has provided a solid foundational understanding of 12 how animals locomote and transition (Alexander, 1977). Specifically, longer leg length and larger body mass both result in faster PTS within these studies. Theoretically, these same principles might be applicable to human locomotion. However, researchers examining leg length in humans have shown weak tendencies or relationships between PTS and leg length (Hreljac 1995b; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). Body mass has also been examined, but on a smaller scale. However, the presence of BMI as a splitter within the CART is in the current study is inconsistent with previous findings that body size does not affect transition speed (Hreljac, 1995b). Hreljac (1995b) investigated the role of body mass but concluded it was insignificant to PTS values. However, the participant population did not represent a diverse selection of individuals with regards to 22

BMI or other anthropometric data. Specifically, Hreljac (1995b) used a subject 1 population including both males and females, with varying body weight, height, and 2 BMI. However, the range in mass only varied by 39.4 kilograms, body fat by 16.3%, and height by 36.7cm. Additionally, the greatest body fat percentage recorded was 25% and was that of a female participant. Also, BMI was not accounted for in the given study. This indicates that although there appeared to be a wide spread in height measurements and weight measurements, mass or body composition of the participants did not appear to 7 vary greatly.

Conversely, the present study used a very diverse participant base, recruiting 9 participants that were classified as normal weight, overweight, and obese according to 10 BMI. Considering that BMI was shown to represent the secondary splitter within the CART, associations between gait characteristics and BMI should be examined. However, 12 it should be noted that mass and height were added to the CART separately and were not classified as splitters. Yet, the combination of the two, i.e. BMI, yielded the secondary 14 splitting variable. Therefore, the discussion will proceed by making comparisons to massrelated research while still considering mass alone was not a splitter in the current study.

With regards to animal studies, larger animals tend to transition from a walk-run at a faster speed. This may be due to larger skeletal structure, stronger musculature, and 18 limb length (Hreljac, 1995b). However with humans, increased mass may have an inverse relationship with transition speed. As displayed by the CART, individuals with a BMI below 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were predicted to transition at 1.97 ms<sup>-1</sup>, slightly higher than the group , slightly higher than the group average of 1.93 ms<sup>-1</sup>. However, BMI above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> had a PTS of 1.8 ms<sup>-1</sup> , a value that

is lower than the group average PTS from the current study as well as lower than the reported average of 1.9-2.1 ms<sup>-1</sup> found in most PTS literature (Bartlett & Kram, 2008; Hreljac, 1995a; Hreljac et al., 2007; Hreljac et al., 2008; Rotstein et al., 2005; Segers et 3 al., 2008; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987). This finding indicates that a) BMI may be related to PTS, or b) gait characteristics associated with BMI may be related to PTS. Given the opposing findings compared to quadrupedal research, it is difficult to refer to bodies of literature that are applicable to this result. However, there are several variables 7 that may provide insight regarding this result. First, average passive ROM values for the 8 participants above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was 71 +/- 6° at the ankle and 136 +/- 13° for hip flexion/extension as compared to the overall group averages of 76  $+/$ -11<sup>°</sup> at the ankle and  $151 +$  -  $24^{\circ}$  for hip flexion/extension. Although ROM has not directly been identified as a contributing factor to PTS, it is possible that limited passive ROM could inhibit 12 active ROM during fast walking. As discussed above, ankle angular velocity is also a 13 strong PTS trigger, as critical angles are reached that initiate the transition (Hreljac, 14 1995a). Despite the differences in ROM data in the current study, ankle ROM was not 15 identified as a splitter within the CART and therefore not considered to be a strong 16 predictor of the PTS when compared to the current selection of variables. Another 17 variable that was not revealed as a strong predictor within the CART was TA strength 18 and endurance. In previous transition research, dorsiflexor endurance, fatigue, and 19 moments are accepted as the most likely PTS triggers in humans (Bartlett  $&$  Kram, 2008). Although these variables were not identified as splitters in the CART analysis, it 21 was noted that individuals in the participant classification group above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

demonstrated TA strength and endurance values higher (strength mean  $= 17.4 + (-4.59)$ ft/lbs, endurance mean  $= 32 + (-2.48$  repetitions) than participants categorized under 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (group strength mean = 14.1 +/- 4.28 ft/lbs, group endurance mean = 24 +/- .71 repetitions). This result is intriguing given the observation that dorsiflexor endurance 4 capabilities are likely a trigger of the WRT (Bartlett Kram, 2008; Segers et al., 2007a). The results of the current study show that a) TA strength and endurance was not a 6 primary splitter, and b) the participants with the highest average transition speeds did not 7 demonstrate the highest dorsiflexor testing results (TA strength =  $16.8 +/- 3.57$  ft/lbs, TA endurance  $= 28 +1/2.12$  repetitions). Additionally, it was unexpected that obese individuals would actually display the highest TA strength and endurance values. As discussed earlier in the current study, it was proposed that obese individuals may have 11 lower TA fatiguing abilities due to their unique gait patterns. It is possible that obese individuals exhibited higher TA endurance and strength than other participants due to 13 additional muscular demands needed to support greater mass (Hulens et al., 2001).

It should also be noted that the secondary splitter in the CART analysis occurred at a BMI value of 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, separating participants in the lower range of the overweight classification and normal weight participants from the higher range overweight and obese 17 participants. Because obese participants were included in this split, it is valuable to 18 discuss research relevant to obesity walking mechanics as it applies to variables 19 examined in the current study (i.e., mass, loading rates, VGRFs, and PWS).

A variable that is often studied in current obesity research is loading rate. 1 Although loading rates were not identified as a splitter within the CART analysis, 2 previous research surrounding this topic may shed light on the current study"s results. 3

Grabowski et al. (2005) examined the effect of loading on the center of mass 4 while walking. Adding weight and mass equal to 50% of body weight was shown to double net metabolic cost. However, just adding mass alone only increased net metabolic 6 rate half as much as weight and mass combined. These results demonstrate that load 7 carrying increases the demand on muscles to support a greater weight during stance. This 8 in turn requires additional work to redirect and accelerate a greater mass during step-to- 9 step transitions (Grabowski et al., 2005). Additionally, loading rates have been identified as a stress inducing mechanism within the body (Raynor et al., 2002). This implies that loading rates illicit a response to the mechanical stress being applied at the given joint (Raynor et al., 2002). Griffin et al. (2003) examined the biomechanics and energetics of 13 walking with regard to leg function and loading. By investigating leg swing and force generation during stance, researchers found that the metabolic cost of leg swing was not 15 increased despite increased loads (Griffin et al., 2003). Instead, it was proposed that the metabolic cost of walking is directly proportional to the volume of muscle that is actively 17 generating force against the ground (during stance) and the rate of generating this force. Additionally, obese individuals tend to spend more time in stance while walking and less 19 time in swing (Browning & Kram, 2007). This base of research also supports the theory that obese adults experience a greater rate of joint loading (Browning  $\&$  Kram, 2007).

These findings suggest that obese individuals tend to adopt slower walking paces to 1 reduce these joint loads.

It has also been observed that obese individuals appear to choose slower preferred walking speeds to decrease joint loads (Browning  $\&$  Kram, 2007). Raynor et al. (2002) demonstrated that loading rates play a vital role in PTS selection, as well as time to first peak in vertical ground reaction forces displayed during transition. Li  $&$  Hamill (2002) also observed that loading rates increase as the WRT approached, as well as the first peak 7 of the VGRF. Previous research has shown that obese individuals exhibit higher ground 8 reaction forces in gait related studies (Browning  $\&$  Kram, 2007). Based on this finding and the results given in the current study, we hypothesized that an individual who is considered obese would produce higher VGRFs, higher loading rates, and therefore slower PTS. However, the current study revealed similar results between VGRF and loading rate values between the two BMI classification groups. VGRF was reported as 1.10  $+/-$  0.08 N for the upper BMI class while 1.11  $+/-$  0.02 N was reported for the lower BMI class. Also, loading rate values were reported at  $6.9 +/-0.15$  BW/s and  $7.2 +/-0.74$ BW/s for the upper and lower BMI classes, respectively.

As stated in the results, a series of independent *t* tests were used to analyze differences in variables between the two BMI classification groups split at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. This . This measurement was used as an exploratory tool to further understand the results displayed 19 in the CART analysis tree. It was shown that differences in passive hip flexion/extension, TA endurance, and step width between the two BMI classification groups were all 21 statistically significant according to the Independent *t* Test. Examination of these

variables may provide further insight on the differences between the BMI groups and the 1 contributions of these variables to the PTS. 2

Overall, the passive hip flexion displayed by the upper BMI group ( $> 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ )  $)$ was less than the lower BMI group ( $<$  27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) by approximately 25°. As discussed above, there is evidence within gait transition literature that emphasizes the role of ankle 5 dorsiflexion capacity (Bartlett & Kram, 2008). Although the hip has not been shown to reach critical angles associated with PTS, the contribution of the hip to walking and 7 running may be worth examining. Recently, Riley et al. (2010) proposed that peak hip 8 extension angles and maximal length of single joint hip flexors do not change with 9 increasing speeds. Based on this finding, it is possible that participants demonstrating decreased hip ROM values while walking would display the same ROM values as they 11 approached PTS. Based on the significant results of this data, it is possible that decreased 12 hip ROM may contribute to slower PTS.

With regards to TA endurance, it is surprising that individuals in the upper BMI group demonstrated higher TA endurance values at  $32 +/- 2.48$  repetitions as opposed to 24 +/- .71 repetitions exhibited by the lower BMI group. As discussed above, TA 16 fatigability is one of the most relevant PTS triggers within transition literature. For the purpose of this study, it seemed plausible to assume that fatigability and strength would 18 be directly related to faster PTS values. However, the results of the current study refute that prediction. Additionally, it was the individuals in the upper BMI group who 20 displayed the highest TA test values. Previous research on leg extension strength 21 differences between normal weight and obese participants has shown obese individuals to 22 be stronger (Hulens et al, 2001). This finding can possibly be explained by the constant 1 increased weight bearing and support capabilities induced by increased body mass 2 (Hulens et al., 2001). Given this, it is possible that increased strength or endurance in the 3 TA may be a result of supporting an increased amount of mass. 4

Overall, the Independent *t* Test revealed statistical differences between several 5 variables within the BMI classification group, the secondary splitter within the CART. 6 This method of analysis helped further explore the results of the CART and provided an 7 ulterior perspective.

## **Limitations** 9.9 **19.9 PM**

There are several limitations within this study that should be addressed. First, BMI classification was a variable used in the present study to categorize participants 11 based on anthropometric data. Due to the majority of size-related PTS studies examining 12 length and mass contributions, BMI is an appropriate variable for the current study. However, it may be useful to integrate body fat measurements into future research as an additional variable relating to size or body mass contributions to PTS.

Additionally, comparisons made between the current study and studies using 16 obese participants should be approached carefully. The split displayed in the CART was 17 at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, a value that represents the upper limit of overweight individuals as well as obese individuals above 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Comparisons made to research conducted on obese participants is often more specific. For example, Browning et al. (2009) included only obese participants (BMI = 30-40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and did not include overweight individuals. However, given the positive association between the current study and Browning et al.
(2009), it is reasonable to suggest that future research using heavier participants within 1 the parameters of this study may demonstrate similar if not more applicable results. 2

Overall, the CART analysis was used in the present study because it provided an 3 appropriate analysis of variables that are most relevant to PTS within the parameters of 4 this study. However, we actively chose the list of variables analyzed based on their 5 relevance to PTS research. Therefore, there is the potential that different results or CART trees could be generated depending on what variables are being evaluated. We believe 7 that this aspect does not weaken the current study; rather, it provides the opportunity to 8 examine alternative variables in relation to the present CART tree generated. Due to the 9 complex nature of the PTS, it is possible that variables that contribute to PTS were not observed in this study and may have played a role in the given outcome. 11

### **Conclusion**

According to the CART analysis, PWS and BMI were identified as the best predictors for PTS compared to the other measure variables. Previously, preferred 14 walking speed has not been identified as a predictor of PTS. Also, there is limited research available on the relationship between BMI and the WRT. However, it should be 16 mentioned that although previously established predictors such as TA fatigue and ankle 17 velocity were not significant, their importance to PTS research is not disregarded. 18 Instead, the current results only affirm that PTS needs to be investigated within more diverse populations in order to gain further understanding.

Also, the current study differed from previous forms of research by not focusing 21 on causes or triggers of the PTS. Instead, we wanted to investigate if participant 22

characteristics were predictive of PTS. The variables derived from the CART analysis are 1 viewed as predictive contributors to PTS, not causes. Despite this difference, there is still 2 the potential to make associations between variables classified as "triggers" or 3 "predictors". Further research is required to compare a separate set of participants with 4 similar methods to the current study in order to test the predictive ability of the present CART tree. Also, given the significance of PWS and BMI in the present study, future 6 studies on WRT should include these variables. 7

As stated above, it was the primary goal of the current study to use a diverse subject population to gain further insight on the PTS. As demonstrated in the results, the 9 use of a heterogeneous population with regards to BMI provides a broader investigation 10 of the diversity seen in human gait and locomotion patterns. However, the contribution of 11 BMI and PWS to PTS have not been investigated in previous studies. Therefore, the present study provides valuable information on these variables, although their relationship to PTS is less understood. Also, it was concluded that participants with a 14 BMI over 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> transitioned sooner than those with lower BMIs. Although this observation is significant, the reason as to why it was significant is a question requiring 16 further evaluation.

Overall, the relevance of BMI in the current study has opened up a new area for 18 transition research. There are a number of relevant and important PTS trigger variables 19 already established in PTS research, but these findings have been generalized to a very narrow subject population. The current study provides evidence that more variables may 21 exist that play pivotal roles in PTS, especially within participant pools that aren't

typically investigated. In general, the WRT and PTS are likely influenced by multiple 1 factors. This may be one reason that results from previous research that focused on 2 individual variables are somewhat ambiguous. This is consistent with what we found in 3 the current study. No single, specific variable or anthropometric variable was found to be 4 predictive of PTS. Rather, composite variables such as BMI and gait velocity were found 5 to be the most relevant. This may indicate that more work needs to be done to determine 6 the specific nature of the differences between gait patterns of the obese and normal 7 weight individuals as well as normal and fast PWS groups. It is likely that there are differences across multiple variables between these groups and it is the collective nature of these differences that influence the PTS. Therefore, future research on PTS must 10 examine diverse populations in order to gain further insight on transition speed, as well as the specific contributions of BMI and PWS.

### CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY

The walk-to-run transition (WRT) and preferred transition speed (PTS) are 6 affected by various kinematic and kinetic variables. However, these triggers or causes of 7 the WRT have been derived from research that has focused on a narrow participant population base. Typically, WRT or PTS research is conducted on normal weight and 9 healthy adults. Although this research has proved valuable, conclusions based on such a homogeneous population may not be applicable to human gait patterns in general. Therefore, it was the purpose of this study to examine a diverse cohort of participants 12 with regards to BMI and approach PTS variables from a broader perspective. Specifically, rather than focus on mechanisms responsible for the transition, the primary 14 goal was to determine if basic anthropometrics or gait characteristics are predictive of 15 this transition. 30 participants ( $n = 13$  male;  $n = 11$  normal weight,  $n = 10$  overweight, *n*  $= 9$  obese; age *M* = 26.3, *SD* = 5.5 years; height *M* = 68.8 *SD* = 3.8 inches; weight *M* = 182.6,  $SD = 41.0$  lbs;  $BMI = 27$  kg/m<sup>s</sup>) completed one testing session that involved passive range of motion measurements, overground walking trials, preferred transition 19 speed trials, and tibialis anterior strength and fatigue tests.

Both preferred walking speed and body mass index were identified as the most 1 predictive variables of PTS through the use of a Classification and Regression Tree 2 (CART) analysis. Specifically, the PWS splitter was divided at  $1.61 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  and BMI was and BMI was divided at 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Also, a series of t tests revealed significant differences between participants for hip range of motion ( $p = 0.009 > 0.05$ ), tibialis anterior endurance ( $p =$  $0.043 > 0.05$ , and step width (p =  $0.05 \ge 0.05$ ).

### **Conclusions** 7. The *Property of the Security of the Security*

Previously, preferred walking speed has not been identified as a predictor of PTS. 8 Also, there is limited research available on the relationship between BMI and the WRT. 9 In general, it is likely that there are differences across multiple variables between these groups and it is the collective nature of these differences that influence the PTS. 11 Therefore, the results of this study only affirm that PTS needs to be investigated within more diverse populations in order to gain further understanding.

Also, the current study deviated from previous forms of research by not focusing 14 on causes or triggers of the PTS. Instead, the purpose was to investigate if participant 15 anthropometric and gait characteristics were predictive of PTS. The variables derived 16 from the CART analysis are viewed as predictive contributors to PTS, not causes. Further 17 research is required to compare a separate set of participants with similar methods to the current study in order to test the predictive ability of the present CART analysis. Also, given the significance of PWS and BMI in the present study, future studies on WRT should include these variables. However, the contribution of BMI and PWS to PTS have 21 not been reported in previous studies, let alone investigated. Because of this, the role of

both PWS and BMI, although valuable, is less understood. Also, it was concluded that 1 increased BMI caused participants to transition sooner. Although this observation is 2 significant, the reason as to why it was significant is a question requiring further evaluation.

Overall, the relevance of BMI in the current study is valuable to transition 5 research. There are a number of relevant and important PTS trigger variables already 6 established in PTS research, but these findings have been generalized to a very narrow 7 subject population. The current study provides evidence that more variables may exist that play pivotal roles in PTS, especially within participant pools that aren"t typically 9 investigated. In general, the WRT and PTS are likely influenced by multiple factors. This 10 may be why the results from previous research using individual variables are somewhat 11 ambiguous. This is consistent with what we found in the current study. No single, 12 specific variable or anthropometric variable was found to be predictive of PTS. Rather, composite variables such as BMI and gait velocity were found to be the most relevant. 14

#### **Recommendations for Future Research**

Based on these conclusions, it is necessary that research on the WRT and PTS targets the specific nature of the differences between gait patterns of the obese and 17 normal weight individuals as well as normal and fast PWS groups. It is likely that there are differences across multiple variables between these groups and it is the collective 19 nature of these differences that influence the PTS. Therefore, future research on PTS must examine diverse populations in order to gain further insight on transition speed, as 21 well as the specific contributions of BMI and PWS.

### REFERENCES **1988**

- Ainsworth, B.E., Macera, C.A., Jones, D.A., Reis, J.P., Addy, C.L., Bowles, H.R., & 3 Kohl III, H.W. (2006). Comparison of the 2001 BRFSS and the IPAQ Physical Activity Questionnaires. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 38, 1584-1592. 6
- Alexander, R. (1977). Mechanics and scaling of terrestrial locomotion. In *Scale Effects in* 8 *Animal Locomotion (ed. T.J. Pedley), 93-110*. New York: Academic Press. 9
- Bartlett, J. L., & Kram, R. (2008). Changing the demand on specific muscle groups affects the walk-run transition speed. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *211*, 12 1281-1288. 13
- Beaupied, H., Multon, F., & Delamarche, P. (2003). Does training have consequences for 15 the walk-run transition speed? *Human Movement Science*, 22, 1-12.
- Browning, R.C.,  $\&$  Kram, R. (2005). Energetic cost and preferred speed of walking on obese vs. normal weight women. *Obesity Research, 13*, 891–899. 19
- Browning, R.C., Baker, E.A., Herron, J.A., & Kram, R. (2006). Effects of obesity and sex on the energetic cost and preferred speed of walking. *Journal of Applied* 22 *Physiology*, *100*, 390-398. 23
- Browning, R. C., & Kram, R. (2007). Effects of obesity on the biomechanics of walking at different speeds. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *39*, 1632-1641. 26
- Browning, R.C., & Kram, R. (2009). Pound for Pound: Working out how obesity influences the energetics of walking. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 106, 1755-1756.
- Browning, R. C., McGowan, C. P., & Kram, R. (2009). Obesity does not increase external mechanical work per kilogram body mass during walking. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *42*, 2273-2278. 3
- Cavagna, G.A., Heglund, N.C., & Taylor, C.R. (1977). Mechanical work in terrestrial 5 locomotion: two basic mechanisms for minimizing energy expenditure. *American Journal of Psychology, 233*, 243-261. 7
- Cavagna, G.A., & Kaneko, M. (1977). Mechanical work and efficiency in level walking 9 and running. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 268, 647-681.
- De Smet, K., Segers, V., Lenoir, M., & De Clercq, D. (2009). Spatiotemporal characteristics of spontaneous overground walk-to-run transition. *Gait & Posture*, 13 *29*, 54-58. 14
- DeVita, P., & Hortobagyi, T. (2003). Obesity it not associated with knee joint torque and power during level walking. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 36, 1355-1362.
- Doke, J., Donelan, J.M., & Kuo, A.D. (2005). Mechanics and energetics of swinging the human leg. *The Journal of Experimental Biology, 208*, 439-445. 20
- Entin, P.L., Gest, C., Trancik, S., Coast, J.R. (2010). Fuel oxidation in relation to walking 22 speed: influence of gradient and external load. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 100*, 515-521. 24
- Farley, C.T., Glasheen, J., & McMahon, T.A. (1993). Running springs: speed and animal size. *Journal of Experimental Biology, 185,* 71-86. 27
- Farley, C.T., & Taylor, C.R. (1991). A mechanical trigger for the trot-gallop transition in horses. *Science*, 253, 306-308.
- Flynn, J.M., Holmes, J.D., & Andrews, D.M. (2004). The effect of localized leg muscle 32 fatigue on tibial impact acceleration. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 19, 726-732.
- Grabowski, A., Farley, C.T., & Kram, R. (2005). Independent metabolic costs of 35 supporting body weight and accelerating body mass while walking. *Journal of Applied Physiology, 98, 579-583.*  $\blacksquare$
- Griffin, T.M., & Kram, R. (2000). Penguin waddling is not wasteful, *Nature, 408*, 929. 39
- Griffin, T. M., Kram, R., Wickler, S. J., & Hoyt, D. F. (2004). Biomechanical and 41 energetic determinants of the walk-trot transition in horses. *Journal of Experimental Biology, 207, 4215-4223.*
- Hanna, A., Abernethy, B., Neal, R. J., & Burgess-Limerick, R. (2000). Triggers for the 1 transition between human walking and running. In W.A Sparrow (Ed.), 2 *Energetics of human activity (pp. 124-164). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.*
- Heglund, N.C., & Taylor, C.R. (1988). Speed, stride frequency and energy cost per stride: 5 how do they change with body size and gait? *Journal of Experimental Biology,* 6 *138*, 301-318. 7
- Holt, K.G., Hamill, J., & Andres, R.O (1990). The force-driven harmonic oscillator as a 9 model for human locomotion. *Human Movement Science*, 9, 55-68.
- Hreljac, A. (1995a). Determinants of the gait transition speed during human locomotion: kinematic factors. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 28, 669-677.
- Hreljac, A. (1995b). Effects of physical characteristics on the gait transition speed during human locomotion. *Human Movement Science*, 14, 205-216.
- Hreljac, A. (1993). Determinants of the gait transition speed during human locomotion: kinetic factors. *Gait & Posture, 1*, 217-223.
- Hreljac, A., Arata, A., Ferber, R., Mercer, J. A., & Row, B. S. (2001). An 21 electromyographical analysis of the role of dorsiflexors on the gait transition during human locomotion. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 17, 287-296.
- Hreljac, A., Imamura, R.T., Escamilla, R.F., & Edwards, W.B. (2007). When does a gait transition occur? *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine, 6,* 36-43. 26
- Hreljac, A., Imamura, R. T., Escamilla, R. F., Edwards, W. B., & MacLeod, T. (2008). The relationship between joint kinetic factors and the walk-run gait transition speed during human locomotion. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 24, 149-157.
- Hulens, M., Vansant, G., Lysens, R., Claessens, A.L., Muls, E. & Brumagne, S. (2001). Study of difference in peripheral muscle strength of lean versus obese women: an allometric approach. *International Journal of Obesity, 25*, 676-681. 34
- Kaelin, M. (2001). Physical assessment: an often over-looked portion of exercise testing and prescription. *Professionalism of Exercise Physiology*, 4, 31.
- Kant-Braun, J.A., Ng, A.V., Doyle, J.W., & Towse, T.F. (2002). Human skeletal muscle 39 responses vary with age and gender during fatigue due to incremental isometric exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 93, 1813-1823.
- Kirtley, C. (2006) Clinical gait analysis theory and practice. : Elsevier, London
- Kram, R., Domingo, A., & Ferris, D. P. (1997). Effect of reduced gravity on the preferred 1 walk-run transition speed. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *200*, 821-826. 2
- Latt, M.D., Menz, H.B., Fung, V.S., & Lord, S.R. (2008). Walking speed, cadence and step length are selected to optimize the stability of head and pelvis accelerations. *Experimental Brain Research, 184*, 201-209. 6
- Li, L., & Hamill, J.  $(2002)$ . Characteristics of the vertical ground reaction force component prior to gait transition. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 73, 229-237. 10
- Maki, B.E. (1997). Gait changes in older adults: predictor of falls or indicators of fear. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 45, 313-320.
- Malatesta, D., Vismara, L., Menegoni, F., Galli, M., Romei, M., & Capodaglio, P. 15 (2009). Mechanical External Work and Recovery at Preferred Walking Speed in 16 Obese Subjects. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *41*, 426-434. 17
- Malcolm, P., Fiers, P., Segers, V., Van Caekenberghe, I., Lenoir, M., & De Clercq, D. 19 (2009a). Experimental study on the role of the ankle push off in the walk-to-run 20 transition by means of a powered ankle-foot-exoskeleton. *Gait & Posture*, 3*0*, 21 322-327. 22
- Malcolm, P., Segers, V., Van Caekenberghe, I., & De Clercq, D. (2009b). Experimental study of the influence of the m. tibialis anterior on the walk-to-run transition by 25 means of a powered ankle-foot exoskeleton. *Gait & Posture*, 29, 6-10.
- Matsas, A., Taylor, N., & McBurney, H. (2000). Knee joint kinematics from familiarized treadmill walking can be generalized to overground walking in young unimpaired subjects. *Gait & Posture, 11, 46-53*.
- McGowan, C.P., Neptune, R.R., & Kram, R. (2008). Independent effects of weight and mass on plantar flexor activity during walking: implications for their contributions 33 to body support and forward propulsion. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 105, 486-494. 35
- Mercier, J., Legallais, D., Durand, M., Goudal, C., Micallef, J., & Prefaut, C. (1994). Energy-expenditure and cardiorespiratory responses at the transition between 38 walking and running. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, *69*, 525-529. 40
- Minetti, A.E., Ardigo, L.P., & Saibene, F.  $(1994)$ . The transition between walking and running in humans: metabolic and mechanical aspects at different gradients. *Acta* 43 *Physiology Scandinavia, 150*, 315-323. 44
- Neptune, R. R., & Sasaki, K. (2005). Ankle plantar flexor force production is an 1 important determinant of the preferred walk-to-run transition speed. *Journal of* 2 *Experimental Biology*, *208*, 799-808. 3
- Parijat, P. & Lockhart, T.E. (2008). Effects of quadriceps fatigue on the biomechanics of 5 gait and slip propensity. *Gait & Posture*, *28*, 568-573. 6
- Perry, A.K., Blickhan, R., Biewener, A.A., Heglund, N.C., & Taylor, C.R. (1988). 8 Preferred speeds in terrestrial vertebrates: are they equivalent? *Journal of Experimental Biology, 137, 207–219.*
- Prilutsky, B. I., & Gregor, R. J. (2001). Swing- and support-related muscle actions 12 differentially trigger human walk-run and run-walk transitions. *Journal of Experimental Biology, 204, 2277-2287.*
- Raynor, A. J., Yi, C. J., Abernethy, B., & Jong, Q. J. (2002). Are transitions in human gait determined by mechanical, kinetic or energetic factors? *Human Movement* 17 *Science*, *21*, 785-805. 18
- Riley, P. O., Paolini, G., Della Croce, U., Paylo, K. W., & Kerrigan, D. C. (2007). A kinematic and kinetic comparison of overground and treadmill walking in healthy subjects. *Gait and Posture*, 26, 17-24.
- Riley, P.O., Franz, J., Dicharry, J, & Kerrigan, D.C. (2010). Changes in hip joint muscle- 24 tendon length with mode of locomotion. *Gait & Posture, 31*, 279-283.
- Rotstein, A., Inbar, O., Berginsky, T., & Meckel, Y. (2005). Preferred transition speed between walking and running: Effects of training status. *Medicine and Science in* 28 *Sports and Exercise*, 37, 1864-1870.
- Russell, E.M., Braun, B., & Hamill, L. (2010). Does stride length influence metabolic 31 cost and biomechanical risk factors for knee osteoarthritis in obese women? *Clinical Biomechanics, 25, 438-443.*
- Sasaki, K., & Neptune, R. R. (2006). Muscle mechanical work and elastic energy 35 utilization during walking and running near the preferred gait transition speed. 36 *Gait & Posture, 23, 383-390.*  $\frac{1}{2}$  37. 383-390.
- Seay, J. F., Haddad, J. M., van Emmerik, R. E. A., & Hamill, J. (2006). Coordination 39 variability around the walk to run transition during human locomotion. *Motor Control*, *10*, 178-196. 41
- Segers, V., Aerts, P., Lenoir, M., & De Clercq, D. (2006). Spatiotemporal characteristics 1 of the walk-to-run and run-to-walk transition when gradually changing speed. 2 *Gait & Posture*, *24*, 247-254. 3
- Segers, V., Aerts, P., Lenoir, M., & De Clercq, D. (2008). External Forces During Actual 5 Acceleration Across Transition Speed. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 24, 340- $350.$
- Segers, V., Lenoir, M., Aerts, P., & De Clercq, D. (2007a). Influence of M. tibialis anterior fatigue on the walk-to-run and run-to-walk transition in non-steady state locomotion. *Gait & Posture*, 25, 639-647.
- Segers, V., Lenoir, M., Aerts, P., & De Clercq, D. (2007b). Kinematics of the transition between walking and running when gradually changing speed. *Gait & Posture*, 13 *26*, 349-361. 14
- Sentija, D., & Markovic, G. (2009). The Relationship Between Gait Transition Speed and the Aerobic Thresholds for Walking and Running. *International Journal of Sports* 17 *Medicine, 30, 795-801.* 1892. 1892. 1892. 1893. 1894. 1894. 1894. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1899.
- Shung, K., de Oliveira, C. G., & Nadal, J. (2009). Influence of Shock Waves and Muscle Activity at Initial Contact on Walk-Run Transition Evaluated by Two Models. 21 *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 25, 175-183.
- Starkey, C. & Ryan, J. (2002) *Evaluation of orthopedic and athletic injuries*, (Ed.). 24 Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company. 25
- Thorstensson, A., & Roberthson, H. (1987). Adaptations to changing speed in human 27 locomotion: speed of transition between walking and running. *Acta Physiology Scandinavia, 131, 211-214.* 2022.
- Van Ingen Schenau, G.J. (1980). Some fundamental aspects of the biomechanics of 31 overground versus treadmill locomotion. *Medicine & Science in Sports &* 32 *Exercise, 12,* 257-261. 33
- Vilensky, J.A., & G. Gehlsen. (1984). Temporal gait parameters in humans and 35 quadrupeds: how do they change with speed? *Journal of Human Movement* 36 *Studies, 10, 175-188.*  $\blacksquare$
- Vismara, L., Romei, M., Galli, M., Montesano, A., Baccalaro, G., Crivellini, M., & 39 Grugni, G.,  $(2007)$ . Clinical implications of gait analysis in the rehabilitation of adult patients with "Prader–Willi" Syndrome: a cross-sectional comparative study ("Prader–Willi" Syndrome vs. matched obese patients and healthy subjects). *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 4, 1–7.*

White, S.C., Yack., H.J., Tucker, C.A., & Lin, H.Y. (1998). Comparison of vertical ground reaction forces during overground and treadmill walking. *Medicine &* 2 *Science in Sports & Exercise, 30, 1537-1542.* 

### APPENDIX A 1

## **Variable Definitions** 2

### **Variable Definitions**

**Body Mass Index** – weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared  $(kg/m^2)$ ) and the contract of  $\mathcal{A}$ 

**End-feel** – The specific quality of movement felt by an examiner moving a joint to the end of its range of motion (Starkey  $& R$ yan, 2002).

**Foot progression angle** – Angle made by foot with plane of progression (Kirtley, 2006) 8

**Initial Transition Limb**  $(ITL)$  **– The limb that comes into contact with the** ground prior to the presence of a flight phase

**Vertical loading rates** – The rate at which a load is applied in the vertical plane **Preferred Transition Speed (PTS) – The speed at which an individual** transitions from a walk to run. For the purpose of this study, PTS is defined as the velocity of the center of mass at toe off at the transition step. 14

**Stride frequency** – The number of strides per unit of time

**Stride length** – The distance between two successive contacts of the same foot

**Transition step** – The first step with a flight phase in WRT (Segers et al., 2007)

**Vertical ground reaction forces** – The vertical forces exerted by the ground on the body during contact 1986 and the body during contact

**Walk-to-run transition (WRT)** – The point at which a flight phase is evident or the absence of a double support phase (Thorstensson  $\&$  Roberthson, 1987).

### APPENDIX B 1

# **Reflective Marker Photos** 3

### **Reflective Marker Photos** 1



