

Boise State University

ScholarWorks

Human-Environment Systems Research Center
Faculty Publications and Presentations

Human-Environment Systems Research Center

11-2019

The American West as a Social-Ecological Region: Drivers, Dynamics and Implications for Nested Social-Ecological Systems

Jodi Brandt

Boise State University, jodibrandt@boisestate.edu

Matthew A. Williamson

Boise State University, mattwilliamson@boisestate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.boisestate.edu/hes_facpubs



Part of the [Environmental Studies Commons](#), and the [Human Ecology Commons](#)

Publication Information

Brandt, Jodi and Williamson, Matthew A., "The American West as a Social-Ecological Region: Drivers, Dynamics and Implications for Nested Social-Ecological Systems" (2019). *Human-Environment Systems Research Center Faculty Publications and Presentations*. 38.

https://scholarworks.boisestate.edu/hes_facpubs/38

For a complete list of authors, please see article.

Environmental Research Letters



LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
7 June 2019

REVISED
30 August 2019

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
17 September 2019

PUBLISHED
6 November 2019

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



The American West as a social-ecological region: drivers, dynamics and implications for nested social-ecological systems

Kristal Jones^{1,2,13} , Jesse Abrams³ , R Travis Belote⁴ , Bray J Beltrán⁵, Jodi Brandt⁶ , Neil Carter⁷ , Antonio J Castro^{8,9} , Brian C Chaffin¹⁰ , Alexander L Metcalf¹⁰ , Gabrielle Roesch-McNally¹¹ , Kenneth E Wallen¹²  and Matthew A Williamson^{1,6} 

¹ Center for Large Landscape Conservation, United States of America

² JG Research and Evaluation, United States of America

³ University of Georgia, United States of America

⁴ The Wilderness Society, United States of America

⁵ Heart of the Rockies Initiative, United States of America

⁶ Boise State University, United States of America

⁷ University of Michigan, United States of America

⁸ Universidad de Almería, Spain

⁹ Idaho State University, United States of America

¹⁰ W A Franke College of Forestry & Conservation, University of Montana, United States of America

¹¹ American Farmland Trust, United States of America

¹² University of Idaho, United States of America

¹³ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: kristal@jgresearch.org

Keywords: social-ecological region, social-ecological system, American West

Supplementary material for this article is available [online](#)

Abstract

The American West exists in the popular imagination as a distinct region, and policies and politics often suggest that both the challenges and the opportunities for land management and human well-being across the region are relatively homogeneous. In this paper, we argue that there *are* key characteristics that define the West as a social-ecological region, and also that there are myriad social-ecological systems (SEs) within the region that require diverse and dynamic approaches to managing change over time. We first conceptualize aridity, topography, and a unique political economy of land as exogenous factors that persist over time and space to define the American West as a contiguous social-ecological region. We then identify a second set of characteristics that show high degrees of variation across SEs within the American West. Finally, we operationalize the relationships between regional characteristics and local dynamics through a set of case studies that exemplify specific types of SEs in the region. The results of these empirical representations of the regional and intra-regional social-ecological dynamics of the contemporary American West highlight the implications for research and management of taking a cross-scale integrated approach to address pressing social-ecological opportunities and challenges in complex adaptive systems.

1. Introduction

There are few regions as evocative and symbolically powerful as the American West (hereafter, ‘the West’). As the setting to countless cinematic morality tales and home to some of the world’s most recognizable dryland and alpine landscapes, the West possesses a strong and enduring regional identity (Keske *et al* 2017). The West is also diverse, and includes rural ranching communities in grassland ecosystems,

high-tech micro-urban centers in Rocky Mountain valley bottoms, extraction-dominated landscapes across the high desert, Tribal nations managing natural resources within and across sovereign borders, myriad outdoor recreation destinations from alpine to riverine, and many other centers of human–environment interactions. Contemporary popular imagination has at times contrasted an ‘old west’ identity, focused on natural resource extraction, with a ‘new west,’ one of amenity destinations, recreational economies and

increasing urbanization (Otterstrom and Shumway 2003, Winkler *et al* 2007). The reality, of course, is more complicated; characteristics that define the region emphasize a strong link to social-ecological legacies as well as reveal the impact of contemporary environmental and demographic change (Limerick 1987, Smith and Krannich 2000, Robbins *et al* 2009).

There is a substantial body of human–environment research embedded within the often-taken-for-granted bounds of the West, historically thought of as lands west of the 100th meridian (Wilkinson 1993, Seager *et al* 2018; for a few research examples, see Brick *et al* 2001, Robbins *et al* 2009, Altaweel *et al* 2015). Various prior research efforts that have attempted to define the West as a distinct region have focused on factors such as water appropriation law, lack of demographic diversity, rurality, or presence of iconic animal species (Nugent 1992, Berry *et al* 2000, Robbins *et al* 2009, McKinney and Thorson 2015). Despite these efforts, there has been relatively little effort to analytically demarcate and define the West as a region with consistent social and ecological characteristics, organized by a common set of system variables, and marked by diverse sets of nested dynamics. The potential of such an approach *is worth considering*. As Walker (2003: 7) notes when suggesting a regional political ecology of the West, ‘regional approaches can retain the greatest strengths of (interdisciplinary scholarship) in revealing the importance of local-scale social dynamics while situating these dynamics within broader scales of regional (and global) processes.’ In the context of coupled social–ecological systems (SES) science (e.g. Liu *et al* 2007, Ostrom 2009, Martín-López *et al* 2017), clearly defining geographic regions can provide researchers and managers with a common framework from which to analyze, compare and learn from the relationships among nested systems responding to similar challenges and potential impacts of global environmental change (for one example, see Ellis and Ramankutty 2008).

The purpose of this paper is to analytically define and visualize the West as a social-ecological region, and to highlight the variation that characterizes the SESs nested within the region. Based on expert discussion held during a May 2018 workshop in Boise, Idaho (HES 2018), as well as past scholarly work on the drivers of diverse SESs and their challenges within the region, we define and delineate the region using a common set of characteristics that show strong continuities over space and time, and serve as consistent exogenous (to any specific SES within the region) drivers of SES characteristics and dynamics. We then identify several fast variables (those that change quickly over space and time) that demonstrate wide variation within the region, and contribute to system dynamics across SESs in the West. The variables and specific systems analyzed in this paper are not exhaustive, but they provide empirical evidence and examples that highlight the multi-scalar nature of SES


dynamics in the West as a region (for a similar community-scale example, see Altaweel *et al* 2015). To further our definition and operationalization of the West, we present four vignettes (with full case studies in supplementary material is available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/14/115008/mmedia) that demonstrate the interplay between the regional variables exogenous to a given SES and the fast variables that are emblematic of characteristics of the specific SESs. With an empirically based definition of the West as a social-ecological region, the analytical synthesis and research agenda we present is driven by an interest in advancing the conceptualization and investigation of SES dynamics within the West, particularly as regional political and climate pressures (among many others) have the potential to dominate discourse about management and decision-making (Ragsdale 2016, Schoenagel *et al* 2017).

2. Social-ecological characteristics of the American West

2.1. Conceptualizing the West as a social-ecological region with nested SESs

The concept of SESs is not new generally (Marsh 1864), nor to research on the American West specifically (for one example, see Ingram 1990). In the past two decades, however, there has been an upswell of scholarship aimed at building a more explicit recognition of the linkages between social and ecological systems both conceptually and empirically as a means of establishing a common framework from which to work toward global sustainability goals (Folke *et al* 2005, Ostrom 2009, Fischer *et al* 2015). Despite the increase in SES analyses, spatially explicit approaches for delineating, characterizing and analyzing SESs are still relatively rare (for a few examples, see Ellis and Ramankutty 2008, Martín-López *et al* 2017). Here we attempt to address to this gap, by characterizing the West as a social-ecological region, thereby providing a consistent place from which to further analyze and compare the intra- and inter-system dynamics of SESs nested within the region. In this conceptualization, there are many specific SESs nested within a region organized by a critical set of variables and influenced by cross-scale interactions (including with forces operating at much larger scales, like global climate variability and economic structures) (Gunderson and Holling 2002, Walker and Salt 2006).

To operationalize the West as a social-ecological region, we draw on definitions from Chapin *et al* (2009) to define three types parameters that characterize SES dynamics over space and time: exogenous factors, slow variables, and fast variables. Exogenous factors are those that ‘remain relatively constant over long time periods and across broad regions and are not strongly influenced by short-term, small-scale dynamics’ (Chapin *et al* 2009: 5). Exogenous factors, when taken together, set the parameters for a




social-ecological region and provide a foundation for understanding dynamics within varying types of embedded SESs (Metzger *et al* 2010, Leslie *et al* 2015). Fast variables refer to aspects of SESs that change rapidly over space or time, and generally show much greater variation or instability than do slow variables. Major changes in fast variables can also be thought of as internal perturbations within an SES, which have as much potential to alter the state of the system as do external perturbations (e.g. unanticipated climate disturbances). Slow variables are those that remain relatively constant over years to decades, (often what are referred to in ecology as ‘state’ variables), and we thus engage with slow variables only to describe consistent characteristics of specific SESs.

We conceptualize the West as a social-ecological region defined principally by three key exogenous factors that differentiate it from other regions of the United States: aridity, topography, and a unique political economy of land ownership, tenure and management (figure 1). We also highlight several fast variables that are associated with contemporary SES dynamics within the West, including changes in population density, economic activity, and natural hazards such as wildfire and drought. Figure 1 presents a conceptual visualization of the nested nature of social-ecological regions and SESs, and their multi-scalar dynamics. In addition to the regional exogenous factors that drive SES dynamics in the West, we recognize that there are extra-regional, macro-scale drivers of change (e.g. climatic change, global markets) that influence the West in specific ways and that interact with the exogenous factors and fast and slow variables. We define and discuss these macro-scale drivers throughout the rest of this paper as appropriate and highlight how they

condition the characteristics of both the region and the specific SESs nested within the West.

2.2. Defining the West as a social-ecological region

Using publicly available data, we identify indicators of each exogenous variable that we hypothesize defines the West, and then employ cluster analysis to explore the co-occurrence of average ‘levels’ of each variable. We are testing the hypothesis that the West as it is understood in the popular imagination is in fact a region that can be characterized as more arid, more topographically complex, and with more public land than other regions of the country. As an indicator of aridity, we use climate water balance data (Dobrowski *et al* 2013) and a measure of water deficit (in mm). As an indicator of topography, we use WorldClim Global Climate Data V1 (Hijmans *et al* 2005), and refactor the elevation data to create an index of topographic complexity, calculated the range of elevation in a moving window around each gridcell and transformed that range into a 0–1 index (with values approaching 0 indicating more complexity or variation). Finally, as an indicator of the political economy of land, we use a measure of the proportion of land per county that is owned by the federal government using the Gap Analysis Program Protected Areas Database v 10.3 (Gergeley and McKerrow 2013). The political economy of land tenure and management in the West includes federal policies that reserved large expanses of surface and subsurface resources for state ownership and management, and privileged a particular set of beneficiaries while dispossessing Native communities of their land and associated resources (White 1991, Wilkinson 1993, Robbins 1999, Gaido 2002, Hixson 2013, Bonds and Inwood 2016). Political economy




cannot be measured directly, and so we use the proxy of federal land ownership to represent the ‘footprint’ of this legacy on the West (Spence 1999, Vincent *et al* 2017).

At the top of figure 2 we present the distribution of each of the three variables separately, as they are originally measured. We then refactor those measures to the county level to conduct cluster analysis. Cluster analysis was performed using the k-means algorithm, since all three variables are continuous measures. We used multiple fit statistics to guide our decision about the best-fitting number of clusters (Martín-López *et al* 2017). We chose to interpret three clusters based on average silhouette method, which is a measure of cohesion across all three variables among cases (counties) within each cluster. Average silhouette width of the three-cluster solution presented here is roughly 0.57, which is generally considered a reasonable fit (for an overview of cluster analysis methods, see Chatfield and Collins 2018). Details of multiple fit statistics, cluster averages and boxplots to show the distribution of each variable within each cluster are provided in the supplementary material. All analysis was conducted in R (R Core Team 2019) using the cluster (R version 2.0.9) (Maechler *et al* 2019) and factoextra (R version 1.0.5) (Kassambara and Mundt 2017) packages. Map visualizations were done in ArcGIS Desktop (version 10.7) software.

Figure 2 shows the distribution by county of the three cluster classes that operationalize the three key exogenous variables which, we hypothesize, together define the American West. The red counties (Cluster ID 3) are those with high aridity, high topographic complexity, and a large proportion of federally owned land. As can be seen from the map, this cluster of counties largely aligns with both popular conceptions and past research that defines the West as a contiguous geographic region that lies west of the 100th meridian. However, there are also counties that have more water than is the norm (Cluster ID 2)—largely those areas along the Pacific Coast—and counties having more water, less topography and less federal land (Cluster ID 1). The results in figure 2 also demonstrate that there are places elsewhere in the United States characterized by the presence of high topographic relief, high aridity and high proportions of federal ownership (Cluster ID 3), largely in Appalachia and areas around the Great Lakes. What makes the West a region then, is a set of exogenous variables that co-vary across a large contiguous area—a social-ecological region.

2.3. Defining variation and commonality in SES dynamics within the West

Within the West, as defined analytically and presented visually in figure 2, there are many fast variables that



create highly heterogeneous conditions across the region and are conditioned by the exogenous factors identified above. In this section, we explore and describe variation social and ecological variables reflective of dominant narratives about the new and old West, and the natural hazards pressures facing the region (Otterstrom and Shumway 2003, Winkler *et al* 2007, Schoenagel *et al* 2017, Anderson *et al* 2018). We select two social and two ecological variables: population change over the past eight years (2010–2017 US Census Bureau 2017), dominant economic activity as of 2015 (ERS 2017), large fire activity from 1984–2016 (USGS and USFS 2018), and total number of weeks in which drought occurred from January 2015 to January 2019 (NDMC, USDA and NOAA 2019). Population change, economic activity and drought data are at the county level, whereas data on large fire activity are polygons representing the perimeters of the fire.

The maps in figure 3 demonstrate that fast variables vary within and across the West (maps include a mask that highlights areas that fall in the West as defined in the cluster analysis and map in figure 2). The maps in figure 3 show that on the ecological side, drought is a consistent characteristic of the region, with most of the region experiencing drought for almost half of the total period measured. Fire activity is more variable, with a much higher density and total area of fire sites in the northern Rocky Mountains and the extreme southwest. On the social side, figure 3

shows pockets of relatively high population gain, a few specific places of high population loss, and many counties with moderate gain or loss. Importantly, population gain and loss occurs in a patchwork fashion, in contrast to other parts of the country with large contiguous areas of population loss and small pockets of gain. A similar patchwork exists for dominant economic activity, with recreation scattered along the Rocky Mountain front, mining existing in the Intermountain interior, and very little manufacturing anywhere in the region.

Taken together, the maps in figure 3 provide a starting point for understanding where there is potential variation in some key SES dynamics across the West. For example, population change is highly variable from county to county within the region, and many counties have remained relatively stable in terms of population (challenging the rapid urbanization narrative). Dominant economic activity within the West is much more varied than in other parts of the country and provides one representation of the existence of both the old and new West, side by side (see also Winkler *et al* 2007). On the ecological side, drought (the impact on human systems of aridity) is a consistent characteristic of most of the region and represents the extreme operationalization of aridity, which as an exogenous variable has shaped the biophysical landscape over longer time horizons. Drought ebbs and flows on an annual basis, and has consequences

Table 1. Comparative summary of case studies.

Example SESs	Exogenous variables	Fast variables
Boise metro area	Aridity Topography	Population growth High-tech activity
Southern UT	Topography Political economy of land	Amenity-based economic activity Stable population
Karuk Tribe	Political economy of land Aridity	High-intensity fire activity Drought
Henry's Fork	Aridity Political economy of land	Population growth Drought

for agricultural and recreational livelihoods, while aridity is a consistent feature of the region to which SESs have adapted over time. Large fire activity, increasing in severity and driving human decision-making in the West, appears to also cluster in certain parts of the region more than others, making it an acute driver in specific locations but not necessarily a key management concern across the entire region (Anderson *et al* 2018).

3. Examples of SES challenges and dynamics within the American West

In this section, we present four short case study vignettes describing SESs conditioned by the regional context. In table 1, we characterize each SES using the fast variables described in figure 3, and highlight how dynamic systems interact with the characteristics of the region that are exogenous to any given SES (as presented in figure 2). Full case studies of each location summarized here can be found in supplementary material. Figure 4 depicts the geography of these SESs.

3.1. Urbanization, agriculture and private lands in the Boise metro area

Agriculture is an important component of historical and contemporary cultural identity of the West, and in some areas of the West that have the highest population growth in the US, urban development is taking place directly on top of limited agricultural land. In Boise, Idaho, the seventh-fastest growing metropolitan region in the US, urban land area has increased by 10% in just ten years (Narducci *et al* 2019). Urbanization is driven in large part by population influx from other regions of the country because of this region's affordability and high quality of life (Sharf 2018). At the same time, agriculture and food processing generates 21% of Idaho's total economic output, and the Boise metro area has some of the state's best agricultural land. Research indicates that most people in the Boise area are worried about the rate of farmland

loss (Som Castellano *et al* 2017). However, the ability of communities to adapt, by implementing zoning, easements, or smart-growth, is constrained by a predominant conservative political ideology of limited government and private property rights. This ideology stems from antipathy to the one of the defining features of the West—federal land ownership—as 62% of the state of Idaho is owned by the federal government. With declines in agriculture and no complementary increase in conservation planning, ecosystem services provisioning is also shifting (for example, groundwater levels can drop with increased exurban residential water consumption as compared to that of agriculture) (Narducci *et al* 2019, Quintas-Soriano *et al* 2018).

3.2. Overlapping land jurisdictions, livelihoods strategies and values in Grand Staircase-Escalante and Bears Ears National Monuments BENM

Conflicts over how to manage vast portions of the West have dominated regional discourse since the late 19th century. More recent vacillations over federal monument designation in southern Utah—specifically the creation and subsequent reduction in size of Grand Staircase Escalante (GSENM) and BENMs—serve to illustrate key ongoing tensions that define the West generally. These include vast public land ownership and management versus local autonomy, environmental protection versus extraction, and changing economic opportunities versus cultural desire to maintain early colonialist economic activities—all set against a backdrop of sensitive ecosystems and a legacy of Native dispossession by federal and state governments (Spence 1999, Petrzela and Marquart-Pyatt 2012). At their inception, GSENM and BENM were large protected area designations overlaid on federal lands previously managed for multiple use values (timber, mining, recreation, range, and ecological value such as wildlife habitat). Presidents designated the monuments (Clinton 1996, Obama 2016) to protect unique desert ecosystems and geologic features as well as Native cultural heritage. The National Monument boundaries are overlaid on a rural landscape with a strong history of extraction as the dominant livelihood. Today, the economy of some parts of the region is dominated by a service industry that caters to amenity migration and tourism, but recent reductions in monument size and scope by President Trump represent an attempt to reinvigorate an extractive industry (Headwaters Economics 2017).

3.3. Collaborative water management in the Henry's Fork Watershed

Water management in the West often requires balancing diverse economic, ecological and cultural values with priorities for what is most often a scarce resource. The Henry's Fork of the Snake River sustains angling




Figure 4. Case study SES locations within the West.

and agriculture, the two main industries in the region, as well as ecosystem health (Lawson 2012, Auerbach *et al* 2014). The regional economy is strongly tied to water resources, which annually produces approximately \$2 billion (USD) in agriculture production and over \$30 million (USD) from angling (Loomis 2006). Stakeholders within the Henry’s Fork watershed have taken a collaborative approach to managing a scarce resource (Van Kirk and Griffin 1997, Van Kirk 2011, IDEQ 2017, Van Kirk *et al* 2019). Political, cultural and economic differences among those who use the watershed for agriculture, recreation and conservation could generate conflict. However, a shared cultural identity around the unique location and long history of angling in the river has provided a constructive starting point for collaborative decision-making in the watershed. Local non-profit organizations such as the Henry’s Fork Foundation and the Friends of the Teton River dedicate their mission to preserving, protecting, and sustaining the biodiversity found in the river (Van Kirk *et al* 2019). These civil society groups have worked very closely with irrigation groups such as the Idaho Water Resource Board and the Henry’s Fork Watershed Council to preserve the multifunctionality of the river. Consequently, ecological and agricultural groups and tourism industry have learned the best ways to share water resources so that all watershed stakeholders receive the benefits the Henry’s Fork River provides (Van Kirk *et al* 2019).

3.4. Karuk traditional knowledge, prescribed fire and a changing climate

The West is characterized by a history of colonial dispossession of indigenous lands, much of which has been incorporated into federal land management systems (Spence 1999). The loss of these lands has often led to the disenfranchisement of tribal and indigenous communities in setting goals for their shared management across jurisdictional boundaries. The Karuk Tribe, located in the Mid-Klamath region of California, is working to integrate traditional knowledge systems for managing forest ecosystems, including the use of prescribed fire to manage forest health, into priorities and partnerships with the US Forest Service and state agencies. Managing forest health using traditional practices also have the future-looking goal of reducing climate vulnerabilities associated with more frequent high intensity fires expected for the region (Norgaard 2016). This effort to maintain the viability of forest ecosystems and the non-timber forest products that are culturally and economically important provides an example of the social-ecological complexity associated with the political economy of tribal governance and public forest land management in the West. Global climate variability as an extra-regional driver of change in the Klamath region is expected to increase the severity of forest fires in the region and thus exacerbate coordination challenges across jurisdictions (Westerling *et al* 2006). It remains

to be seen whether the traditional knowledge systems of the Karuk Tribe will be adequately integrated into future cross-jurisdictional approaches to forest and fire management and whether they are employed to reduce present and future vulnerabilities.

4. Connecting SES dynamics to the regional context of the American West: implications for research and management

The power of a multilevel approach to SES research and management at a regional and system-specific scale is it recognizes the broad, consistent context within which much variation is present. Both exogenous variables and fast variables shape how specific SESs evolve over time, what management needs arise, and which possible futures exist for the human and ecological communities that comprise them. For researchers, discerning the relevant variables within the system that might seem far afield from a given question requires thinking across scales, domains and variability (Turner *et al* 2016). For example, if changing federal policies limit the fire management practices currently used by the Karuk, and thus lead to an increase fuels for in high-intensity fires (themselves further fueled by climate change), many aspects of Karuk physical, spiritual and economic well-being could be at risk (Norgaard 2016; see also the supplementary material). From a management point of view, Chaffin *et al* (2016) argue for a transformative governance approach in complex systems that can both respond to and in some cases instigate change in specific SESs situated in a broader context. This might look, for example, like the Henry's Fork watershed, where the topography and increasing incidence of drought led to land and water management changes that are adapting to regional aridity and improving recreational opportunities (see also Kepner *et al* 2000). Collaborative management approaches that can engage with regional dynamics and institutions in ways that are place-specific have proven effective in some locations, and can be challenging in contexts where the regional exogeneous variables, especially the political economy of land, dominate SES dynamics (Singleton 2002, Huber-Stearns and Cheng 2017).

This analysis is intended to provide initial direction for continued investigation of SES dynamics in the West, and the systematic assessment of cross-scale characteristics lends itself to the use of existing frameworks to address management needs and outcomes (for example, Ostrom 2009). Taken together, the analysis and interpretation presented in this paper demonstrates that regional characteristics provide important continuities across space and time, and that SES dynamics in the West are therefore distinct from those in other regions. In contrast to analyses that define the West purely in geographic or cultural terms, this approach foregrounds the importance of a small

number of unique, intersecting variables that set the stage for social-ecological interactions within specific places. This suggests that descriptions of regional demographic and ecological change, like those encapsulated in the 'old West' and 'new West,' may be defined as much by their similarities as by their differences, and points researchers to consider the interaction among multiple scales of space and time in analyses of western dynamics. As the American West confronts looming issues of climatic, demographic, and economic change, these drivers and their interactions are likely to remain as important as ever in shaping both conflicts and avenues for constructive adaptation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Human-Environment Systems of Boise State University and all attendees of the Social-Ecological Futures of the American West workshop in May 2018, from which this paper developed. Funding for the workshop was provided by NSF Idaho EPSCoR Award No. IIA-1301792. Publication of this article was funded in part by the University of Idaho – Open Access Publishing Fund.

ORCID iDs

Kristal Jones  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9737-9042>
 Jesse Abrams  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1937-4606>
 R Travis Belote  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7422-4416>
 Jodi Brandt  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1954-5997>
 Neil Carter  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4399-6384>
 Antonio J Castro  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1587-8564>
 Brian C Chaffin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3739-5849>
 Alexander L Metcalf  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9532-585X>
 Gabrielle Roesch-McNally  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6890-1938>
 Kenneth E Wallen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7535-5805>
 Matthew A Williamson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2550-5828>

References

- Altaweel M, Virapongse A, Griffith D, Alessa L and Kliskey A 2015 A typology for complex social-ecological systems in mountain communities *Sustain.: Sci. Pract. Policy* **11** 1–13
- Anderson S E, Bart R R, Kennedy M C, MacDonald A J, Mortiz M A, Plantinga A J, Tague C L and Wibbenmeyer M 2018 The dangers of disaster-driven responses to climate change *Nat. Clim. Change* **18** 648–59

- Auerbach D, Deisenroth D B, McShane R R, McCluney K E and LeRoy Poff N 2014 Beyond the concrete: accounting for ecosystem services from free-flowing rivers *Ecosyst. Serv.* **10** 1–5
- Berry K A, Markee N L, Fowler N and Giewat G R 2000 Interpreting what is rural and urban for Western US counties *Prof. Geogr.* **52** 93–105
- Bonds A and Inwood J 2016 Beyond white privilege: geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism *Prog. Hum. Geogr.* **40** 715–33
- Brick P, Snow D and Van de Wetering S 2001 *Across the Great Divide: Explorations in Collaborative Conservation and the American West* (Washington, DC: Island Press)
- Chaffin B C, Garmestani A S, Gunderson L H, Benson M H, Angeler D G, Arnold C A, Cosens B, Craig R K, Ruhl J B and Allen C R 2016 Transformative environmental governance *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* **41** 399–423
- Chapin F S III, Folke C and Kofina G P 2009 A framework for understanding change ed F S Chapin III et al *Principles of Ecosystem Stewardship: Resilience-Based Natural Resource Management in a Changing World* pp 3–28 (New York: Springer)
- Chatfield C and Collins A J 2018 *Introduction to Multivariate Analysis* (New York: Routledge)
- Clinton W J 1996 Establishment of the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument. Proclamation 6920 by the President of the United States of America. Document number 96-24716 (Washington, DC: Office of the Federal Register)
- Dobrowski S Z, Abatzoglou J, Swanson A K, Greenberg J A, Mynsberge A R, Holden Z A and Schwartz M K 2013 The climate velocity of the contiguous United States during the 20th century *Glob. Change Biol.* **19** 241–51
- Ellis E C and Ramankutty N 2008 Putting people on the map: anthropogenic biomes of the world *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **6** 439–47
- ERS 2017 ERS county typology codes (data file). 2015 Edition. (Washington, DC: USDA Economic Research Service)
- Fischer J et al 2015 Advancing sustainability through mainstreaming a social-ecological systems perspective *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* **14** 144–9
- Folke C, Hahn T, Olsson P and Norberg J 2005 Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* **30** 441–73
- Gaido D 2002 The American path of bourgeois development *J. Peasant Stud.* **29** 1–23
- Gergely K J and McKerrow A 2013 *Tools and Data for Meeting America's Conservation Challenges* (United States Geological Survey) General Information Product 151 (<https://doi.org/10.3133/70055528>)
- Gunderson L H and Holling C S 2002 *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems* (Washington, DC: Island Press)
- Headwaters Economics 2017 Grand staircase-escalante national Monument: a summary of economic performance in the surrounding communities. *The economic importance of National Monuments to local communities* Fact Sheet. (Bozeman, MT: Headwaters Economics)
- HES 2018 *Social-Ecological Future of the American West Workshop hosted by Human-Environment Systems team* (Boise State University, 7–10 May 2018)
- Hijmans R J, Cameron S E, Parra J L, Jones P G and Jarvis A 2005 Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas *Int. J. Climatol.* **25** 1965–78
- Hixson W 2013 *American settler Colonialism: A history* (New York: Palgrave-Macmillan)
- Huber-Stearns H R and Cheng A S 2017 The evolving role of government in the adaptive governance of freshwater social-ecological systems in the western US *Environ. Sci. Policy* **77** 40–8
- IDEQ 2017 *Upper and lower Henrys Fork: TMDL five-year review*. (Idaho: Idaho Department of Environmental Quality)
- Ingram H 1990 *Water Politics: Continuity and Change* (Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press)
- Kassambara A and Mundt F 2017 *Factoextra: extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses* R package version 1.0.5 (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=factoextra>)
- Kepner W G, Watts C J, Edmonds C M, Maingi J K, Marsh S E and Luna G 2000 A landscape approach for detecting and evaluating change in a semi-arid environment *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **64** 179–95
- Keske C M H, Bixler R P, Bastian C T and Cross J E 2017 Are population and land use changes perceived as threats to sense of place in the New West? A multilevel modeling approach *Rural Sociol.* **82** 263–90
- Lawson M 2012 *Fly-Fishing guide to the Henry's Fork: Hatches, Flies, Seasons & Guide Advice for 80 Miles of world-Class Water* (Mechanicsburg, PA: Stackpole Books)
- Leslie H M et al 2015 Operationalizing the social-ecological systems framework to assess sustainability *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **112** 5979–84
- Limerick P N 1987 *Legacy of Conquest: The Unbroken Past of the American West* (New York: Norton)
- Liu J et al 2007 Complexity of coupled human and natural systems *Science* **317** 1513–6
- Loomis J 2006 Use of survey data to estimate economic value and regional economic effects of fishery improvements *North Am. J. Fisheries Manage.* **26** 301–7
- Marsh G P 1864 *Man and Nature; or Physical Geography as Modified by Human Action* (New York: Scribner)
- Maechler M, Rousseeuw P, Struyf A, Hubert M and Hornik K 2019 *Cluster: Cluster Analysis Basics and Extensions* R package version 2.0.8 (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=cluster>)
- Martín-López B, Palomo I, García-Llorente M, Iniesta-Arandia I, Castro A J, García Del Amo D, Gómez-Baggethun E and Montes C 2017 Delineating boundaries of social-ecological systems for landscape planning: a comprehensive spatial approach *Land Policy* **66** 90–104
- McKinney M and Thorson J E 2015 Resolving water conflict in the American West *Water Policy* **17** 679–706
- Metzger M J, Bunce R G H, van Eupen M and Mirtl M 2010 An assessment of long term ecosystem research activities across European socio-ecological gradients *J. Environ. Manage.* **91** 1357–65
- Narducci J, Quintas-Soriano C, Castro A, Som Castellano R and Brandt J 2019 Implications of urban growth and farmland loss for ecosystem services in the western United States *Land Use Policy* **86** 1–11
- NDMC, USDA and NOAA 2019 *United States drought monitor*. National Drought Mitigation Center (Lincoln, NE: US Department of Agriculture and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association)
- Norgaard K M 2016 *Karuk Tribe climate vulnerability assessment: assessing vulnerabilities from the increased frequency of high severity fire*. Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources (<https://karuktribeclimatechangeprojects.files.wordpress.com/2016/11/final-karuk-climate-assessment1.pdf>)
- Nugent W 1992 Where is the American West? Report on a survey *Montana: Mag. West. Hist.* **42** 2–23
- Obama B 2016 Establishment of the Bears Ears National Monument. Proclamation 9558 by the President of the United States of America. Document number 2016-00875 (Washington, DC: Office of the Federal Register)
- Ostrom E 2009 A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems *Science* **325** 419–22
- Otterstrom S M and Shumway J M 2003 Deserts and oases: the continuing concentration of population in the American Mountain West *J. Rural Stud.* **19** 445–62
- Petrzelka P and Marquart-Pyatt S 2012 With the stroke of a pen: Designation of the Grand Staircase Escalante National Monument and the impact on trust *Hum. Ecol.* **41** 285–97
- Quintas-Soriano C, Brandt J, Running K, Baxter C V, Gibson D M, Narducci J and Castro A J 2018 Social-ecological systems influence ecosystem service perception: a programme on Ecosystem Change and Society (PECS) analysis *Ecol. Soc.* **23** art3

- Ragsdale J W Jr 2016 The American legacy of public land rebellion *Urban Lawyer* **48** 599–614
- Robbins P, Meehan K, Gosnell H and Gilbertz S J 2009 Writing the New West: a critical review *Rural Sociol.* **74** 356–82
- Robbins W G 1999 In pursuit of historical explanation: capitalism as a conceptual tool for knowing the American West *West. Historical Q.* **30** 277–93
- R Core Team 2019 RStudio: Integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc. (Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc.) (<http://www.rstudio.com/>)
- Schoennagel T *et al* 2017 Adapt to more wildfire in western north American forests as climate changes *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **114** 4582–90
- Seager R, Lis N, Feldman J, Ting M, Williams A P, Nakamura J, Liu H and Henderson N 2018 Whither the 100th meridian? The once and future physical and human geography of America's arid–humid divide: I. The story so far *Earth Interact.* **22** 1–22
- Sharf S 2018 America's fastest-growing cities 2018. *Forbes* 28 February (<https://forbes.com/sites/samanthasharf/2018/02/28/americas-fastest-growing-cities-2018/#24a4fec345dc>)
- Singleton S 2002 Collaborative environmental planning in the American West: the good, the bad and the ugly *Environ. Politics* **11** 54–75
- Smith M D and Krannich R S 2000 Culture clash' revisited: newcomer and longer-term residents' attitudes toward land use, development, and environmental issues in rural communities in the Rocky Mountain West *Rural Sociol.* **65** 396–421
- Som Castellano R, Meierotto L, Schneider J, Moroney J and Medlin S 2017 Farmland preservation: public concern and local action *Blue Review* (27 February 2017) (<https://thebluereview.org/farmland-preservation>)
- Spence M D 1999 *Dispossessing the wilderness: Indian Removal and the Making of the National Parks* (New York: Oxford University Press)
- Turner B L II *et al* 2016 Socio-environmental systems (SES) research: what have we learned and how can we use this information in future research program *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* **19** 160–8
- US Census Bureau 2017 2017 county population estimates (Data file) (Population Division) (Washington, DC: US Census Bureau)
- USGS and USFS 2018 Monitoring trends in burn severity project. (Data file) (Washington DC: US Geological Survey and USDA Forest Service)
- Van Kirk R 2011 Conservation of surface and groundwater in a Western watershed experiencing rapid loss of irrigated agricultural land to development *Final Report* Presentation to the Henrys Fork Watershed Council, Boise, Idaho (Arcata, CA: Humboldt State University)
- Van Kirk R, Hoffner B, Verbeten A and Yates S 2019 New approaches to providing instream flow for fisheries in the American West: Embracing prior appropriation and the marketplace *Multispecies and Watershed Approaches to Freshwater Fish Conservation Symp.* 91 ed D C Dauwalter *et al* (Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society)
- Vincent C H, Hanson L A and Argueta C N 2017 Federal land ownership: overview and data *Report R42346* (Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service)
- Walker P A 2003 Reconsidering 'regional' political ecologies: toward a political ecology of the rural American West *Progress in Human Geography* **27** 7–24
- Walker B and Salt D 2006 *Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a Changing World* (Washington, DC: Island Press)
- Westerling A L, Hidalgo H G, Cayan D R and Swetnam T W 2006 Warming and earlier spring increase western US forest wildfire activity *Science* **313** 940–3
- White R 1991 *It's Your Misfortune and None of my Own: A new history of the American West* (Norman: University of Oklahoma Press)
- Wilkinson C F 1993 *Crossing the Next Meridian: Land, Water, and the Future of the West* (Washington, DC: Island Press)
- Winkler R, Field D R, Luloff A E, Krannich R S and Williams T 2007 Social landscapes of the inter-mountain West: a comparison of 'old West' and 'new West' communities *Rural Sociol.* **72** 478–501