A Latest Carboniferous Warming Spike Recorded by a Fusulinid-Rich Bioherm in Timor Leste: Implications for East Gondwana Deglaciation

Vladimir I. Davydov
Boise State University

David W. Haig
University of Western Australia

Eujay McCartain
University of Western Australia
A Latest Carboniferous Warming Spike Recorded by a Fusulinid-
Rich Bioherm in Timor Leste: Implications for East Gondwana
Deglaciation

Vladimir I. Davydov
Boise State University

David W. Haig and Eujay McCartain
University of Western Australia

Abstract

During the late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic Timor lay in the northern part of the
north–south East Gondwana rift system along which the western margin of Australia later
developed. Discovery of a latest Gzhelian bioherm in the central highlands of Timor Leste
has implications for latest Carboniferous – earliest Permian climate history and
deglaciation in basins further south in the rift system.

Limestone outcrop of the Maubisse Formation near the village of Kulau is recognized as a
bioherm with a massive lower unit, including reef framework at the base, and a bedded
grainstone upper unit. The bioherm developed on a basalt substrate in warm shallow-
water, as indicated by photozoan assemblages in the massive lower unit. Foraminifera
belonging to 17 genera are recorded from the bioherm. These include representatives of
the families Biseriamminidae, Biwaellidae, Bradyinidae, Cornuspiridae, Cornuspiridae,
Lasiomuridae, Palaeotextulariidae, Pseudotaxidae, Ozaaianellidae, Schubertellidae, Schwagerinidae,
Staffelliidae and Textratixididae. Twenty-one species have been referred to known types
and 12 species are left in open nomenclature. The assemblage probably belongs within the
uppermost Gzhelian Schwagerina robusta-Ultradaixina bosbytauensis Zone although a
possible lowest Asselian correlation cannot be excluded. The bioherm is the oldest
carbonate unit so far recorded from the Maubisse Formation, and the oldest sedimentary
unit biostratigraphically dated in Timor.

The dominantly heterozoan composition of the skeletal component of the limestone
(except for the basal photozoan assemblage) and the taxonomic diversity of the larger
foraminifera suggest a subtropical environment consistent with a palaeolatitude of about
40° S. The late Pennsylvanian was a time of glaciation that in Australia is represented by a
significant stratigraphic hiatus in basins to the south of Timor in the East Gondwana rift
system. The development of the Kulau bioherm during the latest Gzhelian may have
coincided with a global warming spike that led to rapid melting of continental ice sheets
and a substantial influx of glacigene sediment (alternating diamicrite and mudstone) in the
southern basins.
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1. Introduction

Figure 1. Position of Timor within the East Gondwana rift system (modified from Harrowfield et al., 2005). Within the
interior-rift system, dash lines indicate positions of rifts during final continental breakup (at 155 Ma in the vicinity of Timor;
136 Ma off southwest Australia; Heine and Müller, 2005). Rifting along the Meso-Tethyan ocean boundary started during

During the late Mississippian to Early Permian vast ice sheets extended over much of high-latitude Gondwana
(Isbell et al., 2003; Fielding et al., 2008). Within Gondwanan intracratonic depressions away from coeval
orogenic belts Pennsylvanian deposits are poorly known and restricted in occurrence, whereas Asselian (earliest
Permian) glacially influenced strata are widespread and thick indicating rapid influx of glacigene sediment
during episodes of climate amelioration (Wopfner, 1999). In eastern Gondwana, these intracratonic strata were
deposited in a broad north–south system of rifts together forming the East Gondwana rift system (Harrowfield et
al., 2005; Ali et al., 2012; Western Australian Trough of Wopfner, 1999), along which Late Jurassic–Early
Cretaceous continental breakup took place (Heine and Müller, 2005). Within the East Gondwana rift system, lowest Permian and uppermost Carboniferous deposits are known from Timor in the north (about 40° S) and can be traced to the south through the Bonaparte, Canning, Carnarvon, and Perth Basins (about 60° S) with decreasing marine influence (Fig. 1; Charlton et al., 2002; Gorter et al., 2005; Hocking et al., 1987; Mory and Beere, 1988; Mory et al., 2008; Playford et al., 1976). The Timor succession has been affected by Neogene orogeny (Keep and Haig, 2010; Audley-Charles, 2011; Haig, 2012), whereas the west Australian basins remain relatively undeformed.

While Charlton et al. (2002, table 1), in a review of the Timor Permian, could not confirm stratigraphic levels lower than the Sakmarian (Tastubian Substage), the description by Nogami (1963) of the fusulinid foraminifera “Triticites sp. indet.” with a suggested earliest Permian age raised the possibility of Asselian or uppermost Pennsylvanian marine deposits in Timor. Fusulinids were elements of warm-water photozoan faunas during the Permian and are absent from cold-water carbonates composed of heterozoan facies (James, 1997). Besides the fusulinid assemblage from close to the Permian-Carboniferous boundary, younger Permian low diversity fusulinid faunas have been recorded from Timor (Schubert, 1915; Thompson, 1949; Gageonnet and Lemoine, 1958, photo 15; Nogami, 1963; Whitaker in Charlton et al., 2002).

Putting aside the misidentification of fusulinids in the Canning Basin by Chapman and Parr (1937; see Crespin, 1958; confirmed by Haig’s re-examination of the original slides), no fusulinid foraminifera have been found in basins of the East Gondwana rift system south of Timor (Fig. 1). This probably reflects (1) northward increasing water temperatures over the 20° of paleolatitude between the southern extent of marine deposition in the Perth Basin and Timor (Fig. 1), and (2) the southward increasingly more restricted conditions within the interior sea. If the presence of warm-water faunal elements within the Asselian or uppermost Pennsylvanian in Timor was confirmed, correlation could be made with the known rapid increase in sedimentation derived from melting ice in the west Australian basins (Mory et al., 2008). More detailed analysis of the Timor fauna, may also determine if its stratigraphic level coincides with the Gzhelian maxima for late Paleozoic tropical fusulinid diversity (Groves and Lee 2008; Davydov et al. 2012) and thus a potential global warming episode (Mayhew et al., 2012). A coincidence of these records could point to a warm spike that may have initiated of the onset of the late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the East Gondwana rift system and elsewhere in Gondwana.

Unfortunately Nogami (1963) only broadly specified the locality of “Triticites sp. indet.” near Hato Bueilico in Timor Leste. His material included a few poorly preserved specimens from a bedded, white limestone with calcareous algae and bryozoa. At outcrops in the Aileu-Maubisse region near the village of Kulau about 10 km north of Hato Bueilico, we found fusulinid-bearing limestone containing many representatives of a species closely resembling Nogami’s “Triticites sp. indet.” (here referred to Schwagerina gracilis Sjomina) associated with other fusuline species of Eostaffella, Reitlingerina, Parastaffella, Schubertella, Bisaella, Schellwienia, and Schwagerina as well as with the smaller foraminifera Endotaxis, Tetrataxis, Calcvertella, Bradyna, Globivalvulina, Palaeotextularia, Monogenerina, Climacammina, and Deckerella. The taxonomy of the fauna will be published in a separate paper. Here the Kulau fauna and the geographical setting are described followed by a discussion concerning the age and palaeoenvironment significance of this fauna. This information will enable stratigraphic correlation both to the south within the East Gondwana rift system and to other sites elsewhere in both the palaeo-southern and palaeo-northern hemispheres. It will also permit a broader appreciation of palaeogeography and climate shifts during the latest Carboniferous and earliest Permian in this region.

2. Location and Geologic setting

Figure 2. STRM image of Timor (compiled from NASA US Shuttle Radar Topography Mission images) showing the location of the Kulau study site. The insert map shows Timor as part of the non-volcanic Outer Banda Arc.

Figure 3. Map of Timor showing extent of Gondwana Megasequence (including the Maubisse Group) and the outcrop at Kulau. Insert image A is an aerial photograph of the Kulau area; image B is an enlarged view showing the extent of the studied bioherm.

Table 1: Locality co-ordinates and description.

The studied locality, at about 8.816°S, 125.547°E (Table 1), is situated in a broad belt of outcrop in the central highlands of Timor Leste that Audley-Charles (1968) mapped as the Maubisse Formation (Fig. 2). Gageonnet and Lemoine (1958) originally included this formation as the upper part of their “Maubisse Series” and they recognized that the metamorphosed lower part of the series (included as part of the Aileu Formation by Audley-Charles, 1968) graded upward into less diagenetically altered strata. With limited chronostratigraphic control,
Audley-Charles (1968) suggested that the Maubisse Formation consisted of a lower part dominated by fossiliferous bedded limestone with some interbeds of eruptive and reworked eruptive rocks and a thick upper unit of “basic eruptive rocks”. He placed the formation among “allochthonous” units of Asian derivation, and contrasted it with Gagoenett and Lemoine’s (1958) “Cribas Series” (including the dominantly siliciclastic Atahoc Formation and overlying Cribas Formation as defined by Audley-Charles, 1968) that he regarded as “autochthonous” of Australian derivation.

Since then, the palaeomagnetic results of Chamalaun (1977a,b) and a better understanding of the biogeography of the Permian fauna in Timor (Archbold and Barkham, 1989; Archbold and Bird, 1989; Charlton et al., 2002) and of the tectonic assembly of South-East Asia (Hall, 2011) has led to a consensus that all the Permian strata of Timor are of Gondwanan affinity and were probably derived from the pre-breakup East Gondwana rift system. There is disagreement about whether at least some of the Timor Permian may have rifted away from the Australian margin during Late Jurassic continental breakup and later became emplaced again on the continental margin (in the Timor orogen) during the late Neogene (for example, see alternate pre-collision scenarios by Keep and Haig, 2010, and Audley-Charles, 2011).

The belt of Maubisse Formation in which the studied locality lies also includes the type area of the formation at Fatu Rabi to the west of Maubisse. As well as Maubisse Formation strata this belt is now recognized as also including other units, for example outcrops better assigned to the Atahoc and Cribas Formations as well as to the Triassic Aitutu Formation (Grady and Berry, 1977; Hunter, in an unpublished thesis cited in Charlton et al., 2002; and unpublished work by the present authors). The association of Permian lithofacies in the region suggests that the carbonate-dominated and volcanic-dominated units (characterised by Audley-Charles, 1968, as the Maubisse Formation) interdigitate with siliciclastic units best placed in the Atahoc and Cribas Formations as also suggested by Grady and Berry (1977) in East Timor and by Barkham in West Timor (in an unpublished thesis noted by Charlton et al., 2002). Contrary to the schematic stratigraphic chart of Charlton et al. (2002, fig. 3), there is no continuous limestone succession through the Permian in Timor. Rather isolated large lensoid bodies of limestone (mainly medium to thick bedded but in some places with small areas of reef framework) are observed in stratigraphic contact with volcanic or siliciclastic successions. Although the stratigraphic positions of most of these limestone bodies has yet to be precisely determined, our continuing work suggests that they range from at least the Gzhelian (latest Carboniferous) to the Lopingian (Late Permian).

The studied bioherm, located about 6 kilometers WNW of Maubisse (Fig. 2) near the village of Kulau, is structurally tilted with an almost continuous along-strike exposure of over 400 m (Fig. 3). Exposures of the bioherm include fresh outcrop at locality 6 in the Slokaka River, hill-side cliff exposures at localities 3 and 5, and relatively flat easily accessible outcrop adjacent a 4WD track at locality 2 (Fig. 3). Each of these outcrops offers different insights into the nature of the build-up (see section 4). An additional fusulinid-bearing limestone was documented roughly 6 km SSE of the studied bioherm. This locality (7) is in the Obaraikki River area to the west of the Maubisse-Same road on the northern side of the dividing range between the Maubisse and Wailuli Valleys (see Table 1 for coordinates).

Detailed mapping has not been done in the general vicinity of the uppermost Carboniferous bioherm documented here. Along the Slokaka River (Fig. 3) and in nearby rivers and hills, exposures are dominated by uppermost Carboniferous to Permian strata including basalt flows, reworked volcanic sandstone and conglomerate, siliciclastic sandstone and mudstone, and lensoidal limestone build-ups. The outcropping strata in this area are attributed to the Maubisse, Atahoc and Cribas Formations.

### 3. Material and Methods

As part of a study to reconstruct the Gondwana stratigraphy of the structurally complex Timor orogenic belt, McCa-tain undertook reconnaissance sampling in the study region. Field examination and acetate peels of some of the limestone samples revealed fusulinid assemblages. Thin sections were prepared from these samples and examined by Davydov who identified assemblages of latest Carboniferous and/or earliest Permian affinity. McCa-tain and Haig returned to the area and measured a stratigraphic section and systematically sampled the strata (Table 1). Acetate peels were prepared from each sample and used to describe the microfacies and to identify the levels containing fusulins. Grain counts were made from acetate peels onto which >100 points in a random grid had been drawn. Grains falling below the grid points were counted. Fusulinid-bearing samples were sent to Davydov who prepared oriented thin sections of individual specimens that allowed taxonomic discrimination of species following methods described by Rausch-Chernousova and Fursenko (1937) and Needham (1937).
For preparation of acetate peels each limestone sample was slabbbed and the cut surface etched in 2% HCl acid for 3–4 minutes. The etched surface was flooded with acetone and a ~0.1 mm thick sheet of acetate film was placed on the wet surface. After about two minutes when the acetone had dried and the film surface reset to form a mould of the surface, the peel was removed and placed under a heavy weight. Acetate peels allow rapid microscopic examination of large sections of rock. The procedure can be carried out in a field base camp. Clasts and structures that may be missed in smaller thin sections of the rock may be apparent in the peel.

Studied rock samples and acetate peels are housed in the collections of the Earth Science Museum at the University of Western Australia (Table 1). Thin sections containing the figured specimens of fusulinids are housed in the University of Iowa Paleontology Repository, USA (coll. SUI 132435–132595)

4. Stratigraphic Section

Figure 4. Stratigraphic log of the studied bioherm.

Figure 5. Massive limestone unit (MLU). A, contact between vesicular basalt and overlying reef-framework limestone (MPSU), locality 6. B, lowest part of MLU, showing framework of aborensece algae(?) and colonial rugose corals (MPSU), locality 6. C, lower part of MLU showing large gastropods and coral fragments with a framework of aborensece algae(?) (MPSU), locality 6. D, lower part of MLU showing branching coralum with large diameter coralites (MPSU), locality 6. E, cliff section at locality 4 with massive limestone unit (MLU) overlain by bedded grainstone unit (BGU). F, massive limestone unit at locality 2 showing karstic weathering. G, base of massive limestone unit at locality 6 showing —lensl of limestone within basalt below the main contact with MLU where person is sitting.

Figure 6. Bedded grainstone unit (BGU). A, BGU in river at locality 6. B, Enlarged view of outcrop at locality 6 showing bedding pattern. C, Cliff face outcrop at locality 5 showing lower beds of BGU. D, BGU at locality 2 showing interbedded friable red mudstone.

Table 2. Grain constituents in studied samples from the Kulau bioherm.

The studied bioherm is divided into several units (Fig. 4). At the base, overlying vesicular basalt (Fig. 5) is a massive limestone unit (MLU) that in turn is overlain by a bedded grainstone unit (BGU; Fig. 6). The base of the siliciclastic mudstone unit (SMU) marks the top of the bioherm. MLU is further divided into a massive photozoan subunit (MPSU) at the base gradationally passing upward into a massive heterozone subunit (MHSU). Different biogenic constituents distinguish the subunits, although the boundary between these has not been precisely defined. MLU exhibits karstic weathering at locality 2 (Fig. 5F), whereas BGU is exposed in continuous outcrop along a 4WD track to Kulau village. From the cliff outcrops between localities 3 and 5 (Fig. 3) it is evident that MLU varies in thickness laterally from about 9 m at locality 2 to 24 m at localities 4 and 5. The cliff exposure also displays a possible syn-sedimentary fault with MLU thickening substantially across the high-angle fault. On the thickened side of the fault a ~50 cm-thick pebbly conglomerate comprising reworked volcanic sediment subdivides MLU. Although not investigated in detail, BGU apparently remains relatively constant in thickness (~9 m) along the lateral extent of the cliff.

The outcrop at location 6 in the Slokaka River (Fig. 3) includes fresh exposures of the vesicular basalt and MLU and the contact between these (Fig 5A). No obvious cooling margins are evident at the contact which together with the vesicular nature of the basalt suggests the basalt is extrusive rather than intrusive. Just below the main contact the basalt includes ‘lenses’ of massive limestone (Fig. 5G) of uncertain origin. In the river outcrop the framework forming the base of the massive limestone includes aborensece probabe algae (Fig. 5b) and colonial rugose corals (Figs 5a–d). Fresh exposure of BGU (Fig. 6A) is present in the river, with good exposures of sedimentary structures, stratigraphically above the massive limestone but the contact between these units is obscured by scree and river sand. In contrast to locality 2 where SMU overlies BGU, at locality 6 possible volcanlastic strata with limestone ‘lenses’ overlie BGU. It remains uncertain if this difference between localities is due to a faulted contact at locality 6 or represents lateral facies variation across the study area.

At the base of the section, rudstone matrix from MPSU includes abundant tubiphytes (29–68% of grains), common to abundant foraminifera (8–62%), common crinoid plates (3–30%), and in approximate order of abundance and persistance generally rare fragments of brachiopods, bryozoans, dasycladacean algae, skeletal cyanobacteria, ostracods, gastropods, rugose corals and echninoind spines (Table 2). Encrunsters like tubiphytes and calcitornellid foraminifera are attached to many of the crinoid columnals. The grain assemblage of the overlying MHSU is dominated by crinoid debris (50%) and bryozoan fragments (48%) and includes very rare foraminifera, brachiopod fragments, rugose corals, echninoind spines, possible phylloid algae (? Anchicodium), and solenoparacean algae (Table 2). MLU cement types include syntaxial cement around crinoid plates and interparticle granular cements. There is evidence of recrystallization of bioclasts in some samples and some of
the cement may be neomorphic. Throughout MLU the grains exhibit little evidence of microboring or micritization.

Grain assemblages of beds (10–60 cm thick) within the BGU comprise mainly bryozoan fragments (47–86% of grains) and crinoid debris (14–51%) along with very rare foraminifera (mainly tetrataxids), brachiopod fragments, ostracod carapaces, and rugose corallites (Table 2). Several beds are strongly dolomitized. There is little evidence of microboring or micritization of grains. Syntaxial cement is common around crinoid plates and in some beds grains are in stylolitic contact with concentrations of ferruginous material along the seams enhancing the red colour of the rock. Weakly planar laminated non-calcareous mudstone of SMU overlies BGU.

The thickness variations of MLU described above suggest that this limestone succession including the BGU is probably lensoid in architecture and may be classified as a bioherm according to the original definition of Cummings (1932). It also fits into the spectrum of reef structures described by James and Wood (2010). While tubiphytes, calcareous algae, and colonial rugose corals at the base of the MLU (i.e. the MPSU) established a lensoidal core this geometry was maintained throughout deposition of the upper MLU (i.e. the MHSU) and BGU. The coeval surrounding “basinal” units have not been studied in this area but elsewhere in the Maubisse area basal strata adjacent other lensoid buildups comprise beds of limestone with transported crinoidal and bryozoan debris interbedded with siliciclastic mudstone.

No detailed stratigraphic work has been done at locality 7 where a fusulinid assemblage similar to that within MPSU was documented in a structurally complex area. The fusulinid assemblage (from sample 144397; Tables 1, 3) comes from a medium-bedded limestone rich in volcanic clasts with thick successions of bedded to massive fossiliferous limestone in the vicinity.

5. Fusulinid assemblages composition and age assignment

Table 3: Distribution of foraminifera and algae in studied samples

Relatively diverse assemblages belonging to the foraminiferal families Biseriamminidae, Biwaellidae, Bradyinidae, Cornuspiridae, Lasiodiscidae, Palaeotextulariidae, Pseudotaxidae, Ozawaiellidae, Schubertellidae, Schwagerinidae, Staffelidae and Tetrataxidae were recovered from 12 samples in the bioherm and from sample 144397, locality 7 (Table 3). The taxonomic composition is similar in all samples from MPSU suggesting that these are probably of similar age. The overlying assemblage from 158153 (MHSU) is less diverse as is the fauna from 144397 at locality 7 (Table 3). In BGU, only very rare tetrataxids were noted.

For age determination, the smaller foraminifera (e.g. Biseriamminidae, Bradyinidae, Cornuspiridae, Palaeotextulariidae, Pseudotaxidae, and Tetrataxidae) include long ranging taxa that are of little help for precise chronostratigraphic correlation. Among the other foraminifera found in the Kulau section, the schwagerinid species (Table 3) are most diagnostic for age. Commonly occurring Schellwienia klunnikovi, Sch. malkovskyi, Sch. modesta and Sch. porrecta are typical late Gzhelian species. S. klunnikovi was described from the Pseudofusulina elegans zone in Darvaz which is equivalent to the upper Gzhelian Daixina sokensis zone on the Russian Platform (Davydov, 1986a,b). Schellwienia malkovskyi, Sch. modesta and Sch. porrecta were described from PreCaspian and Russian Platform deposits that were originally correlated with the Lower Permian (Kireeva et al., 1971), but have been shown to range down into the pre-Asselian (Davydov et al., 1992). These species have been found in the upper Gzhelian Daixina sokensis zone and uppermost Gzhelian Schwagerina robusta-Ultradaixina bosbytauensis zone in Darvaz, Central Asia, Southern Urals, Donets Basin, Iran, Carnic Alps, Greenland and Spitsbergen (Davydov, 1986a,b, 1992; Davydov and Kozur, 1997; Nilsson and Davydov, 1997; Forke et al., 1998; Davydov et al., 2001; Leven and Gorgij, 2006).

Schwagerina gracilis, Schw. vozghalensis and Schw. pomposa were originally described from Russian Platform deposits that were then correlated with the Asselian, Lower Permian (Rauser-Chernousova and Shcherbovich, 1958; Sjolina, 1961; Kireeva et al., 1971), because of the confusion with the position of the Carboniferous-Permian boundary (Davydov et al., 1992). When the boundary position was permanently established in the Global Time Scale (Davydov et al., 1998) these rocks were assigned to the latest Gzhelian. The upper range (LAD) of these species in the Donets Basin and Southern and Central Urals is early Asselian (Davydov, 1986a, 1992; Vilesov, 2000).
Schwagerina subrobusta has been described in Darvaz from the Pseudofusulina elegans zone in the same beds where Schellwienia klunnikovi, Sch. malkovskyi, Sch. modesta and Sch. porrecta were found (Davydov, 1986b).

Schwagerina biangpingensis has been described from the “Pseudoschwagerina uddeni” zone spanning the Carboniferous–Permian boundary (see Appendix 1) in one of the most important boundary sections in China, the Biangping reference section at Houchang, Guizhou, South China (Xiao et al., 1986). Unfortunately, the precise range of the species has not been determined.

Based on all the distributions discussed for the Biangping section (Appendix 1), the fusulinids from Timor belong within the upper Gzhelian Daixina sokensis zone through lower Asselian Sphaeroschwagerina vulgaris – S. fusiformis zone. However, the species characteristic of the upper Gzhelian Daixina sokensis zone (Schellwienia klunnikovi, Sch. malkovskyi, Sch. modesta, Sch. porrecta and Schwagerina subrobusta) and those that range into the uppermost Gzhelian Schwagerina robusta-Ultradaixina bosbytauensis zone are relatively rare in the Timor succession. The most abundant species in the Timor samples are Schwagerina gracilis, Schw. vozhgalensis and Schw. pomposa. Their acme in successions in the Russian Platform, Urals, Spitsbergen and Central Asia is in the uppermost Gzhelian Schwagerina robusta-Ultradaixina bosbytauensis zone. They are very rare in the lower Asselian Sphaeroschwagerina vulgaris – S. fusiformis zone. Thus, the assemblage from studied samples most probably belongs within the uppermost Gzhelian Schwagerina robusta-Ultradaixina bosbytauensis zone, a correlation that is consistent with the data from South China (see Appendix 1). Nevertheless, taking into account the remote location of Timor with regards to the Russian Platform and the Urals, an earliest Asselian age cannot be entirely excluded.

Although less well known, stratigraphic ranges of the species of Eostaffella, Schubertella, and Biwaella (as discussed by Dunbar and Skinner, 1937; Rausser-Chernousova et al., 1951; Davydyv, 1984, 2011; Ross, 1995; Leven and Taheri, 2003; Leven, 1997; Maslo and Vachard, 1997) are consistent with a latest Gzhelian age for the studied Timor fauna.

6.1. Depositional Model

A detailed facies analysis is beyond the scope of this paper. Instead, sediment composition, microfacies and sedimentary structures are used to develop a depositional history for the bioherm.

The base of MLU represents the initiation of the bioherm, the position of which is likely to have been controlled by the underlying thick succession of basalt (possibly 200m thick) resulting in a basinal high with a stable substrate. The base is interpreted to represent the shallowest facies during bioherm development, occurring well within the photic zone of a subtropical shallow sea. Although photozoan elements such as larger foraminifera (fusulinids), colonial rugose corals (Fig. 5a-d), arborescent probable algae (Fig. 5b), and tubiphytes are present (Table 2), the rarity of dasycladacean algae, the lack of microborings and micritization of grains (although encrustations by tubiphytes and calcitornellid foraminifera are in places common), and the relatively low diversity of fusulinids suggest that water temperatures were warm temperate rather than fully tropical. Deposition was most likely initiated high in the photic zone in the warmest waters above storm-weather wave base and possibly above fair-weather wave base (with wave energy moderate because of the intracratonic setting).

As MLU developed the photozoan sediment components became rare and bryozoan and crinoidal debris became dominant. The sediment of MHSU is typical of a late Palaeozoic heterozoan assemblage (James 1997). This change from a marginal photozoan to a heterozoan biota may reflect both a slight increase in water depth as well as a decrease in water temperatures with deposition now occurring within the photic zone likely below fair-weather wave base. The rather monotonous succession of the same facies suggests the bioherm was within a keep-up phase of growth during deposition of this unit.

Within BGU the terminal phase of bioherm development was initiated by the encroachment of red non-calcareous mudstone. The mudstone (including possible ash) occurs as laminae to thin beds within thin to medium beds of grainstone (Figs 6a-e). This sediment heterogeneity highlights sedimentary structures including wavy laminae and flaser bedding (Fig. 6e) indicative of wave and possible tidal currents likely below fair-weather wave base. Biotically this unit is very similar to, if not the same as, MHSU in that crinoidal and bryozoan debris dominate the grain assemblage. Deposition took place in similar water temperatures to those represented by MHSU. The base of the SMU represents termination of bioherm development. SMU is a friable mud unit that is poorly exposed and has not been investigated during this study.
6.2 Biogeographic and Palaeoclimate Implications

Figure 7: Late Gzhelian-early Asselian paleogeography (from Blakey, http://jan.ucc.nau.edu/rcb7/mollglobe.html with slight modifications) showing distribution of buildups; highly diverse tropical fusulinid and less diverse temperate (Schellwienia-Schwagerina dominated) faunas. 1, Texas, New-Mexico and Arizona; 2, North America Great Basin; 3, McCloud; 4, Yukon, Alaska; 5, Canadian Arctic; 6, Spitsbergen and Barents Sea; 7, Timan-Pechora; 8, Russian Platform and Southern_Urals; 9, Donets Basin; 10, Pre-Caspian; 11, Central_Asia; 12, Carnic_Alps; 13, North_China; 14, South_China; 15, Central Iran, Sanandaj-Sirjan Zone; 16, Yunnan (Sibumasu); 17, Timor

Late Paleozoic fusulinids were distributed in the tropical-subtropical belt (up to 35-40° S/N palaeolatitude) within carbonate to mixed carbonate-siliciclastic shallow-water settings (Ross, 1995; Kobayashi and Ishii, 2003). Based on similarities with size, test morphology and with the biofacies associations of modern larger benthic foraminifera (Beavington-Penney and Racey, 2004; Hohenegger, 2004; Murray, 2006) the fusulinids are assumed to have hosted photosynthetic symbionts (Ross, 1982; Vachard et al., 2004; BouDagher-Fadel, 2008). Temperature and light availability are the most important factors controlling the distributions of larger symbiont-bearing foraminifera in seas of normal salinity. Shallow-water assemblages of present-day larger foraminifera in optimal water temperatures (20-30°C) generally are much more diverse than those in temperatures greater than 30°C or less than 20°C. (Beavington-Penney and Racey, 2004; Hohenegger, 2004; Murray, 2006). Similar changes in diversity are apparent in the latitudinal distribution of the late Paleozoic fusulinids and these are assumed to correspond to tropical-subtropical temperature changes (Ross, 1995; Ross and Ross, 1995).

During global warm episodes larger symbiont-bearing foraminifera could migrate to higher latitudes. By contrast, cooling led to emigration of parts of the foraminiferal fauna from higher to lower latitudes, stepwise extinction of some of the larger taxa, increasing provincialism and the preferential survival of faunas adapted for a broader range of facies (Stanley, 1984; Kalvoda, 2002; Mayhew et al., 2012). Mid-latitudes are the areas where climatic fluctuations and velocity of climate change are most significant as has been shown in modern-world (Burrows et al., 2011) and Permian models (Winguth et al., 2002). Fusulinid faunas provide a particularly sensitive index of climate change in these mid-latitude regions. In late Paleozoic continental reconstructions (e.g. Ziegler et al., 1997), such transitional areas include Bolivia/Peru, Oman, Central Iran (Pusht-e-Badam block only), Central and South-East Pamirs, Salt-Range, Timor and other regions in the Neo-Tethys as well as localities on the northern margins of Pangaea (Russian and Norwegian Arctic, Wrangel Island, North Greenland, Sverdrup Basin in Canada.).

The Late Carboniferous to Early Permian continental glaciation-deglaciation cycles would have had a significant impact on the distributions of fusulinid foraminifera in the mid-latitude zone. Permian climate simulation models (Winguth et al., 2002) suggest a significant 5-7°C latitudinal shift in average sea-surface temperature and associated climatic belts under glacial versus non-glacial (warm) climate states. The latest Gzhelian to earliest Asselian interval included (1) the highest peak in fusulinid diversity within the Pennsylvanian-Cisuralian interval (Groves and Lee, 2008; Davydov et al., 2012); (2) a major marine transgression documented in many regions in Northern Pangaea (Davydov, 1984; Davydov et al., 2003; Leven and Gorgji, 2006; Ehrenberg et al., 2000); and (3) the occurrence of fusulinids in many mid-latitude areas including the present record in Timor (Figure 10). The coincidence of these features suggests that a sharp warming episode took place close to the Carboniferous-Permian transition.

The occurrence of an uppermost Gzhelian bioherm in Timor is consistent with the global distribution pattern of reefs at this time as documented by Wahlman (2002). The late Gzhelian-early Asselian in Northern Pangaea was a time of major reef development (Figure 8). Very large buildups have been reported in the upper Gzhelian-lower Asselian in the Urals (Chuvashov, 1984), Canadian Arctic (Beauchamp, 1993), eastern Idaho (Breuninger, 1976), the McCloud Limestone in Panthalassa, California (zone A; Watkins and Wilson, 1989), Barents Sea, Spitsbergen and Bear Island (Lonoy, 1988; Skaug et al., 1982; Samuelsberg et al., 2003; Stemmerik et al., 1994; Elvebak, 2002), Texas and New Mexico (Wahlman, 2002), Carnic Alps (Krainer, 1995), Donets Basin and the Precaspian (Lukin and Galitskiy, 1974; Wahlman, 2002) and Central Asia (Khodjanyazova and Mamet, 2003; and personal data of VID).

During the late Gzhelian warming episode, the taxonomic expression of the foraminiferal families Fusulinida and Schwagerinida was different in the tropics and subtropics. In the western Tethys (Donets Basin, S. Urals, Central Asia, Iran, Carnic Alps) the dominant genera were Dutkevitchia, Rugosofusulina, Ruzhenzevites, Praepseudofusulina, Ultradactina, Likharevites and Rugosochosenella whereas Schellwienia and Schwagerina were relatively rare (Davydov and Kozur, 1997; Davydov, 1986a,b; Forke et al., 1998; Leven and Scherbovich, 1978; Leven and Gorgji, 2006, 2011). These occurrences contrast with those in Timor where Schellwienia and
Schwagerina are dominant and the other genera among Schwagerinids have not been recorded. There were significant differences in the diversity of species and genera within the Fusulinida (except Schubertellidae) and Schwagerinida between the tropics and sub-tropics. For example in the Aidaralash section in the Southern Urals [the GSSP of the Carboniferous-Permian boundary, (Davydov et al., 1998)], which was at about 10º N during the late Gzhelian, 14 genera and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Aidaralash</th>
<th>Spitsbergen</th>
<th>Barents Sea</th>
<th>Timor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>genus</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>genus</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Gzh</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower As</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84 species are present in the upper Gzhelian and 15 genera and 82 species occur in the lower Asselian (Davydov, 1986a; Schmitz and Davydov, 2012). At higher latitudes, approximately 30-35º N, in the northern sub-tropics (Spitsbergen, Timan-Pechora, Barents Sea, Greenland and Canadian Arctic) Schellwienia and Schwagerina dominate in the upper Gzhelian through lower Asselian (Nilsson and Davydov, 1997; Davydov et al., 1999; Konovalova, 1991; Remizova, 1995; Volozhanina, 1963). In the upper Gzhelian of Spitsbergen (data from 8 sections, the Carboniferous-Permian transition characterized with 26 samples) 6 genera and 35 species are recognized (Nilsson and Davydov, 1997) and in coeval strata in the Barents Sea region (data from 6 wells, where the Carboniferous-Permian transition characterized with 29 samples), 5 genera and 36 species are recorded (Nilsson and Davydov, 1997 and personal unpublished data of VID). Similarly in the lower Asselian, there are 7 genera and 41 species in Spitsbergen and 6 genera and 34 species in the Barents Sea successions. In Timor, in the upper Gzhelian we found just 2 genera and 11 species of the larger fusuline foraminifera. Therefore Timor has the lowest diversity of the Fusulinida-Schwagerinida group in the upper Gzhelian compared to the other regions discussed above. This suggests that the climate was temperate-subtropical, similar to that in Northern Pangaea which is consistent with a palaeolatitude of ~40 º S in Li and Powell’s (2001) reconstruction (Fig. 1). Timor may have been close to the southern limits of bioherm development during the latest Gzhelian and near the periphery of the habitat range of larger fusuline foraminifera.

6.3 Correlations with adjacent Gondwanan blocks in South-East Asia

Figure 8. Correlation chart comparing known faunas in Timor, Sarawak, Sumatra and Western Yunnan with the fusulinid zones and regional stages in the Urals.

From Cimmerian blocks that separated the East Gondwana rift system from the Tethyan Ocean, Gzhelian-Asselian glacigenic deposits are known from Lhasa-Baoshan-Shan and Tengchong-Malay-Sumatra blocks (Wopfner, 1999). In these blocks Gzhelian-Asselian fusulinid-bearing limestone are present in Sumatra (Thompson, 1936; Fontaine and Vachard, 1984, 1986), Sarawak (Vachard, 1990) and western Yunnan (Chen Genbao et al., 1991; Jin, 1994; Ueno et al., 2003).

In Sumatra, Thompson (1936) described a small assemblage from Telok Gedang that included two new species. One of the new species, “Schwagerina rutschi”, as noted by Thompson, (1936, p. 589) “…is somewhat intermediate between typical Triticites Girty and typical Schwagerina Moller, but it seems to be more closely related to the type of the latter genus, S. princeps (Ehrenberg), and hence I am referring it to Schwagerina”. This species closely resembles Schwagerina pomposa, but possesses less well-developed septal fluting. The second species, “Pseudoschwagerina” meranginensis Thompson, belongs to Sphaeroschwagerina and is very similar to S. kolvica (Scherbovich) that is found in the lowermost Asselian in the Aidaralash section, southern Urals (Davydov, 1986a, pl. 14, fig 8). Also, this form could be Likharevites esetensis (Davydov), which is characteristic for uppermost Gzhelian in the Urals and Donets Basin (Davydov, 1986a, 1992). The exact taxonomy could be found out only after the additional study of Telok Gedang locality in Sumatra.

The “Asselian” fauna from Sumatra, reported by Fontaine and Vachard (1984, 1986), requires taxonomic revision using oriented sections in order to be confident about its age.

Fontaine (2002) and Hutchinson (2005) both cited the identifications of Cummings (1962) as evidence for Asselian fusulinids in Sarawak, Malaysia. Cummings (1962) identified “Pseudoschwagerina (Zelia) heritschi heritschi”, “Pseudoschwagerina uber”, “Paraschwagerina cf. gigantean” and “Schwagerina sp”. Of these species Schwagerina sp., Pseudoschwagerina sp. and Paraschwagerina cf. gigantea (White) are similar small,
juvenile schwagerinid specimens (about 1-2 mm in diameter) with fluted septae and relatively tight initial volutions. There are over a dozen genera that fit these features and they range from upper Pennsylvanian through Guadalupian. The form that was named as “Paraschwagerina (Zella) cf. heritschi heritschi Kahler and Kahler” is also a very small schwagerinid (less than 1mm long) and does not belong to Zella. It could be a Darvasites, but as it is a juvenile form the precise taxonomy is not possible. The examples of “Nummulostegina cf. velebitana Schubert” identified by Cummings (1962) probably belong to the primitive boultoniid Boultonia ex gr. willsi Lee. Such forms are known to range from uppermost Gzhelian through Kungurian. Thus, none of the forms published by Cummings (1962) suggests a specific Asselian age, but rather a broad latest Carboniferous to Early Permian age.

A biozonation of the Upper Carboniferous to Lower Permian limestone succession in Sarawak was proposed by Vachard (1990). Two assemblages similar to those first reported by Sanderson (1966) were established for the Moscovian. Three zones were proposed in the uppermost Gzhelian to lower Asselian: (1) Dutkevichites Zone, (2) Pseudofusulina-Nodosaria Zone and (3) Occidentoschwagerina Zone, although the taxonomy of some of the key species, and therefore age determinations, may require revision when oriented sections become available. A prominent Dutkevichites Davydov (1984) is known from Central Asia, Carnic Alps and Donets Basin within a very narrow stratigraphic range in the uppermost Gzhelian Ultradaixina boshiyauensis-Schwagerina robusta zone. Its occurrence in Sarawak indicates the presence of the uppermost Gzhelian.

A well documented fauna was described from Changning–Menglian Belt, West Yunnan (Ueno et al., 2003). The Ytz4 assemblage includes Schwagerina aff. robusta (=Schwagerina sphaeroidea by Ueno et al., 2003), Schellwienia klunnikovi (=Triticites noinskiy by Ueno et al., 2003), Ultradaixina (= “Bosbitaella” of Ueno) sp. and Rugosochoerusella pseudogregaria (Bensh), and clearly suggest that Ytz4 zone belongs to the uppermost Gzhelian. It is important to note the significantly higher diversity of the fusulinid assemblage from Yunnan compared with the assemblage from Timor and presence of typical tropical elements such as Ultradaixina.

6.4 Correlations within the East Gondwana Rift System

Figure 9. Correlation chart comparing the Timor succession with units known further south in the East Gondwana rift system. In Timor, the stratigraphy above the Gzhelian is schematic with precise positions of bioherms, bedded limestone and volcanlastic units uncertain. In the Bonaparte, Canning, Southern Carnarvon, and Northern Perth Basins, the ages of the lower parts of the Kulshill Group, Grant Group, Lyons Group, and Nangetty Formation are uncertain but may range down into the Gzhelian (see discussion in 6.4).

Within remnants of the East Gondwana rift system (Fig. 1), coeval Gzhelian and Asselian successions are best known in Western Australian basins (Fig. 7) where they are represented by glacially influenced marine strata. From similar intracratonic basins in southern Gondwana, Gzhelian-Asselian glacigene deposits (including, for example, diamictites) are widespread in Antarctica, southern South America, southern Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, and the Indian subcontinent within the northern Gondwana (Wopfner, 1999; Fielding et al., 2008; Wopfner and Jin, 2009).

The glacially influenced successions found at the base of the Permian in these southern Gondwana intracratonic basins belong to the spore-pollen Pseudoreticulatispora confluens Zone (also called the Granulatisporites confluens Zone or the Converrucosisporites confluens Zone) or to zones that are very close in composition to this oppel zone (Foster and Waterhouse, 1988; Mory and Backhouse, 1997; Backhouse, 1998; Eyles et al., 2002; Archbold et al., 2004; Stephenson, 2009; Mantle et al., 2010). The base and top of the P. confluens Zone are poorly constrained in terms of age. The top of the zone in the subsurface of the Perth Basin lies within the Holmwood Shale (Backhouse, 1998) that in outcrop at one level contains a monospecific ammonoid assemblage of Juresanites jacksoni (Etheridge). This is the lowest ammonoid-bearing horizon in the Permian of the Western Australian basins and has been attributed to the Tastubian (Lower Sakmariam; Glenister et al., 1973). The latter species, however, on the basis of evolutionary development is close similar to Juresanites kazakhorum (Ruzhentsev) that is characteristic for Sterlitamakian in the Urals (Ruzhentsev, 1952; Leonova, 2011). The other ammonoids from middle-upper Holmwood Shale include Metalegoceras kaiy, M. striatum, M. clarkei and Uraloceras irwinense (Skwarko, 1993). Boiko et al. (2008) considered Metalegoceras kaiy being similar with M. gerassimovi from Sterlitamakian in the Urals. They included M. clarkei into new subgenus Atritmetalegoceras that is ranging from late Sakmariam into Artinskian. Most likely entire Holmwood Shale correlates with upper Sakmariam or even lower Atinskian in the Urals. Recent study of upper Sakmariam and Artinskian deposits in Carnic Alps suggests that some “Sakmariam” ammonoids are actually Artinskian in age (Davydov et al., 2013).
Although tentative correlations have been made to the Tastubian and Asselian based on rare components of the marine benthic macrofauna (e.g. Foster and Waterhouse, 1988; Archbold, 2001; Archbold et al., 2004) no definitive biostratigraphic age determinations exist for the base of the *P. confluens zone* in Australian basins. Recent studies elsewhere in Gondwana (see below), suggest that the base of the *P. confluens zone* lies within the Upper Carboniferous. In the Ganigobis Shale Member of the Dwyka Group in Namibia, Stephenson (2009) recorded the presence of the *P. confluens zone* associated with ash beds radiometrically dated as latest Gzhelian or earliest Asselian, although these SHRIMP-based U/Pb dates (Bangert et al., 1999; Stolthofen et al., 2000) may have to be reassessed using more recent higher precision methods and standards. A series of Pennsylvanian high-resolution U-Pb dates from the Paganzo Group in Argentina (Gulbranson et al., 2010) included a 312.82 ± 0.11 Ma age (Moscovian) for the upper shallow-marine part of the Tupe Formation that contains the *P. confluens zone* (Vergel, 2008). As Stephenson (2009) pointed out, the base of the *P. confluens Zone* in Western Australian basins, which marks a huge influx of glacigene sediment, may be within the Late Carboniferous.

Definitive dating of the *P. confluens zone*, and thereby the Early Permian deglaciation succession, in Western Australian basins may depend in future on radiometric dating of ash horizons if these can be located. Volcanic sills are known from the Permian of the Canning Basin (Reecckmann and Mebberson, 1984), with a suggestion that doleritic sills as old as Asselian may be present. This volcanism correlates with that in the uppermost Carboniferous and Permian of Timor.

### 6.5 Implications for deglaciation in East Gondwana rift system

The high-latitude oxygen isotope trend, based on brachiopods and bivalves, established by Korte et al. (2008) for the Australian Permian presents only a Sakmarian and later record. This showed overall warming during the Early Permian until the Artinskian with a sea-surface temperature gradient of 9°-12° C between tropical-subtropical (< 30° S) and high southern latitude (55±10° S) seas that was maintained throughout this period. This compares with a sea-surface temperature gradient of about 22° C in present-day oceans between equivalent latitudes. The Australian Permian measurements of Korte et al. (2008) came from shelf assemblages that inhabited shallow intracratonic seas rather than an open ocean margin and this certainly would influence the sea-surface temperatures. It seems reasonable to assume that during the Gzhelian-Asselian a temperature gradient of perhaps 5° C or more may have been present in the intracratonic shallow seas of the East Gondwana rift system between paleolatitudes of 40° S and 60° S (Fig. 1). Whereas subtropical bioherms may have formed on shallow platforms at around 40° S (as in Timor) in the more open northern parts of the rift system, further south where marine conditions were more restricted, lower sea-surface temperatures may have precluded the presence of biota producing photozoan skeletal assemblages. Warming pulses during deglaciation would be detected by immigrant species with warm-water affinities.

The Gzhelian bioherm found in Timor, in the northern part of the East Gondwana rift system, may be a product of a global warm spike (section 6.2). Conditions within the very shallow intracratonic sea to the south of Timor fluctuated in terms of nutrition, salinity, water depths, and temperature (Haig, 2003, 2004; Dixon and Haig, 2004). The marine stratigraphic record in the more southern basins consists mainly of sandstone/mudstone cycles with isolated beds in the succession containing shelly macrofauna that usually differs in composition from fossiliferous beds above and below. The exception, deposited at the end of the Early Permian deglaciation interval, is the Upper Sakmarian Callytharra Formation and its correlatives where fossiliferous limestone is present at the top of frequent sedimentary cycles (Dixon and Haig, 2004).

Within the basal glacially influenced deposits of the *P. confluens Zone*, shelly macrofaunal assemblages are rare and generally of low diversity (Skwarko, 1993). The deposits of this zone include large thicknesses of mudstone or sandstone alternating with diamictites (Condon, 1967; Eyles and Eyles, 2000; Eyles et al., 2003; Eyles et al., 2006). The stratigraphic succession forming the *P. confluens Zone*, probably of Early Asselian age (and possibly as old as Late Carboniferous; see section 6.4) is taken as representative of deglaciation following a Late Carboniferous glacial maximum.

The sparse marine faunas of the *P. confluens Zone* in the Western Australian basins have been poorly documented in terms of stratigraphic position and assemblage composition. The exception is a surprisingly diverse fauna from the lower Calytrix Member of the Grant Formation in the Canning Basin partly documented by Foster and Waterhouse (1988) and Archbold (1995) and including foraminifera, crinoids, bryozoans, molluscs and brachiopods. The Calytrix Member is a mudstone unit with diamictic/coarse clastic units below and above it. Foster and Waterhouse (1988) concluded that the Calytrix brachiopod fauna was probably a warmer water association than assemblages known at the top of the Grant Formation. The Calytrix Member...
also contains several species of *Tetrataxis*, suggesting warm-water conditions, among the foraminiferal assemblage that is composed mainly of siliceous agglutinated species and *Protonodosaria* (Crespin, 1958; V. Pamieri in an unpublished 1988 report to Western Mining Corporation; Haig, unpublished studies). The precise age of the Calytrix Member is not known, although the age has been interpreted on very limited brachiopod evidence variously as Tastubian or late Asselian (Foster and Waterhouse, 1988; Archbold, 1995; Waterhouse, 2011). The Calytrix fossil assemblage seems to reflect a warm interlude that was part of a deglaciation cycle. Its time relationship to the warm spike evidenced by the latest Gzhelian bioherm in Timor cannot be determined at this stage.

In eastern Australia, Fielding et al. (2008) recognized Carboniferous–Permian glaciations from the occurrence of diamictites and drop stones. In their stratigraphic correlation chart covering many basins from the Cooper Basin in the north to the Sydney Basin in the south (Fielding et al., 2008, fig. 2) a distinct hiatus is shown, in many of the basins, spanning the Kasimovian and Gzhelian. These correlations are extremely tentative because there is no rigorous biostratigraphic control through most of the Pennsylvanian and only a few radiometric dates based on poor resolution SHRIMP zircon age control that requires reassessment with higher resolution techniques. An alternate interpretation on the timing of glaciations could be: (1) recognizing major disconformities as the times of extensive continental ice cover (and consequent lack of sediment deposition) and (2) taking the successions with diamictites and dropstones as representing episodes of deglaciation (possibly initiated by abrupt warming). The hiatus covering the Late Pennsylvanian seems to correlate with an episode of major marine regression recognized by Heckel (2008) in the cyclothem record of mid-continent North America, a sea-level fall possibly due to extensive continental glaciation. At the same time several major and well constrained highstands are now well known within the latest Gzhelian in Mid-Continent (Boardman et al., 2009; Schmitz and Davydov, 2012) (Figure 10).

The Pennsylvanian and Cisuralian stratigraphic succession in Timor is very poorly known. In this very structurally complex setting, the studied bioherm provides a narrow window into the latest Gzhelian-earliest Asselian. The stratigraphic succession below and above the bioherm has yet to be reconstructed and the sedimentation history interpreted. The bioherm indicates temperate subtropical conditions, correlates to a global warm episode, and may be coeval with the lower part of the thick glacially-influenced successions that are present in basins to the south of Timor.

7. Conclusions

A lensoidal limestone succession of the Maubisse Formation near the village of Kulau in the central highlands of Timor Leste is recognized as a bioherm with a massive lower unit, including reef framework at the base, and a bedded grainstone upper unit. The bioherm developed on a basalt substrate in warm shallow water, as indicated by a photozoan assemblage in the massive lower unit. Foraminifera belonging to 17 genera are recorded from the bioherm. These include representatives of biwaellids (*Biwaella*), schubertellids (*Schubertella*), schwagerinids (*Schellwienia* and *Schwagerina*) and staffellids (*Parastaffella, Reitlingerina*), as well as smaller less complex foraminifera. Twenty-one species have been referred to known types and 12 species are left in open nomenclature. The assemblage suggests a latest Gzhelian (and possible earliest Asselian) age.

The Kulau bioherm is significant because it is the oldest unit so far recognized in the Maubisse Formation of Timor, the oldest dated sedimentary unit known from the Outer Banda Arc, and one of the most precisely dated units in the Upper Carboniferous and Lower Permian of the East Gondwana rift system. The locality provides a significant control point, remote from better-known localities, for interpretation of global fusulinid biogeography and climate reconstruction during the latest Carboniferous and earliest Permian. At a palaeolatitude of about 40° S within the more-open reaches of an interior sea that flooded the East Gondwana rift system, subtropical conditions prevailed during the latest Gzhelian (and possibly earliest Asselian) coinciding with a global warm spike as suggested by fusulinid biogeography and reef development. At about the same time, a rapid influx of glacigene sediment (diamictite alternating with mudstone) took place into basins further south in the rift system, suggesting the initiation of rapid melting of continental ice sheets.
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Explanation of figures

Figure 1. Position of Timor within the East Gondwana rift system after the Early Permian rifting (Metcalfe, 2006) of the SIBAMASU / Cimmerian continental terrane along the Meso / Neo Tethyan ocean boundary (modified from Harrowfield et al., 2005). Within the interior-rift system, dash lines indicate positions of rifts during final continental breakup (at 155 Ma in the vicinity of Timor; 136 Ma off southwest Australia; Heine and Müller, 2005). Palaeolatitudes follow Li and Powell (2001).

Figure 2. STRM image of Timor (compiled from NASA US Shuttle Radar Topography Mission images) showing the location of the Kulau study site. The insert map shows Timor as part of the non-volcanic Outer Banda Arc.

Figure 3. Map of Timor showing extent of Gondwana Megasequence (including the Maubisse Formation) and the outcrop at Kulau. Insert image A is an aerial photograph of the Kulau area; image B is an enlarged view showing the extent of the studied bioherm.

Figure 4. Stratigraphic log of the studied bioherm showing position of collected samples listed in Tables 1-3 and referenced in the text.

Figure 5. Massive limestone unit (MLU) comprising the basal massive photozoan sub-unit (MPSU) and overlying massive heterozoan sub-unit (MHSU). A) contact between vesicular basalt (v) and overlying massive limestone (l) of MPSU with a ‘reef’ framework, locality 6. B) framework of aborescent algae? (a) and colonial rugose corals from the MPSU, locality 6. C) large gastropods (g) and coral fragments (c) with a framework of arborescent algae (?) from the MPSU, locality 6. D) branching corallum (c) with large diameter corallites from the MPSU locality 6. E) cliff section of massive limestone unit (MLU) overlain by the bedded grainstone unit (BGU) at locality 4, dashed line indicates contact of MLU with the underlying vesicular basalt. F) massive limestone unit showing contact between bedded grainstone unit (BGU) and the MLU, the latter displays karstic weathering, locality 2. G) base of MLU showing “lens” of limestone (l) within basalt below the main contact with MLU where person is sitting, locality 6.

Figure 6. Bedded grainstone unit (BGU). A) BGU in river, locality 6. B) Enlarged view of outcrop showing bedding pattern comprising more friable laminae to thin beds of mudstone alternating with limestone beds, locality 6. C) Cliff face outcrop showing lower beds of BGU with evidence for lateral variation in limestone bed thicknesses (e.g. black arrow) and symmetrical wave ripple crests (see inset showing area within black box) defined by laminae of mudstone, locality 5. D) BGU showing a limestone dominated interval with thin beds to laminae of friable red mudstone, locality 2. E) Laminae of mudstone within limestone beds of the BGU often define flaser (f), wavy (w) or planar cross-laminated (p) sedimentary structures, locality 2.

Figure 7. Late Gzhelian-early Asselian paleogeography (from Blakey, http://jan.ucc.nau.edu/rcb7/mollglobe.html with slight modifications) showing distribution of buildups; highly diverse tropical fusulinid and less diverse temperate (Schellwienia-Schwagerina dominated) faunas. 1, Texas, New-Mexico and Arizona; 2, North America Great Basin; 3, McCloud; 4, Yukon, Alaska; 5, Canadian Arctic; 6, Spitsbergen and Barents Sea; 7, Timan-Pechora; 8, Russian Platformm and Southern_Urals; 9, Donets Basin; 10, Pre-Caspian; 11, Central_Asia; 12, Carnic_Alps; 13, North_China; 14, South_China; 15, Central Iran, Sanandaj-Sirjan Zone; 16, Yunnan (Sibumasu); 17, Timor

Figure 8. Correlation chart comparing known faunas in Timor, Sarawak, Sumatra and Western Yunnan with the fusulinid zones and regional stages in the Urals.

Figure 9. Correlation chart comparing the Timor succession with units known further south in the East Gondwana rift system. In Timor, the stratigraphy above the Gzhelian is schematic with precise positions of bioherms, bedded limestone and reworked volcanic units uncertain. In the Bonaparte, Canning, Southern Carnarvon, and Northern Perth Basins, the ages of the lower parts of the Kulshill Group, Grant Group, Lyons Group, and Nangetty Formation are uncertain but may range down into the Gzhelian (see discussion in Section 6.4).

Figure 10. Correlation charts of marine beds within the Carboniferous-Permian transition of Cimmerian blocks, Central Asia, Urals and North American Midcontinent with the data on fusulinid biodiversity from Northern Pangaea (Davydov et al., 2012). Note, the highest peak in diversity coincides with the global warming spike documented in Timor and elsewhere and lower diversity correspond with glacial events.
Explanation of Tables

Table 1: Locality co-ordinates and description.
Table 2. Grain constituents in studied samples from the Kulau bioherm.
Table 3: Distribution of foraminifera and algae in the studied samples

Appendix 1:

New observations on the Biangping section, Southern China, relevant to age determination of the Timor fauna

The restudy of the Carboniferous-Permian transition in the Biangping section (Xiao et al., 1986; Davydov, 1995) reveals the internationally accepted Carboniferous–Permian boundary (at the base of the *Sphaeroschwagerina vulgaris* and *Sch. fusiformis* fusulinid zone) within the “Pseudoschwagerina uddeni” zone. Fusulinid assemblages in the boundary deposits in the Biangping section show considerable endemism, hampering correlation. Nevertheless some fusulinid species widespread in the stratotype area in the Southern Urals were found at Biangping (Davydov, 1995), and this section also contains species present in Timor. Because of its significance in correlating the Timor beds, a discussion of the Biangping section is presented below.

Bed 7 in the Biangping section can be correlated with the lower part of the Gzhelian due to the presence of *Rauserites variabilis* Rosovskaya, *R. shikhanensis* Rosovskaya, and rather primitive *Rugosofusulina*. Equivalents of the middle Gzhelian fusulinid *Jigulites jigulensis* zone lying between beds 7 and 13 were not sampled by Davydov (1995). All the other sampled beds in the Biangping section correlate with the Aidaralash section that includes the GSSP of the Carboniferous-Permian boundary at the base of bed 19-5f (Davydov et al., 1998).

The taxonomy of fusulinids from bed 13 at Biangping is difficult with local species dominating. The occurrence of *Schellwienia malkovskyi* and *Schwagerina subrobusta*, however, enables correlation of bed 13 of the Biangping section with beds 10-13 of the Aidaralash section. Based on the occurrence of *Schwagerina gracilis* and *Schw. vozghalensis*, bed 14 of the Biangping section can be approximately correlated with beds 14-15 of the Aidaralash section (Davydov et al., 1992). Bed 16-0 is precisely correlated with beds 16-17 of the Aidaralash section. This is supported by the occurrence of *Ultradaixina cheni* similar to *Ultradaixina bosbytauensis*, occurring in beds 15-16 of the Aidaralash section (Davydov et al., 1992). *Schwagerina bianpingensis*, common in the documented Timor assemblage, also has been found in this bed. At this level in the Aidaralash and Biangping sections the first *Rugosochusenella* occurs. In the Donets Basin and Carnic Alps, the first occurrence of *Boulonia cheni* is recorded in the *Ultradaixina bosbytauensis-Schwagerina robusta* zone (Davydov, 1992; Davydov and Kozur, 1997). *Ultradaixina*, apparently represented by a new species, has been found together with *Likharevites parantitadus auritus* in bed 16-4 at Aidaralash section, S. Urals. The latter subspecies in South Fergana, Uzbekistan characterizes beds directly underlying the Asselian (Popov et al., 1989). *Likharevites parantitadus parantitadus* is known in beds 18-19 in the Aidaralash section (Davydov et al., 1992). The acme of *Likharevites* in the Aidaralash section falls in upper Gzhelian beds 18-19/5 (Davydov et al., 1998). The index for the base of the Asselian, the first appearance of the *Sphaeroschwagerina vulgaris* group, occurs in bed 16-8 in the Biangping section. Species such as *S. kolvica*, *S. ex gr. fusiformis*, and *S. ex gr. sphaerica* are most similar to the corresponding fusulinids from beds 20-21 in the Aidaralash section, i.e. from the very base of the lower Asselian *Sphaeroschwagerina vulgaris aktjybensis-S.fusiformis* zone (Davydov, 1986a). The distributions stated above suggest that the C/P boundary in the Biangping section should be placed between beds 16-4 and 16-8 (Davydov, 1995).

Additional evaluation of the fusulinids from the Biangping section was made during the present study and several species common to Timor and Biangping were found. In particular, *Schellwienia klunnikovi*, has been found in beds 13 through 16-8 in Biangping; *Schellwienia malkovskyi* is present in bed 13; *Schwagerina gracilis* occurs in beds 13 through 16-0; *Schwagerina subrobusta* is in bed 14; *Schwagerina bianpingensis* is present in bed 16-0; and *Schwagerina vozghalensis* ranges from bed 14 through bed 16-4. Thus, these species from the Timor bioherm suggest a correlation to the uppermost Gzhelian in the Biangping section.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UWA Number</th>
<th>Field Number</th>
<th>Locality (Fig. 3)</th>
<th>Latitude (°S)</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>144295</td>
<td>56129</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81604</td>
<td>125.54704</td>
<td>In massive limestone with karstic weathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158342</td>
<td>4/11/11-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 0.5 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158343</td>
<td>4/11/11-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 1.2 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158344</td>
<td>4/11/11-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 2 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158345</td>
<td>4/11/11-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 2.8 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158346</td>
<td>4/11/11-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 3.9 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158347</td>
<td>4/11/11-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 4.6 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158348</td>
<td>4/11/11-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 5.7 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158349</td>
<td>4/11/11-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 7.3 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158350</td>
<td>4/11/11-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 8.4 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158351</td>
<td>4/11/11-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81591</td>
<td>125.54733</td>
<td>Section in bedded limestone on south side of road; 9.4 m above base of bedded section (base of bedded section indicated by co-ordinates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158352</td>
<td>5/11/11-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81627</td>
<td>125.54707</td>
<td>In massive limestone with karstic weathering below the bedded section samples in samples 4/11/11-1 to 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158355</td>
<td>5/11/11-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81604</td>
<td>125.54704</td>
<td>In massive limestone with karstic weathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158356</td>
<td>5/11/11-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81607</td>
<td>125.54698</td>
<td>In massive limestone with karstic weathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158357</td>
<td>5/11/11-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81597</td>
<td>125.54719</td>
<td>In massive limestone with karstic weathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158366</td>
<td>5/11/11-15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81375</td>
<td>125.54888</td>
<td>In River Maun Lari; in lowest part of a massive limestone bioherm (about 5 m thick) lying on vesicular basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158367</td>
<td>5/11/11-16</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.81375</td>
<td>125.54888</td>
<td>In River Maun Lari; in limestone interbed in vesicular basalt about 2m below bioherm sampled in 5/11/11-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSIVE BIOHERM</td>
<td>MNSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rock type</td>
<td>rst rst rst rst</td>
<td></td>
<td>GST: grainstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average grain size</td>
<td>(visual estimate; grn: granule. vcs: very coarse sand)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sorting</td>
<td>poor poor poor poor</td>
<td></td>
<td>(visual estimate; mod: moderate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomitization</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td></td>
<td>(mod: moderate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silicification</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total bioclasts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foraminifera</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubiphytes</td>
<td>29(7)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ooid plates</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brachiopod fragments</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bryozoa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dasycladacean algae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyanobacteria (? Girvanella)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostracods</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastropods</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rugose coral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>echinoid spines</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEDIUM TO THICK BEDDED GRAINSTONE</th>
<th>BGSU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rock type</td>
<td>gst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average grain size</td>
<td>vcs-gn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sorting</td>
<td>poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dolomitization</td>
<td>poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silicification</td>
<td>poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total bioclasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foraminifera</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubiphytes</td>
<td>29(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ooid plates</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brachiopod fragments</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bryozoa</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dasycladacean algae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyanobacteria (? Girvanella)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostracods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastropods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rugose coral</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>echinoid spines</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon name</td>
<td>Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solenopora sp. algae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epimastopora sp. algae</td>
<td>x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epimastoporella sp. algae</td>
<td>x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchicodium sp. algae</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girvanella sp. algae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotaxis sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrataxis sp.</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcivertella sp.?infulaeformis</td>
<td>Ganelina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eostaffella ?infulaeformis</td>
<td>Maslo and Vachard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eostaffella mutabilis</td>
<td>Rauser 1951 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eostaffella lepida</td>
<td>Grozdilova 1950 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eostaffella kashirica rhomboi</td>
<td>Rauser 1951 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millerella</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reitlingerina timanica</td>
<td>(Rauser) 1951 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reitlingerina sp.</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parastaffella mathildae</td>
<td>(Dutkevich) 1934 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globovalvula sp.</td>
<td>x x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeotextularia sp.</td>
<td>x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monogenerina sp.</td>
<td>x x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climacammina sp.</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deckera sp.</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubertella kingi</td>
<td>Dunbar and Skinner 1937 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubertella mjachkovensis</td>
<td>Rauser 1951 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubertina sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biwaella shiroshiensis</td>
<td>(Morikawa and Kobaya: 1963 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biwaella poletaevi</td>
<td>Davydov 2011 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biwaella zhikalychak</td>
<td>Davydov 2011 x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellwienia modesta</td>
<td>(Sjomina) 1971 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina gracilis</td>
<td>(Sjomina) 1971 x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina vozhgalensis</td>
<td>Rauser 1958 x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina subrobusa</td>
<td>Davydov 1986 x x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellwienia klunniko</td>
<td>Davydov 1986 x x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellwienia malkovskyi</td>
<td>Ketat 1971 x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schellwienia porrecta</td>
<td>(Sjomina) 1971 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina pomposa</td>
<td>(Sjomina) 1961 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina bianpingensis</td>
<td>(Zhang and Dong) 1986 x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina timorensis</td>
<td>sp. nov. x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwagerina maubisensis</td>
<td>sp. nov. x x x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Position of Timor within the East Gondwana rift system (modified from Harrowfield et al., 2005). Within the interior-rift system, dash lines indicate positions of rifts during final continental breakup (at 155 Ma in the vicinity of Timor; 136 Ma off southwest Australia; Heine and Müller, 2005). Rifting along the Meso-Tethyan ocean boundary started during the Early Permian (Metcalfe, 2006). Paleolatitudes follow Li and Powell (2001).
Figure 2. STRM image of Timor (compiled from NASA US Shuttle Radar Topography Mission images) showing the location of the Kulau study site. The insert map shows Timor as part of the non-volcanic Outer Banda Arc.
Figure 3. Map of Timor showing extent of Gondwana Megasequence (including the Maubisse Group). Insert image A is an aerial photograph of the Kulau area; image B is an enlarged view showing the extent of the studied bioherm.
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Figure 4. Stratigraphic log of the studied bioherm.
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siliciclastic mudstone
vesicular basalt
larger fusulines
solitary rugose coral
colonial rugose coral
arborescent calcareous algae?
tubiphytes
dasyycladacean algae
large gastropods
smaller foraminifera
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Figure 5. Massive limestone unit (MLU). A, contact between vesicular basalt and overlying reef-framework limestone (MPSU), locality 6. B, lowest part of MLU, showing framework of aborescent algae(?) and colonial rugose corals (MPSU), locality 6. C, lower part of MLU showing large gastropods and coral fragments with a framework of aborescent algae(?) (MPSU), locality 6. D, lower part of MLU showing branching corallum with large diameter corallites (MPSU), locality 6. E, cliff section at locality 4 with massive limestone unit (MLU) overlain by bedded grainstone unit (BGU). F, massive limestone unit at locality 2 showing karstic weathering. G, base of massive limestone unit at locality 6 showing “lens” of limestone within basalt below the main contact with MLU where person is sitting.
Figure 6. Bedded grainstone unit (BGU). A, BGU in river at locality 6. B, Enlarged view of outcrop at locality 6 showing bedding pattern. C, Cliff face outcrop at locality 5 showing lower beds of BGU. D, BGU at locality 2 showing interbedded friable red mudstone.
Figure 7. Correlation chart comparing the Timor succession with units known further south in the East Gondwana rift system. In Timor, the stratigraphy above the Gzhelian is schematic with precise positions of bioherms, bedded limestone and volcaniclastic units uncertain. In the Bonaparte, Canning, Southern Carnarvon, and Northern Perth Basins, the ages of the lower parts of the Kulshill Group, Grant Group, Lyons Group, and Nangetty Formation are uncertain but may range down into the Gzhelian (see discussion in 6.3)
### EAST GONDWANAN RIFT SYSTEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME INTERVAL</th>
<th>Timor</th>
<th>Bonaparte Basin</th>
<th>Canning Basin</th>
<th>Southern Carnarvon Basin</th>
<th>Northern Perth Basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERMIAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artinskian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakmarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asselian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gzhelian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasimovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moscovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashkirian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpukhovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARBONIFEROUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Volcanic** predominantly basaltic
- **Volcaniclastic conglomerate & sandstone**
- **Siliciclastic sandstone with common diamictite**
- **Siliciclastic sandstone**
- **Siliciclastic mudstone**
- **‘Shallow’ neritic limestone**
- **‘Deep’ neritic limestone**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME INTERVAL</th>
<th>Timor</th>
<th>Bonaparte Basin</th>
<th>Canning Basin</th>
<th>Southern Carnarvon Basin</th>
<th>Northern Perth Basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERMIAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artinskian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakmarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asselian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gzhelian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasimovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moscovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashkirian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpukhovian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARBONIFEROUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Volcanic** predominantly basaltic
- **Volcaniclastic conglomerate & sandstone**
- **Siliciclastic sandstone with common diamictite**
- **Siliciclastic sandstone**
- **Siliciclastic mudstone**
- **‘Shallow’ neritic limestone**
- **‘Deep’ neritic limestone**

Poorly known and imprecisely dated sandstone units encountered in a few subsurface bores and wells.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Stage</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Northern</th>
<th>Neotethys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sakmarian</td>
<td>Sterlitamakian</td>
<td>Tastubian</td>
<td>Asselian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals Regional Stage</td>
<td>Urals fusulinid Zonation</td>
<td>W. Yunnan Formation</td>
<td>Sumatra Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterlitamakian</td>
<td>Pseudofusulina urdalensis</td>
<td>Ueno et al., 2003</td>
<td>Thompson, 1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tastubian</td>
<td>Schwagerina verneuilli</td>
<td>Ytz6 (part)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asselian</td>
<td>Shikhanian</td>
<td>Sphaeroschwagerina sphaerica gigas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uskalykian</td>
<td>Pseudoschwagerina robusta</td>
<td>Ytz5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sjuranian</td>
<td>Sphaeroschwagerina sphaerica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melekhovian</td>
<td>Ultradixina postgallowayi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noginian</td>
<td>Schellwienia malkovskyi Daixina sokensis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>