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ABSTRACT

The following describes my research into pair-programming in a high school introductory computer science class. Research exists that shows the benefits of pair-programming in industry and post-secondary education. For example, in industry, well respected programmers often prefer pair-programming to a more individual approach and often produce better solutions. Furthermore, in post-secondary education, students show higher academic achievement and rely less on staff and instructors when paired with another student. There is not much research that investigates pair-programming in high school.

From January 2017 to March 2017 I explored the effect of pair-programming on my Exploring Computer Science class at Ridgevue High School in Nampa, Idaho. This is a brand-new program and the 2016/2017 school year marks the second time I have taught the class. Additionally, I only had one section with twenty students.

The curriculum used for this project is Exploring Computer Science by Joanna Goode of the University of Oregon and Gail Chapman of the University of California, Los Angeles. I used the programming projects from Unit four and forged eleven projects. Since I only had access to one section, I was not able to compare a section of individual work to a section of pair-programming work. We decided to investigate pair-programming in one section by administering six of the projects as individual assignments and 5 of the assignments as pair-programming assignments. After each
project, I surveyed students to find out if pair-programming influenced students perceived problem-solving skills, attitude toward computer science, and comfort programming.

I conclude that more time and research needs to be implemented to identify the effects of pair programming on students’ attitudes toward computer science as a choice of study or as a career. Additionally, more time needs to be spent developing pair-programming at this level to substantiate any real positive effects on academic achievement. However, pair-programming does positively influence perceived problem-solving skills, and how comfortable students are with the programming language.
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CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

Pair programming is a strategy used in education and industry where two programmers work side by side to complete a programming task. There is some research available on the effects of pair programming in industry and in post-secondary education; however, not much research has been conducted in K-12 settings. The following is an examination of the effects of pair programming in an introduction to computer science class in a high school setting.

Pair programming is a strategy where two programmers work side-by-side, collaboratively, on the same programming task. One of the programmers is designated as the driver and is responsible for controlling the keyboard and mouse. The other programmer is designated as the navigator and is an active collaborator in the design and development of the program. If the forward progress of the design halts for whatever reason, the two programmers work together to resolve the hold-up and continue with the task. After twenty to thirty minutes in this configuration, the two programmers switch roles. The driver takes on the role of the navigator, and the navigator takes on the role of the driver. This process continues as time permits or the programming task is solved.

Put another way, pair programming is a collaborative effort that promotes peer-based interaction. In the article, *In Support of Pair Programming in the Introductory Computer Science Course*, the authors cite Jihn-Chang Jehng who claims that, “peer-based interaction in the classroom can take three primary forms: 1) tutoring, where the less capable are guided by the more capable, 2) cooperation, where learners work on
different parts of the task, and 3) collaboration, where learners work jointly on almost all parts of the task” (Williams et al. 2002). Borrowing from Jehng’s definition of peer-based interaction, it is important to ensure that pair programming takes on a form of collaboration. Of course, tutoring will also occur, but it is important to understand that cooperation is not the intended effect of pair programming. The strategy is not meant to be a “divide and conquer” activity where two programmers work individually on different sections of the project so they can merge their work into a final solution. It is meant to be a process where two programmers work together to implement a working program that satisfies the requirements of the assignment.
CHAPTER TWO: THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

Traditional attitudes tend to object to the pair programming strategy for several reasons. In industry, programmers are a resource, and to assign two programmers to a task that traditionally requires one is thought to be a waste of resources (Cockburn and Williams 2002). Furthermore, in post-secondary educational settings, programming has been taught and practiced as an individual activity (Cockburn and Williams 2002). Finally, for the experienced programmer, pair programming is thought to be a strategy that will only slow down the coding process, or be impossible due to scheduling conflicts or version control (Cockburn and Williams 2002).

A more contemporary attitude toward pair programming does exist, however. In the article, *The Costs and Benefits of Pair Programming*, the authors cite sources where, “well-respected programmers prefer working in pairs, making it their preferred programming style.” Furthermore, “seasoned pair programmers describe working in pairs as ‘more than twice as fast’.” Finally, in their own research, when examining finished projects completed by pairs, the authors state, “qualitative evidence suggests the resulting design is better, resulting in simpler code, easier to extend” (Cockburn and Williams 2002).

A study conducted at North Carolina State University in a Computer Science 1 course indicated that students who practice pair programming strategies in a lab setting were more likely to persevere through the course and receive a grade of C or better. Additionally, student pairs produced better grades on programming assignments than the students assigned to the lab where a more traditional approach of individual work was
utilized. Finally, “qualitative observations supported that paired closed labs were a superior learning environment for the student” and, “paired labs are also less stressful for the teaching assistants because students are not as reliant on them as the sole provider for technical information and help (Williams et al. 2002)

A study conducted by Thomas et al. at Aberystwyth set out to investigate what the self-perception students with programming experience held. Moreover, they set out to observe how this self-perception manifests itself when the students were introduced to pair programming. When they examined the results that apply to pair programming, they found that, “overall, students like pair programming and believe that it helps them achieve good solutions.” Additionally, they observed, “students with less self-confidence seem to enjoy pair-programming the most” (Thomas, Ratcliffe and Robertson 2003).

In the article, Pair Programming: Under What Conditions is it Advantageous for Middle School Students, Denner et al. talk about the developmental changes taking place in adolescents. Furthermore, they expand on comfort and familiarity within pair groups lending to more learning. Additionally, they cite sources where, “sex differences in whether and how conflict is expressed, as well as when it is productive, may be one reason that single-sex pairs have higher performance than mixed-sex pairs on some tasks” (Denner et al. 2014). They summarize their sources by concluding that pair programming can be beneficial for students’ learning and confidence; however, educators need to identify if pairing is a good strategy or can get in the way of learning if the pairs have different levels of knowledge or differing attitudes toward collaboration (Denner et al. 2014). They conclude in their study, with regards to pairing students that students who programmed with a partner learned more than those who programmed alone. Furthermore, they noted
that students who had different experiences using and creating on computers benefited in different ways. Finally, they concluded that it was not advantageous to pair students with differing attitudes toward collaboration. The student who prefers to work alone can undermine the more collaborative students’ learning. (Denner et al. 2014).

The earlier mentioned attitudes toward pair programming exist in industry and in the post-secondary computer science classrooms, but what we don’t know is what attitudes exist in the K-12 setting. “The few known studies of pair programming in K-12 suggest it holds promise for developing higher-level reasoning skills and increasing motivation” (Denner et al. 2014). In the article, Pair Programming: Under What Conditions is it Advantageous for Middle School Students, the authors conclude, “Overall, the students who programmed with a partner learned more than those who programmed alone, suggesting that pairing is an effective strategy.” (Denner et al. 2014)

Pair programming has a place in an introductory computer science class within the secondary setting. Therefore, my research question is, does pair-programming improve student confidence and student performance in a high school introductory computer science course?
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

Most of the significant research involving pair programming has been carried out in post-secondary environments aimed at supporting students in one-hundred level computer science courses. As we shift to begin introducing computer science courses earlier, in the secondary classroom, it is important to examine whether pair programming is an effective methodology in the high school setting. To study the effectiveness of pair programming in a high school introductory computer science class, I implemented a mixed study.

My questions are:

1. How does student performance (earning a C or better) on pair programming assignments compare to student performance in programming assignments where a traditional, individual strategy is utilized?

2. How does self-sufficiency (reliance on staff/teacher) during pair programming assignments compare to student self-sufficiency during traditional, individual assignments?

3. Does pair programming promote a more positive attitude toward the course and computer science in general.

From mid-January 2017 to mid-March 2017, I quantitatively and qualitatively attempted to answer these questions in my Exploring Computer Science class. The programming assignments were taken from Unit Four of the Exploring Computer Science curriculum developed by Joanna Goode (University of Oregon) and Gail Chapman.
Because our computer science program is in its second year, I did not have multiple sections to pull data from. What I decided to do instead was offer six of the projects as individual assignments and five of the assignments as pair programming assignments.

How students are paired will no doubt affect how the students work together. In the article, On Understanding Compatibility of Student Pair Programmers, Katira et al. found that, “Ninety percent of pairs report that they and their partners work compatibly.” Additionally, they conclude that, “In many ways, this suggests that pairs will be highly compatible and successful if we pair them randomly, without necessarily considering personality type, skill level, or self-esteem” (Katira et al. 2004).

When creating pairs, I errored on the side of Katira to observe how students would work together. For the first two pair programming assignments, students could pick their own partner, they; however, they were prohibited from picking the same partner twice. For the third pair-programming assignment, students were numbered off from one to ten and we went through this twice so that all twenty students had a number between one and ten. The ones ended up working together as did the twos, and the threes, and so on. For the fourth and fifth projects, students were again allowed to choose their partner, and again, they were prohibited from working with someone they had previously worked with.
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS

The objectives for the first programming assignment were meant for students to be able to name the basic terms used in Scratch and create the beginning of a simple program in Scratch. Specific details pertaining to all the projects assigned can be found in Appendix A. In short, this project was meant for students to get setup in Scratch and begin understanding the features in Scratch. Because this was the first programming assignment of the unit, the data collected in the post-survey represents benchmarks for the unit.

Question one on the post-survey asked students if they felt more confident in their problem-solving skills? Figure 1.1 shows the graph of responses where 80% of students reported feeling more confident while 20% felt there was no change in their confidence.

![Project 1 - The Name Project](image)
Question three on the post-survey asked students how they felt about computer science as a topic they would like to study or as a career they would like to have when they were older. Figure 1.3 shows the student responses where 55% reported they like computer science, 20% reported being indifferent to computer science as a topic of study or a career, and 25% reported not really having an interest in computer science.

![Figure 1.3](image-url)  
**Figure 1.3**  
*How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?*

Question number four on the post-survey asked students how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science. Figure 1.4 shows the distribution of student responses. The mean response to question number four was 3.2 with a standard deviation of 1.06. For this response, students were instructed to respond with one for “not very likely” and five for “highly likely”.
Question number five on the post-survey asked students if they were comfortable programming in Scratch? Figure 1.5 shows the responses to this question. Of the students surveyed, 85% responded they were comfortable programming in Scratch while only 10% noted they were not comfortable. Additionally, 5% identified no change in their level of comfort programming in Scratch.
The Dialogue project was the second project in the programming unit. The objectives for this assignment were to have students explore more of the features in Scratch, utilize the “Green flag” feature and to work through a dialogue between two or more sprites. This project is a primer for event driven programming which will be expanded upon in later assignments. Again, more specific details for project two can be found in Appendix A.

Figure 2.1 shows the graph for student responses to question number one regarding confidence in their problem-solving skills. Of the students surveyed, 85% of responded, “Yes” to feeling more confident while 15% felt there was no change in their confidence.

Figure 2.1  After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 2.3 shows the graph for the student responses to question number three regarding how they felt about computer science as a topic they might study or a career they might want when they are older. Of the students surveyed, 65% reported liking computer science while 20% responded having no interest in computer science. Additionally, 15% responded being indifferent.
Figure 2.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Finally, Figure 2.5 shows the student responses to question number five regarding how comfortable students felt programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 75% felt they were more comfortable while 20% felt there was no change in their comfort level. Additionally, 5% did not feel comfortable programming in Scratch.

Figure 2.5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventer2/Scratch?
Project three represents the first pair-programming assignment of the unit. Project one and two were both completed individually. The objectives for project three were to explore how sprites move in Scratch utilizing three different blocks specific to movement. Additionally, students were expected to identify the appropriate method of moving a sprite around the bases of a baseball diamond.

Figure 3.2 shows the graph of the student responses to question two on the post-survey, “How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually?” For project one and project two, 50% reported that they like working in a group more. For project three, 61.1% reported liking it more. Further, for project one and two, 35% and 50% reported no change respectively in how they felt about working in groups. For project three, 38.8% reported no change. Finally, after project one, 15% of students reported liking group work less. After project three, no students reported liking group work less.
Figure 3.3 shows the graph for student responses to question three regarding how students felt about computer science as a topic they might want to study or a career they may want when they are older. Just over 55% of the students reported liking computer science and considered it as a topic or as a career while 27.7% reported having no real interest in computer science. Additionally, 16.7% reported being indifferent.

![Bar chart showing student responses to the question about computer science.]

**Figure 3.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Finally, Figure 3.4 shows the distribution of students who were considering a career in computer science at the time. The mean is 3.11 and the standard deviation is 1.18. For projects one and two the mean/standard deviation was 3.2/1.06 and 3.3/1.22 respectively.
Project four was the second pair-programming assignment of the unit. The objectives for project four were to further explore event driven programming and to write a program that responds to user created events from the mouse and keyboard.

Figure 4.2 shows the student responses to question number two regarding how students felt about working in groups. Of the students surveyed, 52.6% of the responses indicated that they like working in a group more while 47.4% reported no change.
Figure 4.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure 4.3 shows the student responses when they were asked how they felt about computer science as a topic they might want to study or as a career they might want when they are older. Of the students surveyed, 52.6 reported liking computer science while 15.8% reported not having much interest in computer science. Additionally, 31.6% of students reported being indifferent.

Figure 4.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?
Finally Figure 4.4 shows that overall, with one being not very likely and five being highly likely, the mean value of responses to question four are 3.28 with a standard deviation of 1.13.

![Figure 4.4](image)

**Figure 4.4**  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

For project five, students were expected to further develop their understanding of event driven programming. The objectives for this lesson included using broadcast events in Scratch, listening to and responding to broadcast events they create, and changing the background of the stage area through broadcast events.

Figure 5.1 shows the graph for the responses to question number one where students were asked about their confidence in problem solving. Of the students surveyed, 61.1% of the responses indicate that students were feeling more confident while 5.6% indicated they were not feeling confident. Additionally, 33.3% of the students did not see any significant change in their confidence.
After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 5.3 shows the graph for the responses to question number three regarding how students felt about computer science as a topic they might want to study or as a career choice. Of the students surveyed, 61.1% of the indicated they liked computer science while 11.1% indicated little to no interest. Further, 27.8% indicated they were indifferent to computer science as a field of study or career.

How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?
Finally, the data for question 4 where students were asked on a scale of one to five where five indicated “highly likely” how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science, the mean response was 3.28 with a standard deviation of 1.13.

Project 6 was aimed at giving students the opportunity to further develop their understanding of event driven programming using the broadcast feature of Scratch. Students were to build a story from the ground up whereas in project five, students were given most of the code. The objectives for project 6 were use broadcast events, complete a scratch Story and to develop a Scratch story project. Additionally, this project implemented assessment of peers and a presentation. Complete details can be found in Appendix A.

Figure 6.2 shows the graph for the responses to question number two where students were asked about how they felt working in groups. Of the students surveyed, 26.3% indicated they like working in groups more, while 63.2% indicated no change in opinion. Additionally, 10.5% of students reported they like it less.

![Figure 6.2](image.png)

**Figure 6.2** How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Figure 6.5 shows the graph for question 5 where students were asked how comfortable they felt programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 68.4% indicated they were comfortable while 31.6% indicated no change in their comfort level.

![Bar Chart](chart.png)

**Figure 6.5**  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project seven marked the second round of pair-programming assignments. The objectives for project seven were for students to understand the concept of a variable and use variables in the project. Additionally, the concept of conditionals was introduced and students were expected to utilize conditionals in their project.

Figure 7.1 shows the graph for student responses specific to question number one. Students were asked if they felt more confident in their problem-solving skills with 50% responding, “Yes.” Additionally, 45% indicated there was no change in their confidence while 5% responded, “No” to being confident in their problem-solving skills.
Figure 7.1  After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 7.5 shows the graph for student responses specific to question number 5 where students were asked if they were comfortable programming in Scratch? Of the students surveyed, 60% responded, “Yes” to being comfortable while 35% indicated no change in their comfort level. Additionally, 5% responded not really being comfortable programming in Scratch.
Project eight was the fourth pair-programming assignment for the unit. The objectives for project eight were to use conditionals as well as randomness. Students were expected to use a random number generator for this project.

Figure 8.1 shows the graph of student responses to question number one where students were asked about their confidence in their problem-solving abilities. Of the students surveyed, 57.9% indicated being more confident in their problem-solving skills while 31.6% indicated no change in their confidence. Additionally, 10.5% indicated that they did not have confidence in their problem-solving skills.

![Project 8 - The Grade Project](image)

**Figure 8.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 8.2 shows the graph for question number two where students were asked if they liked working in groups. Of the students surveyed, 26.3% indicated liking group work more, while 57.9% indicated no change. Additionally, 15.8% of the students indicated they like it less.
Finally, question number four asked students how likely it was for them to pursue a career in computer science where five indicates highly likely, the mean response after project eight was 3.3 with a standard deviation of 1.24.

Project nine was the fifth individually assigned project of the unit. By this time, students had completed a total of four individually assigned projects and four pair-programming assignments. The objectives for project nine were to implement a working Rock, Paper, Scissors game for one or two players.

Figure 9.1 shows the graph for question number one where students were asked if they felt more confident in their problem-solving skills. Of the students surveyed, 63.2% indicated that they felt more confident. Moreover, 26.3% indicated no real change while 10.5% indicated they did not feel more confident.
Figure 9.1  After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 9.2 shows the graph for student responses regarding how students felt about working in groups. Of the students surveyed, 31.6% indicated liking it more while 57.9% indicated no change. Additionally, 10.5% reported liking group work less.

Figure 9.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Finally, Figure 9.5 shows the graph for how comfortable students were programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 63.2% indicated they were comfortable programming in Scratch while 26.3% indicated no change. Additionally, 10.5% of the students reported not feeling comfortable programming in Scratch.

![Figure 9.5](image)

**Figure 9.5 Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?**

After project nine, when asked how likely students were to pursue a career in computer science, the mean response was 3.7 with a standard deviation of 1.34. This is based on a scale of one being “not very likely” and five being “highly likely.”

Project ten was the last pair-programming assignment for the unit. The objectives for project 10 were to use all the concepts from earlier projects to build a game that relied on the players timing to earn a score. Full details for this project can be found in Appendix A.

Figure 10.1 shows the graph for question one where students were asked if they felt confident in their problem-solving skills. Of the students surveyed, 70.6% indicated
feeling confident in their programming skills while 29.4% indicated no change. There were no students who did not feel confident in their programming skills.
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**Figure 10.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 10.5 shows the graph for question five which asks students how comfortable they are programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 70.6% indicated being comfortable in Scratch while 23.5% of students indicated no change. Additionally, 5.9% of the students indicated not feeling comfortable programming in Scratch.
Finally, for question number four, where students were asked to indicate how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science on a scale of one to five, the mean response was 3.18 with a standard deviation of 1.29.

Project Eleven was the final project for the programming unit and was the last individually assigned project. The objective of this assignment was to build a game of their choosing from the ground up utilizing all the foundation programming skills covered in the unit.

Figure 11.1 shows the graph for question one which asks students how confident they are in their problem-solving skills. Of the students surveyed, 76.5% indicated they were confident in their problem-solving skills. Additionally, 17.6% indicated no change while 5.9% indicated not feeling confident in their problem-solving skills.
Figure 11.1 After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Figure 11.5 shows the graph for question five where students were asked how comfortable they were programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 76.5% indicated being comfortable programming in Scratch while 17.6% indicated no change. Additionally, 5.9% indicated not feeling very comfortable programming in Scratch.
Finally, after project 11, students were asked how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science on a scale from one to five where one indicates “not very likely” and five indicated “highly likely.” The mean answer was 3.18 with a standard deviation of 1.51.

The following graphs are included to show the distribution of answers to specific question across the entire set of projects.

**Figure Q1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Q2: How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more or less?

Figure Q2: How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more or less?

Q3: How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Figure Q3: How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?
Figure Q4  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

The last graph I have included here shows the distribution of mean scores across the eleven programming assignments. Additionally, the graph includes the mean score for all the individual projects as well as the mean score for all the pair-programming assignments.

Figure Q5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?
Figure M1 Mean Scores – Individual vs. Pair-Programming
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION

As stated previously, the data collected from the post-survey for project one represents a benchmark for comparison. Most of the results from this survey are positive. For example, 80% of the students felt confident in their problem-solving skills. Furthermore, more than half of the students surveyed reported liking computer science and considered it as a topic of study or a career. In addition, on a scale from one to five where five represents a high likelihood, the mean response to pursuing a career in computer science was 3.2 with a standard deviation of 1.0563. Finally, 85% of the students reported being comfortable programming in Scratch. Question two of the post-survey asked students about how they felt working in groups. I will look at this question more after project three, the first pair-programming assignment.

The data from the project two post-survey are equally positive. Examining the data from question number one, 85% of the students surveyed reported confidence in their problem-solving skills. This is up 5% from the first project. I am not surprised by this increase because project two relied heavily on the features built into Scratch. In other words, students were using abstractions that are native to the Scratch language. Very little programming was required to be successful; rather, students selected the appropriate blocks and could construct a working program. The most difficult task in project two was timing the dialogue appropriately so that sprites would not talk over each other. Students could accomplish this using “wait” blocks. Of the students surveyed, 15% reported no change in their confidence which is down 5% from post-survey one.
Similarly, 65% of students reported liking computer science as a topic of study or as a career after project two. This is up 10% from project one. Furthermore, 20% reported not being interested, down 5%, and 15% reported being indifferent, down 5% from project one. Finally, question five asked students how comfortable they were programming in Scratch with 75% reporting being comfortable while 20% reported no change. This is down 10% and up 10% respectively from project one. After reviewing the post-survey results from project one and project two, I concluded that students developed confidence in their problem-solving skills and comfort programming in Scratch.

Moreover, after these two projects, students felt more positive about computer science as a course of study and as a career. I attribute this to the level of complexity for the first two projects. Both relied heavily, if not entirely on built-in abstractions in Scratch. To be successful, students needed to connect the appropriate blocks and their program would run.

Project three was the first project students were required to complete using pair-programming. By the end of project three, students had worked individually on two assignments, and with a partner for one. Question number two asks students how they felt about working in groups. Of the students surveyed, 61.1% reported liking group work and 38.8% reported no change. Since this is the first pair-programming assignment, I will use this as a benchmark.

Next, I looked at the responses from question three which asked students how they felt about computer science as a topic of study or as a career. Of the students surveyed, 55.6% reported liking computer science as a topic of study or as a career, which is down just under 10%. Additionally, 27.7% reported not really having an interest
in computer science which is up almost 8% from project two. Finally, 16.7% of students reported being indifferent, which represents an almost 2% increase from project two. This does not surprise me as the complexity of the programming assignment increased for project three. Students were required to utilize the “move” blocks in Scratch which rely heavily on a good working knowledge of the Cartesian plane. I have no doubts that some students struggled with this. Furthermore, students were required to work in pairs which meant relinquishing control of the computer for set periods of time, and discussing the program with a partner. I’m certain not every student was comfortable with this.

Finally, I looked at the data from question four which asks students how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science with five representing “highly likely.” The mean response to this question was 3.2 with a standard deviation of 1.1827.

Project four was the second pair-programming assignment of the unit. I looked at the data from responses 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4. Question two from the post-survey asked students how they liked group work. Of the students surveyed, 52.6% of the students reported liking group work. This is down nearly 9% from project three; however, 47.4% reported no change in their attitude toward group work. This is up nearly 9% from project three. With this shift in percentages from “liking” to “no change”, I am confident that after the second pair-programming assignment, students are like working with a partner.

Question three from the post-survey asked students how they felt about computer science as a topic of study or as a career. Of the students surveyed, 52.6% reported liking computer science and would consider it as a topic of study or career. This is down only 3% from project three. Additionally, only 15.8% reported no interest in computer science; this is down significantly from project three where 27.7% reported no interest.
Finally, 31.6% reported being indifferent up nearly 15% from project three. I found this interesting because the students reporting “liking” didn’t move much; however, the students who were indifferent nearly doubled. Moreover, the students who previously reported no interest shrunk by nearly half. I suspect that the added complexity of the programming assignments challenged some students, but the chance to work through the challenges with a partner left some students thinking, “Okay, this isn’t so bad, I don’t like computer science, but I’m not so sure it is not for me.”

Finally, question four of the post-survey asked students how likely they were to pursue a career in computer science. On a scale of one to five where five indicates a high likeliness, the mean response was 3.10 with a standard deviation of 1.1970. This is down just a bit from project three where the mean response was 3.4 with a standard deviation of 1.1827. It turns out that the mean score for all eleven projects is 3.23 with a standard deviation of 0.1178. Overall, after completing six individual assignments and 5 pair-programming assignments, the mean response will remain nearly centered. I conclude from this that the methodology implemented for each assignment did little to sway how likely students are to pursue a career in computer science.

Project five and project six were the third and fourth individually assigned projects respectively. For project five, I looked at the responses to questions one and three. Question one asked students how confident they were in their problem-solving skills. Of the students surveyed, 61.1% reported being confident compared to 47.4% from project four. This is down more than 20% from the first individual projects; however, this is a significant increase from the two pair-programming assignments. Furthermore, the level of complexity increased significantly on project five. For this assignment, students
were required to use the broadcast block in Scratch which is an abstraction that allows for event-driven programming to occur. Students needed to implement a broadcast and they had to implement a listener to receive the broadcast. To sum this up, after two pair-programming assignments and an increase in the level of complexity in the assignments, there is a rise in confidence for problem-solving. This indicates that pair-programming does have an impact on a student’s perceived ability to problem-solve. Also note that 33.3% of students reported no change in confidence which is down just over 19% from project four. This means that as complexity increases, students’ perception of confidence is unchanged. Additionally, 5.6% of the students reported having no confidence in their problem-solving skills. This is the first-time students have reported no confidence which does not surprise me as complexity has increased significantly.

Question three from project five asked students how they felt about computer science as a topic or career. Of the students surveyed, 61.1% reported liking computer science, a nearly 9% increase from project four. Furthermore, 11.1% reported no interest, a nearly 5% decrease from project four. Finally, 27.8% reported being indifferent to computer science which represents a nearly 4% decrease from project four. This being the first individual assignment after working in pairs for the past two assignments, I see an increase in students’ attitude toward computer science as a topic of study or as a career, and decreases in both “no interest” and indifference. This being on the heels of two pair-programming assignments, this demonstrates that pair-programming has a positive effect on students’ attitude toward computer science as a topic of study.

For project six, I looked at the responses to questions two and five. Question two asked students if they liked group work. Of the students surveyed, 26.3% reported liking
group work more which is down 7% from project five. Furthermore, 63.2% reported no change in their attitude which is up nearly 8% from project five. Finally, 10.5% reported liking group work less which is a decrease of only six-tenths of a percent from project five. In other words, working individually for these two projects did not seem to sway students’ attitudes at all.

For question five, students were asked about their comfort level programming in Scratch. Of the students surveyed, 68.4% reported being comfortable programming in Scratch. This is up just 1.7% from project five. Moreover, 31.6% reported no change which is down 1.7% from project five. It is obvious that not much changed in the way of comfort in Scratch from project five to project six. It is worth noting that the overall mean score for comfort with Scratch across all eleven projects is 70.45% with a standard deviation of 6.253. After projects five and six, the survey results show not much of a difference from the overall mean score for the question.

Project seven and project eight were the second and third pair-programming assignments for the unit respectively. After project seven, I looked at the responses to question one and question five. For question one 50% of the students reported having confidence in their problem-solving skills while 45% reported no change. This is down 13.2% from project six and up 13.4% from project six respectively. Furthermore, having no confidence increased by three-tenths of a percent from project six to project seven. In other words, students did not feel any real change in their confidence from project six to project seven.

For question five, 60% of the students responded yes to being comfortable programming in Scratch. This is down just over 8% from project six. Furthermore,
student reported no change in their comfort level, a nearly 4% increase from project six. After project seven, I concluded that not a lot changed in the way of confidence or comfort level. Moreover, this comes with added complexity in the assignment. Students were expected to understand and use the idea of variables in this assignment and be able to conceptualize how variables work with conditionals. This being a pair-programming assignment, I concluded that the pairs could grapple with this added complexity and report no change in their overall comfort and confidence.

For project eight, I looked at the responses from questions one and two from the post-survey. For question one, 57.9% of the students reported being confident in their problem-solving skills. This is up nearly 8% from project seven. Furthermore, there was a decrease in students who noticed no change in their problem-solving skills of 13.4% from project seven to project eight. What I did notice here is an increase in students who reported having no confidence in their problem-solving skills. From project seven to project eight, no confidence doubled from 5% to 10.5%. This was disturbing; however, after thinking about this, I am wondering if students are seeing a difference in their perceived knowledge to that of their partner? In other words, this is the fourth pair-programming assignment, and thus, the fourth partner these students are working with. Could it be that they don’t perceive themselves as knowing as much as their new partner and therefore lose confidence in their own problem-solving skills? For over 5% of the students, I think this could be true and warrants further investigation.

Project nine was the first major project where students were asked to implement all the basic programming skills discussed to date. Students needed to have a solid
understanding of variables, conditionals, and randomness. The post-survey questions I looked at after this assignment were one, two and five.

For question one 63.2% of the students reported feeling confident in their programming skills. This is up 5.3% from project eight. Furthermore, 26.3% reported no change, a decrease of 5.3% from project eight. Additionally, 10.5% are still reporting no confidence in their problem-solving skills after project nine. I concluded no significant change in confidence after project nine.

For question two, 31.6% of students liked group work more. This is a significant increase from project eight. This being the first individual assignment after two pair-programming assignments, it is positive that attitudes toward group work have improved. Moreover, 57.9% reported no change which is the same measure from project eight. Finally, those students reporting that they like group work less is down just over 5% from project eight. In other words, attitudes toward group work are up overall after the previous two pair-programming assignments.

Lastly, for question five, 63.2% of students reported being comfortable programming in Scratch. This is down 5.3% from project eight; however, those students reporting no change is up 5.2% from project eight. Those students reporting no comfort programming in Scratch remained constant at 10.5% from project eight to project nine. I concluded that there was no significant change in comfort level from project eight to project nine.

Project ten would mark the last pair-programming assignment of the unit. I looked at the responses to question five on the post-survey. Of the students surveyed, 70.6% reported being comfortable programming in Scratch. This is up 7.4% from project nine.
Furthermore, the complexity of this project rose significantly as students were required to build a game from the ground up. Additionally, 23.5% reported no change in their comfort level which marks a decrease of nearly 3%. Finally, 5.9% are reporting no comfort programming in Scratch which is down nearly 5%, almost double, from project nine. In conclusion, after the final pair-programming assignment, students are more confident programming in Scratch.

Project 11 would mark the last individual assignment and the last overall assignment in the unit on programming. I looked at the responses from question one and question five. For question one, 76.5% of students reported being confident in their problem-solving skills. This is up nearly 6% from project ten. Furthermore, 17.6% reported no change, a decrease of nearly 12% from project ten. Moreover, 5.9% reported not being confident which is up from 0% reported in project ten. In other words, after a major pair-programming project and a major individual project, nearly 6% of students suddenly report not having any confidence in their problem-solving skills. This would need to be investigated further; however, one could make the argument that taking on a significant project individually versus with a partner could shake the confidence of an introductory computer science student.

For question five, 76.5% of the students reported being comfortable programming in Scratch. This is up nearly 6% from project ten. Furthermore, students reporting no change is down nearly 6% from project ten. The number of students reporting not really being comfortable programming in Scratch remained constant from project ten to project eleven at 5.9%. In conclusion, after wrapping up the last individual assignment on the
heels of the last pair-programming assignment, students are comfortable programming in Scratch.

In terms of student achievement across these eleven projects, I think it is important to talk about the means scores on the individual assignments versus the pair-programming assignments. The mean score on the individual assignments was 89.17 with a standard deviation of 8.841. The mean score on the pair-programming assignments was 86.4 with a standard deviation of 12.779. While students performed better on the individual assignments, there are several variables at play here. First, the complexity on the assignments increased over time. I would expect to see drops in scores over time. Second, pair-programming was a new methodology for these students and one could argue that students at this age have no real previous experience working with a partner on a programming assignment. In the article, *Pair-Programming: Making Good Teams Takes Work*, Nicholls-Ali writes, “Although I had taught them how to program together, I had not taught them how to work together effectively.” In other words, more time was needed to develop the pair-programming skillset. This requires more investigation, but I feel a better way to gauge student achievement using pair-programming is to have two sections where individual work can be compared to that of exclusive pair-programming work. A luxury I could not afford. In short, looking at my data, I concluded that pair-programming did not appear to boost student performance on assignments.

Overall, students gained confidence in their problem-solving skills. Furthermore, students gained comfort programming in Scratch. Further research is needed to quantify how much of a role pair-programming had in these increases, but it is safe to conclude that pair-programming was effective.
Additionally, overall, students like computer science and consider it a topic of study or a career they might choose. Of the students surveyed, 54.87% of the students responded this way with a standard deviation of 5.062 while only 21.36% reported not having much interest in computer science with a standard deviation of 6.706. Again, more research needs to be done to quantify what effect pair-programming has on this measure. Further, on a scale of one to five where five indicates a high likelihood that students will pursue a career in computer science, the mean response was 3.23 with a standard deviation of 0.1178. This measure remained nearly centered throughout the entire unit which indicates that neither individually assigned projects nor pair-programming assignments had much effect on this attitude.

Finally, overall, nearly half of the students really didn’t perceive a change in their attitude toward working in groups. Close to 40% indicated that they like it more while nearly 12% indicated they like it less. The standard deviations for these measures are 11.072, 11.675, and 11.499 respectively. While this is positive, as stated previously, more time needs to be spent modeling and developing the pair-programming methodology with students at this level.

**LESSONS LEARNED**

I am confident that pair-programming has a positive effect on students’ perceived ability to problem-solve as well as how comfortable they become with the programming language. At this level however, it is important to have students switch roles from driver to navigator more often. For example, for the first pair-programming assignment, I had students switch every twenty minutes which was far too infrequent. Students complained that it was too long and I observed students becoming distracted and bored. After this
assignment, I tried several different intervals ranging from five to fifteen minutes. For my students, ten minutes seemed the correct amount of time. This was a short enough timeframe which kept them moving and it prevented distractions and boredom from setting in.

For the pair-programming projects in this unit, I restricted students from working with the same partner twice. For future pair-programming research, I plan to use shorter intervals, as well use earlier units in the course to develop collaboration skills. This will provide me the opportunity to identify students who work well together. It is worth attempting research based on more static pairs to see how this influences attitudes toward computer science, perceived problem-solving confidence and perceived comfort programming in the given language. Through my observations, it was clear that certain students worked better with specific students than they did with other students. I suspect that if we develop collaboration between students, and let them establish a working relationship with one other student, the positive effects of pair-programming will be more clear and better quantified.
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**Project Details**

The following appendix provides details for each project in the unit including project details, objectives, point totals, and the post-survey results for each question. Some of these details are provided in the chapter on findings; however, this appendix is a complete listing for each project.

**Project 1**

Project 1 was titled The Name Project and is the first assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 135). The objectives for this assignment were to name the basic terms used in Scratch and create the beginning of a simple program in Scratch. Each student created a Scratch account and spent 15-20 minutes working in Scratch.

For the Name Project, students had to have a separate sprite for each letter of their name (5 points), at least three different interesting behaviors for the letters in the name (5 points), all the letters had to have a behavior (4 points), they had to use the “When green Flag Clicked” block (3 points), and they had to use the “Forever” block (3 points). There were two points available for extra credit if students could have their name reinitialize itself when the green flag was clicked. In other words, all the letters would need to start off in the right location facing the correct way. This assignment was to be completed individually. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

**Question 1** – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Figure A-1.1  After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-1.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?
Figure A-1.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

Figure A-1.4  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?
Project 2

Project 2 was titled The Dialogue Project and is the second assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 139). The objective for this assignment was to develop a dialogue between two or more sprites and explain the reasoning behind how their dialogue works. For this lesson, students develop a dialogue and later, present their dialogue.

For the Dialogue Project, students need to have two or more sprites talking in dialogue (4 points), have three or more sprites talking in dialogue (5 additional points), all sprites are polite and they take turns talking (4 points), each sprite says at least three things (3 points), and the conversations starts using the “When Green Flag is Clicked” block (4 points). Students had an opportunity to earn up to two extra points if they have four or more sprites talking in dialogue. This assignment was completed individually. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:
Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Bar chart showing responses to question 1]

**Figure A-2.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 2](image)

Figure A-2.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 3](image)

Figure A-2.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?
Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Bar chart for Question 4](image)

**Figure A-2.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

![Bar chart for Question 5](image)

**Figure A-2.5** Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?
Project 3

Project three was titled The Moving/Baseball Project and is the third assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 141). The objectives for this assignment are to explain the three major ways to move sprites and to choose the appropriate method of moving to make a cat circle the bases of a baseball diamond.

For the Moving/Baseball Project, students were given a Google Doc with a set of questions they had to investigate and explain using a provided Scratch file. This assignment was targeting how to make sprites move in Scratch and students were expected to investigate the three primary blocks for this, “move [ ] steps”, “go to x: [ ] y: [ ]”, and “glide [ ] secs to x: [ ] y: [ ]”. An extension was added by providing students with a Scratch file that contained a baseball diamond and a sprite to which students needed to implement the code to make the sprite move around the baseball diamond. Students were expected to code the movement such that the sprite touched all the bases and moved and faced the correct direction when doing so. The assignment was the first pair programming assignment for the unit. There was no rubric for this assignment.

Students submitted the google Doc to our Google Classroom and students were asked to share their solutions which facilitated a discussion about movement in Scratch. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Figure A-3.1 After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-3.2 How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 3](image)

**Figure A-3.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 4](image)

**Figure A-3.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?
Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project 4

Project 4 was titled The Alphabet Game and is the fourth assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 144). The objectives for this assignment include explaining event driven programming and writing a program that responds to user created events from the mouse and keyboard.

For this assignment, students were required to build an Alphabet Game. Students were required to have at least ten different letters (4 points), have a theme for the game (3 points), sprites needed to change costumes when the sprite was clicked on (4 points), the sprites needed to change costume when the corresponding letter on the keyboard was pressed (4 points), students needed to use the “say [ ] for [ ] secs” block to output what the letter stands for (3 points), and all sprites needed to turn to letters when the “When Green Flag Clicked” sprite was clicked on. This was the second pair programming assignment for the unit. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:
Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Bar chart](image)

**Figure A-4.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-4.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 3.]

**Figure A-4.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 4.]

**Figure A-4.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Figure A-4.5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project 5

Project 5 was titled The Summer Story Project and it was the fifth assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 147). The objectives for this assignment were understanding broadcast events, listening to and responding to created events, and changing the background of the stage.

For the Summer Story Project, students were given a Scratch file and instructions on what blocks to use to complete a story about a student’s summer. Additionally, students had to answer questions in a Google Doc that was uploaded to our Google Classroom. Students received five points for correctly answering questions one, tow, and six. For the story, students needed to add in a basketball scene (5 points), add in an “at the movies” scene (5 points), and add in additional characters in each scene that “show” and “hide” (5 points). Students received one extra point for implementing an appropriate additional scene. Students were required to work on this project individually. Upon
completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Figure A-5.1](image-url)  
Figure A-5.1  After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Figure A-5.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now or less?

Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Figure A-5.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?
Figure A-5.4  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?  (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Figure A-5.5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project 6

Project 6 was titled The Story Project and it is the sixth assignment from Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 156). The objectives for this assignment included using broadcast events, completing
a story in Scratch, developing a Scratch story project, assessing their peers to help them gauge their progress, complete a rubric, and prepare and make a presentation of a Scratch story to the class.

For this assignment, students needed to have at least 3 scenes in their story (10 points). If they had at least four scenes, they received an additional 5 points. They needed to have at least three different sprites (10 points) and at least eight “say” or “think” boxes (10 points). Students needed to animate the movement of the characters (5 points), use broadcast to change scenes in the story (10 points), have the characters take turns speaking to each other (5 points), have at least one conversation between characters (5 points), and have a title scene with the student’s name on it (10 points). Finally, the story needed to initialize when the green flag was clicked (4 points) and the entire story needed to play through once the green flag was clicked.

For this assignment, students were given a rubric with the criteria and they were required to grade their elbow partners project (12 points). Additionally, students were required to give a brief presentation explaining how events (broadcast) was used to transition from one scene to another (10 points). Students were required to work individually on this project. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:
Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Bar chart showing responses to Question 1](image)

**Figure A-6.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually?

Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-6.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually?  Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Figure A-6.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

Figure A-6.4  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

![Bar Chart]

**Figure A-6.5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?**

**Project 7**

The Age Project is the seventh assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 161). The objectives for this assignment are explaining the concept of variables, create variable examples, explain the concept of iteration, and create examples of iteration. Additionally, students needed to be able to explain the concept of conditionals and enhance a variable program with conditionals.

For the Age Project, students were required to develop an age program. The program was required to have a slider that represented an age in years, and the program would “say” something specific to that age using conditionals. For example, if the slider is moved such that you are older than 15, the program should say, “You can drive.” Students were encouraged to add their own conditions. This assignment was third pair programming assignment for the unit.
The Grade Project is the eighth assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 166). The objectives for this assignment include using conditionals with AND and OR to write a grade program as well as to use a random number generator to write a dice program. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Figure A-7.1](image)

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Figure A-7.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Figure A-7.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project 8

For the Grade Project, students were expected to create a variable called grade such that when the users move the slider for grade, the program will respond with a message specific to that grade. For example, if the slider was moved to between 79 and
90, the program would tell you that you have a “B”. Additionally, when the program evaluated your grade as a B or a D, the program was to output, “You qualify for tutoring” after the grade was presented.

For the dice game, students were given a Scratch file that they were required to finish by implementing a random number generator to assign a value to a pair of die. This was the fourth pair programming assignment of the unit. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Bar chart showing the results of Question 1](image)

**Figure A-8.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Figure A-8.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Figure A-8.3  How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?
Figure A-8.4  How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?  (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

![Project 8 - The Grade Project](image)

Figure A-8.5  Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

Project 9

Rock Paper Scissors is the ninth assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Computers (p. 169). The objectives for this assignment were to review and discuss the rules of the game Rock, Paper, Scissors and to create a Rock, Paper, Scissors game in Scratch.

For the Rock Paper Scissors (Lizard Spock) project, I extended what was available in the curriculum and made this an individual programming assignment. Students were expected to have a fair game that utilized the random number generator for the computer pick (10 points). Additionally, students needed to implement a way for the player to pick rock, paper, or scissors (5 points), have a scoreboard (5 points), have a way to reset the game (5 points), implement logic to determine the winner of the round (5 points), and overall neatness of code/logic was expected (10 points). Finally, student could earn up to 5 points for implementing two additional moves, Lizard and Spock.
Further, they had to implement all of the logic for the two moves - Spock decapitates lizard, and paper disproves Spock, for example. Again, the expectation was that students work on the program individually. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Bar chart](image-url)  
**Figure A-9.1** After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?
Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-9.2  How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Figure A-9.3](image1.png)

**Figure A-9.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Figure A-9.4](image2.png)

**Figure A-9.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)

Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?
Project 10

The Timing Game assignment is the tenth assignment in Exploring Computer Science (Goode/Chapman) Unit 04: Introduction to Programming (p. 174). The objectives for this assignment were create a timing game, assess peers to help them gauge progress, complete rubrics and submit their timing project, prepare a presentation of a Scratch program and evaluate their peers’ timing games.

The Timing Game Project was a game that relies on some event, like a button press, to be timed and evaluated. For example, maybe a sphere is moving across the screen and you must press the “H” key at such a time when the sphere is “on top of” a circle on the screen. If your timing is precise, you are awarded some point value. If you miss, perhaps points are deducted.

Students were expected to have three timed sprites (10 points). For four or more sprites, students earned an additional (5 points). Students needed to implement a timer (5 points), keep score (10 points), give the user feedback as to how well they timed their button pressing (10 points), have a help screen with directions (5 points), and a reset
button (10 points). Additionally, the game must stop when it’s over (5 points), keep track of “perfect” hits (5 points), and get harder as the player progresses (5 points). This was the last pair-programming assignment for the unit. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Figure A-10.1 After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?](image)

Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more, or less?
Figure A-10.2 How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Bar chart](image)

**Figure A-10.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Bar chart](image)

**Figure A-10.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

![Bar chart showing the responses to the question](image)

**Figure A-10.5 Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?**

**Project 11**

For the final project, students were required to implement a game using the Scratch environment. Additionally, it was expected that students incorporate all the programming topics that were covered during the unit. For this assignment, students could create any style of game they wanted with instructor approval.

It was expected that each game let the player know whether they win (10 points), keep score (10 points), have a timer (10 points), have a help screen with directions (10 points), a reset feature (10 points), the game stops when it is over (10 points), and the game gets harder as the player advances in the game (10 points). Additionally, for the final project, students were required to give a brief presentation describing the game and how it was programmed. Further, students were instructed to talk about what was fun, and what was challenging about developing their game (10 points). Finally, there was an
arcade day where students were required to peer grade other students’ games for feedback (20 points: 4 students peer grade their game at 5 points per student).

This was the last programming assignment of the unit and students were required to work on the assignment individually. Upon completion of the project, students were asked to complete a survey administered via Google Forms. The results are as follows:

Question 1 – After this assignment, do you feel more confident in your problem-solving skills?

![Figure A-11.1](image-url)
Question 2 – How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?

Figure A-11.2 How do you feel about working in a group versus working individually? Do you like it more now, or less?
Question 3 – How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

![Bar Chart](image1)

**Figure A-11.3** How do you feel about computer science as a topic you want to study or as a career you want to have when you are older?

Question 4 – How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science?

![Bar Chart](image2)

**Figure A-11.4** How likely are you to pursue a career in computer science? (1 - Not very likely | 5 - Highly likely)
Question 5 – Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?

![Bar Chart](Project 11 - The Final Project)

*Figure A-11.5 Are you comfortable programming in AppInventor2/Scratch?*
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