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Arbiter, April 15

Students of Boise State University

Although this file was scanned from the highest-quality microfilm held by Boise State University, it reveals the limitations of the source microfilm. It is possible to perform a text search of much of this material; however, there are sections where the source microfilm was too faint or unreadable to allow for text scanning. For assistance with this collection of student newspapers, please contact Special Collections and Archives at archives@boisestate.edu.
Mathias and Rock last week's student elections.

Voting was held April 23, and said he just needed to do a lot more research on the issue.

"Social research shows that as more people get out and take part in elections, the more they know about the candidates," he said.

"We've got a lot to do before we can even think about winning the election," he added.

"Some candidates came up with great ideas," he said. "Some came up with terrible ideas. But at least they came up with something.

"It's been a good election," he added. "We've had some great candidates, and some not so great candidates. But at least we've had a good election.

"We need to keep working hard," he said. "We need to keep researching, and we need to keep coming up with ideas."
After Generation Life held a pro-life outreach last Thursday evening in the Student Union, Boise State University expressed concern that the group was sending a philosophy of violence through its attempts to disturb students. Boise State President Jack Van Va!kenburgh agreed that the display whichGeneration Life set up in the Student Union was inappropriate and that other displays should be allowed.

The protest took place outside the Women's Center, and the Boise State administration was concerned that the group was attempting to disrupt the public's ability to access the center.

"We do not consider this to be a marketplace of ideas," Van Va!kenburgh said. "This is a controlled environment. We have to ensure that Boise State University doesn't become a political platform, but that it remains a controlled environment for students.

The Boise State administration has expressed concern about the way in which Generation Life has been operating on campus, and has asked for a letter of complaint to be submitted to the university's Office of Student Life.
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It is wrong to equate opposition with compliance.

The Bush propaganda machine is kicking into high gear with the release of "America's New Evening," a multimedia public relations effort that is designed to deceive the public into the illusion that war is inevitable. The Bush administration is banking on the public's misunderstanding of Bush's record on terrorism and its commitment to global war.

The Bush administration has already either admitted to or implied that the birth control pill does not work to prevent conception, but rather is like "diaphragms" or "condoms" for the uterus. The Bush administration has even suggested that the first trimester of pregnancy is a "point of no return" and that it is not ethical to intervene in this period. The Bush administration has also suggested that the first trimester is a "point of no return" and that it is not ethical to intervene in this period.
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I am grateful for the warnings about the images presented in the GoTop decision. It is clear that it's not just the words we should worry about, but the images as well. I am concerned that the images may not accurately reflect the true context of the situation. Furthermore, it may be that the images are intended to manipulate the public's perception of the issue. In conclusion, I feel that all forms of media should be held accountable for the images they present.
Main Stage Line Up

9:30-1:00 Farm Pro
"Good Loving Jam Rock"

1:00-1:30 Sierra Club
Topic: Protecting the Owyhee Canyon lands: Roger Singer

1:30-2:30 John Nemeth & The Three Jacks
"Low down Boogie Blues"

2:30-3:00 Boise Public Works Dept.
Topic: Local Sustainable Practices: Catherine Chertu

3:00-4:00 The Clumsy Leivers
"Ragin Celtic Bluegrass Rock"

4:00-4:30 Snake River Alliance
Topic: Nuclear Waste in Idaho: Jessica Hixson

4:30-6:00 Marcus Eaten and the Lbby
"Uniquely Funky, Acoustic Groove Rock"

6:00-6:30 Alpina Power
Topic: Solar Energy: Mike Leonard

6:30-8:00 54 Vision
Blues from New York. 54 Vision brings a Hard Rock with their fusion of classical Flamenco, Reggae and Hip-Hop Styles.

April 20th
Saturday

Spring Fling