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Abstract

The effects of crystallite size, surface structure, and dopants on the magnetic properties of semiconducting oxides are highly controversial. In this work, Fe:SnO₂ nanoparticles were prepared by four wet-chemical methods, with Fe concentration varying from 0-20%. Samples were characterized by x-ray diffraction (XRD), x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). Analysis confirmed pure single-phase cassiterite with a crystallite size of 2.6 ± 0.1 nm that decreased with increasing Fe%. Fe concentration was confirmed from XPS studies, with Fe ions in the 3+ oxidation state. Pure SnO₂ showed highly reproducible weak magnetization that varied significantly with synthesis method. Interestingly, doping SnO₂ with Fe<2.5% produced enhanced magnetic moments in all syntheses; the maximum of 1.6x10⁻⁴µB/Fe ion at 0.1% Fe doping was much larger than the 2.6x10⁻⁶µB/Fe ion of pure Fe oxide nanoparticles synthesized under similar conditions. At Fe≥2.5%, the magnetic moment was significantly reduced. This work shows that (i) pure SnO₂ can produce an intrinsic ferromagnetic behavior that varies with differences in surface structure, (ii) very low Fe doping results in high magnetic moments, (iii) higher Fe doping reduces magnetic moment and destroys ferromagnetism, and (iv) there is an interesting correlation between changes in magnetic moment, band gap, and lattice parameters. These results support the possibility that the observed ferromagnetism in SnO₂ might be influenced by modification of the electronic structure by dopant, size, and surface structure.

Introduction:

Nanoscale Tin oxide (SnO₂) is a promising candidate for multiple applications, including optoelectronics, photocatalysis, gas detection, and spintronics. SnO₂ has been extensively researched as a dilute magnetic semiconductor since Dietl predicted room temperature ferromagnetism (RTFM) in Mn-doped ZnO, and several theoretical models propose to explain observations of RTFM in SnO₂. Recent computational work predicts RTFM in SnO₂ due to nitrogen substitution, surface carbon, or non-magnetic dopants. Raman et al. proposed RTFM due to tin vacancies, but V₉₅ is not considered thermodynamically favorable. Existing literature includes conflicting reports about RTFM in undoped nanoparticles (NPs) and its dependence on transition metal doping concentration and crystallite size. A recent report on RTFM in pure ZnO NPs capped with organic ligands highlighted the importance of surface structure. For these reasons, we have prepared an extensive set of high quality ~2.5nm Sn₁₋ₓFeₓO₂ NPs, using a variety of methods to study the impact of varying precursors, synthesis methods, surface structure, and Fe doping on the magnetic properties.

Experimental:

Four different pure SnO₂ synthesis methods were adapted for Fe doping. In the first preparation, denoted SnO₂-I, Tin (IV) acetate (Sn(C₂H₄OH)₄), Iron (II) acetate (Fe(C₂H₄OH)₂), and Urea (C₂O₂(NH₃)₂) were used as precursor materials for synthesis. In the second preparation, denoted SnO₂-II, precursors were Sodium stannate (NaSnO₃·3H₂O), Iron (II) acetate, and Urea. In the third preparation, denoted SnO₂-III, precursors were Tin (IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O), Iron (III) chloride (FeCl₃), and Urea. In the fourth preparation, denoted SnO₂-IV, precursors were Tin (IV) acetate and Iron (II) acetate. Additionally, a pure iron oxide sample was prepared from the respective Iron (II) precursor in each reaction system. All syntheses were carried out at 90 °C in nanopure water for 90 minutes, with the exception of SnO₂-IV, which was synthesized in benzyl alcohol at a temperature of 100 °C.
All samples were removed from solution by centrifuging at 21000 rpm, after which the samples were dried in an oven at 50 °C. Detailed characterization studies using XRD, TEM, XPS, VSM and UV-vis photospectrometry were carried out following procedures described previously. Pure undoped samples were prepared in each method as well as Fe-doped Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2 with x=0.01, 0.05, and 0.10. After initial magnetic characterization, SnO_2-II was chosen for additional detailed doping studies with x= 0.001, 0.005, 0.025, 0.075, 0.15, and 0.20. Hereafter Fe content will be referred to as atomic % (% = 100*x in Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2).

**Results and Discussion:**

Representative XRD patterns are shown in Fig. 1a. Crystallite size and lattice parameters were obtained by simultaneous fitting (Fig. 1b) and applying the Scherrer equation. XRD shows pure single-phase cassiterite SnO_2 that gradually decreases in crystallite size with increasing Fe% (Fig. 1c) from 2.6 ± 0.1 nm at 0% Fe to 2.3 ± 0.1 nm at 20%Fe, similar to previous studies of transition metal doped oxides. William-Hall analysis shows an increase in lattice strain with increasing Fe%, indicative of the structural changes that Fe doping introduces. Lattice parameter c and lattice volume V decreased rapidly for Fe doping <2.5% and moderately for Fe ≥2.5% (Fig. 1d and 1e). This result suggests that Fe^3+ (r = 132pm, assuming low-spin) might be substituting for Sn^4+ (r = 139pm) in the crystal lattice, causing a slight contraction of c because of the smaller cationic radius of Fe, while lattice parameter a expands due to charge-compensating oxygen vacancies. This behavior is similar to that studied for several other transition metal dopants in SnO_2. At ≥2.5%, additional interstitial incorporation of Fe ions and/or other processes might be responsible for the observed slowdown in the lattice contraction. A pure iron oxide sample (prepared identically to SnO_2-II but without Tin acetate) had extremely small, poorly crystallized particulates that generally matched reported peak positions for 2nm defective ferrihydrite (5Fe_2O_3*H_2O)

In XPS analysis the Fe3p signal was used instead of Fe2p (which is overlapped by Sn3p). The Fe3p signal increased with increasing Fe% (Fig 2a). Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2-II had a Fe peak at 56.1 eV, which is higher than the reported values of metallic Fe (53 eV) and common Fe oxides including Fe_2O_3 (53.9 eV), FeO (54.9 eV), and Fe_3O_4 (55.4 eV). Spin-orbit splitting in the Fe2p region is 13.5 eV, which gives an oxidation state of Fe^3+ (not shown). The similarly-prepared pure Fe oxide had a Fe peak at 55.6 eV, 0.5eV lower than that in Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2-II and closest to reported values for Fe_2O_3. This chemical state information indicates that Fe in our Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2 is not present as a common iron oxide. Determining Fe% from XPS data was complicated by the proximity of the Fe and Sn peaks, with measured concentrations slightly lower than nominal; since XPS is extremely surface-sensitive, the small difference from nominal concentration could indicate a tendency of Fe ions to concentrate within the center of the particles. No transition metal impurities were found by XPS, despite a detailed high-resolution scan of each sample.

Optical bandgap measurements (Fig. 2b) show significant modification of the electronic structure of both pure and Fe-doped SnO_2 NPs. All samples show a marked blue shift from the bulk bandgap (E_g) of 3.6 eV, while Fe doping redshifts E_g slightly (Fig. 2c). The pure Fe oxide sample shows increased absorbance in the region 200-500 nm that has also been observed for Fe in other systems. In the doped samples, increased Fe% resulted in increased absorbance in the region 320-500 nm that has been attributed to oxygen vacancies. The inset (Fig 2c) shows a decrease in E_g with Fe≤2.5% in all synthesis methods, whereas obvious differences between methods appeared at higher Fe%. These results differ from expectations of decreasing E_g with decreasing particle size and/or lattice parameters: this unconventional behavior could be a result of Fe doping (substitutional and interstitial), charge-compensating oxygen vacancies, very small crystallite size (<3nm) and/or structural disorder caused by these effects. Further experiments and modeling are planned to elucidate the electronic structure.

Magnetic measurements show a clear downward trend in magnetic moment per Fe ion (calculated with nominal Fe% and XRD unit cell volume) with increasing Fe% (Fig 3a) in all synthesis methods. Magnetization of Fe doped Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2-II follows a left-asymmetric curve with a maximum of 2.6x10^{-3} emu/g at 1.0%Fe, and a minimum of 3.3x10^{-4} emu/g at 20% Fe (not shown). The highest magnetic moment was observed for Sn_{0.99}Fe_{0.01}O_2-II at 1.6x10^{-4} \mu_B/Fe ion, and the lowest observed for Sn_{0.89}Fe_{0.11}O_2-II at 4.4x10^{-7} \mu_B/Fe ion. Pure SnO_2 samples (shown in Figure 3b) show variable magnetic saturation in different synthesis methods. Averaged over triplicate samples (to confirm reproductibility), these were: 0.72±0.01 memu/g (SnO_2-IV), 0.47±0.01 memu/g (I), 0.30±0.01 memu/g (II), and 0.04±0.03 memu/g (III). No SnO_2 – III samples showed ferromagnetic hysteresis, but all other methods
demonstrate a small hysteresis with a coercive field of about 75G in all samples and remnant magnetization of about 10% of saturation (Figure 3c).

A common criticism about the magnetism of doped nanoparticles is the possibility of secondary phase formation by dopant atoms and this is difficult to rule out completely in most cases. However, a similarly prepared pure iron oxide sample (identified by XRD as ferrihydrite) was analyzed by VSM and found to have a susceptibility of 2.85x10^{-6} emu/g*G and a magnetic saturation of 21.77 emu/g in good agreement with those previously reported for ferrihydrite 31,32 which allows for the exclusion of maghemite or magnetite phases. Additionally, we calculate a magnetic moment (using ferrihydrite structure 33) of only 2.6x10^{-6} \mu_B/Fe ion in the pure iron oxide sample, 2 orders of magnitude less than the maximum observed moment in Sn_{0.999}Fe_{0.001}O_2-II, and very small compared to the calculated (experimental) magnetic moments of 5.92 (5.6-6.1)\mu_B and 1.73 (1.8-2.1)\mu_B for high spin (S=5/2) and low spin (S=1/2) Fe^{3+} ions respectively in octahedral complexes reported in the literature. 34 Surface spin frustration or other effects may diminish the effective moment, but these results still demonstrate by comparison to a pure iron oxide that the enhanced magnetism in low Fe % samples is unlikely to be due to the presence of secondary phases.

The high magnetic moment per Fe ions observed at low Fe doping levels and its reduction to very low values at high Fe doping concentrations are unexpected and do not follow the expectations from models based on magnetic exchange. Our data shows that the lattice parameters, band gap and magnetic moment all show rapid increase below ~2.5 Fe%. This common behavior indicates that the observed magnetic behavior might have resulted from changes in the band structure of SnO_2 due to mild Fe doping. At the ultrasmall size studied, ~60% or more atoms in the NP are at the surface, and dominate the material properties. Changes in surface molecules or functional groups could modify the behavior of the surface atoms and the properties in general, including the novel magnetic behavior.

Conclusions:

Sn_{1-x}Fe_xO_2 NPs were prepared by four different methods, varying Fe% from 0-20%. Sample characterization showed highly pure, crystalline, ~2.5nm single-phase cassiterite SnO_2. Pure SnO_2 NPs showed unexpected, highly reproducible weak magnetization varying significantly with synthesis method. Data also indicate that doping with Fe<2.5% was substitutional, with the dopant primarily in the Fe^{3+} state; the magnetic moments in all samples with a moment per ion much greater than that of similarly prepared pure iron oxide. The enhanced moment was reduced to a value similar to pure SnO_2 NPs for Fe≥2.5%. Optical bandgap measurements demonstrated significant modification of the electronic structure by both ultrasmall particle size and Fe doping. Further characterization work is in progress, employing Electron Paramagnetic Resonance and Mossbauer Spectroscopy to conclusively determine the Fe state(s) and the mechanism(s) of the ferromagnetic enhancement. This work shows that (i) pure SnO_2 NPs may produce an intrinsic ferromagnetic behavior that varies with surface structure, (ii) very low Fe doping can enhance magnetic moments, and (iii) higher Fe doping destroys the observed ferromagnetism. These results support the possibility that the magnetic properties of SnO_2 NPs results from modification of the electronic structure by dopant, size, and surface structure.
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Figure 1. (Color online) XRD patterns for Fe$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$-II (a), an example simultaneous gaussian fit of 0.1% FeSnO$_2$-II XRD data (b), and the variation of crystallite size (c), lattice parameters (d) and cell volume (e) with Fe%. Error bars represent triplicate trials, while the lines are a guide for the eye.
Figure 2. (Color online) XPS high-res scan of the Fe3p peak shows Fe doping in Fe$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$-II (concentrations are nominal, not measured) (a), UV-Vis photospectrometry shows shifting of absorption edge with Fe% (b) and calculated bandgap variation (c). Error bars represent triplicate trials, while the lines are a guide for the eye.

Figure 3. (Color online) Room temperature VSM measurements give the magnetic moment variation by synthesis method and Fe% (a), representative M vs H plots for undoped samples in all synthesis methods (b), and their low-field regions (c). Error bars represent triplicate trials, while the lines are a guide for the eye.