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Abstract. We show that monomials and sums of pairwise coprime monomials in four or more variables have Waring rank less than the generic rank, with a short list of exceptions. We asymptotically compare their ranks with the generic rank.

1. Introduction

Let \( F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) be homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d \), a \( d \)-form, in the variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) with complex coefficients. The Waring rank of \( F \), denoted \( r(F) \), is the least number of terms needed to write \( F \) as a linear combination of \( d \)th powers of linear polynomials, \( F = c_1 \ell_1^d + \cdots + c_r \ell_r^d \). For example, \( F(x, y) = xy \) can be written as

\[
xy = \frac{1}{4}(x+y)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2
\]

which shows \( r(xy) \leq 2 \). On the other hand we must have \( r(xy) > 1 \) because if \( xy = c_1 \ell_1^2 \) then \( xy \) would be a perfect square, which it is not. Therefore \( r(xy) = 2 \).

Waring ranks of homogeneous forms have been studied since the 19th century by Sylvester and others. For modern introductions see for example [14], [17], [21]. For numerous applications in engineering, sciences, and other areas of mathematics, see for example [11], [17].

We write \( r_{\text{gen}}(n, d) \) for the rank of a general \( d \)-form in \( n \) variables. This value, called the generic rank, is well-known by the Alexander–Hirschowitz theorem, see Section 2.

In contrast it is difficult to determine the maximum Waring rank occurring for \( d \)-forms in \( n \) variables. Clearly the maximum value of rank is at least the generic value. In [5] it is shown that the maximum value of rank is at most twice the generic value, so the candidates for the maximum rank are the integers in the interval \([r_{\text{gen}}(n, d), 2r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)]\). We can narrow this range either by finding new upper bounds or by finding forms with greater than generic rank.

Another reason to look for forms of high rank is that such examples may have interesting applications in the theory of computational complexity. See for example [2], [20] for discussions of complexity-theoretic conclusions from tensors of high rank. See [18] for a more general introduction to connections between geometry and complexity.

We examine some candidate forms—monomials and sums of pairwise coprime monomials—and find that in most cases they fail to have greater than generic rank.

It turns out that very few examples are known of forms with greater than generic rank, with \( n \geq 3 \) variables. (Plenty are known for \( n = 2 \).) In fact, it seems that until recently only
finely many such examples were known: just some cubics and quartics \((d = 3, 4)\) in \(n = 3\) or 4 variables, see Section 2. (See also \([7]\).)

Recently, however, Carlini, Catalisano, and Geramita pointed out an infinite family of forms with greater than generic rank. Specifically, they showed in \([9]\) (see also \([6]\)) that for a monomial \(M = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}\) with \(0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n\), the Waring rank of \(M\) is

\[
\bar{r}(M) = (a_2 + 1) \cdots (a_n + 1).
\]

We denote by \(\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)\) the maximum rank of a monomial in \(n\) variables of degree \(d\). Carlini–Catalisano–Geramita observed that for \(n = 3\) and \(d \geq 5\) there are monomials of greater than generic rank, \(\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(3, d) > r_{\text{gen}}(3, d)\) for \(d \geq 5\). And they observed also that for \(n \geq 4\), \(\lim_{d \to \infty} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)/r_{\text{gen}}(n, d) < 1\), so for each \(n \geq 4\) there are (at most) finitely many monomials with greater than generic rank.

Our first main result is that in fact, in four or more variables there are absolutely no monomials with higher than generic rank.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(M\) be a monomial in \(n \geq 4\) variables and let \(d = \deg M > 1\). Then \(r(M) < r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)\). That is, \(\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) < r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)\) whenever \(n \geq 4\) and \(d > 1\).

This is proved in Section 3.

Carlini–Catalisano–Geramita showed also in \([9]\) that for a sum of coprime monomials \(F = M_1 + \cdots + M_k\), where the terms are monomials in independent sets of variables,

\[
r(M_1 + \cdots + M_t) = r(M_1) + \cdots + r(M_t).
\]

(It is conjectured that such an equality holds for any sum of polynomials in independent sets of variables, see for example \([8]\).)

**Example 2.** The form \(F = x_1 x_2^2 + x_3 x_4^2\), with \(n = 4\), \(d = 3\), has higher than generic rank:

\[
r(x_1 x_2^2 + x_3 x_4^2) = r(x_1 x_2^2) + r(x_3 x_4^2) = 6 > r_{\text{gen}}(4, 3) = 5.
\]

The forms \(x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_3^2\) and \(x_1 x_2^2 + x_3^3 + x_4^3\) each have rank 5, equal to the generic rank.

In fact these are the only sums of coprime monomials with greater than or equal to generic rank (up to reordering the variables). We denote by \(\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d)\) the maximum rank of a sum of coprime monomials in \(n\) (total) variables of degree \(d\).

**Theorem 3.** Every sum of pairwise coprime monomials in \(n \geq 4\) variables, of degree \(d \geq 3\), has rank strictly less than the generic rank, except for the three forms listed in Example 2. That is, \(\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d) < r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)\) whenever \(n \geq 4\) and \(d \geq 3\), except for \((n, d) = (4, 3)\).

This is proved in Section 4.

Finally we asymptotically compare the maximum ranks of sums of pairwise coprime monomials with the generic rank.

2. Background

By the Alexander–Hirschowitz theorem \([1]\) the generic rank is given by

\[
r_{\text{gen}}(n, d) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n} \left(\binom{d + n - 1}{n - 1}\right) \right\rfloor,
\]

except if \((n, d) = (n, 2), (3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 3), (5, 4)\). In the exceptional cases \(r_{\text{gen}}(n, 2) = n\) (instead of \(\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor\)), \(r_{\text{gen}}(3, 4) = 6\) (instead of 5), \(r_{\text{gen}}(4, 4) = 10\) (instead of 9), \(r_{\text{gen}}(5, 3) = 8\) (instead of 7), and \(r_{\text{gen}}(5, 4) = 15\) (instead of 14).
Having said that, we will only occasionally need information about the exceptional cases (and we will in fact never need to know that these are the only exceptional cases, which is the significant part of the Alexander–Hirschowitz theorem). At most points we will just need that $r(n, d) \geq \frac{1}{5} \binom{d+n-1}{n-1}$ for all $n, d \geq 2$, which follows from a standard dimension count.

For some recent progress on upper bounds for Waring rank, see [4], [15], [3], and [5]. But there are only a few cases in which the actual maximum rank, or even explicit forms of greater than generic rank, are known. Binary ($n = 2$) forms of degree $d$ have rank at most $d$, with $r(xy^{d-1}) = d$. For quadratic forms ($d = 2$) the maximum rank is $n$. For $(n, d) = (3, 3)$, $r(3, 3) = 4$ while the maximum rank is $5$ [22, §96], [11], [16], [19, §8]. For $(n, d) = (3, 4)$, $r(3, 4) = 6$ while the maximum rank is $7$ [16], [12]. For $(n, d) = (4, 3)$, $r(4, 3) = 5$ while the maximum rank is again $7$ [22, §97]. Finally, very recently it has been determined that for $(n, d) = (4, 4)$ the maximum rank is $10$ [13], [7] (the latter gives an explicit form of rank 10).

For $(n, d) = (3, 3)$, each one of [22, §96], [11], [16], [19, §8] shows that, up to a linear change of coordinates, the unique form of greater than generic rank is $z(x^2 + yz)$, the union of a smooth conic and a tangent line. For $(n, d) = (3, 4)$, $z^2(x^2 + yz)$ is the unique form (again up to a linear change of coordinates) of greater than generic rank, see [16, Proposition 3.1]. For $(n, d) = (4, 3)$, $w(x^2 + y^2 + zw)$, the union of a smooth quadric and a tangent plane, has rank 7, greater than the generic rank [22, §97]. (In this case there are other forms with greater than generic rank, see [22, §97].) For more on hypersurfaces consisting of a quadric plus a hyperplane see [10].

3. Ranks of monomials in four or more variables

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a monomial in $n \geq 4$ variables and let $d = \deg M > 1$. We do not assume that $M$ actually involves every variable.

Say $k \leq n$ of the variables appear in $M = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k}$, $0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$. Write $M = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$, $a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_n = 0$. By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$r(M) = (a_2 + 1) \cdots (a_n + 1) \leq \left( \frac{a_2 + \cdots + a_n + n - 1}{n - 1} \right)^{n-1} = \left( \frac{d + n - 1 - a_1}{n - 1} \right)^{n-1} \leq \left( \frac{d + n - 2}{n - 1} \right)^{n-1}.$$ 

We finish by the following lemma. \hfill \square

Lemma 4. For $n \geq 4$ and $d \geq 2$,

$$\left( \frac{d + n - 2}{d + n - 1} \right)^{n-1} < \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{d + n - 1}{n - 1} \right).$$

Proof. We will show the equivalent equation

$$(2) \quad \left( \frac{d + n - 2}{d + n - 1} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{d + n - 2}{d + 1} \right) < \frac{(n - 1)^{n-1}}{n!} = \left( \frac{n - 1}{n} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{n - 1}{2} \right).$$

First, it is easy to check that

$$\left( \frac{d + n - 2}{d + n - 1} \right) \left( \frac{d + n - 2}{d + n - 3} \right) < \left( \frac{n - 1}{n} \right) \left( \frac{n - 1}{n - 2} \right).$$
This takes care of the first three factors on each side in (2). (Here we use the hypothesis
\( n \geq 4 \); otherwise \( \frac{4+n-2}{d+n-3} \) and \( \frac{n-1}{n-2} \) are absent.) For the remaining factors,
\[
\frac{(d-1) + (n-1)}{(d-1) + a} < \frac{n-1}{a}
\]
for \( 2 \leq a < n - 1 \). This proves (2) and completes the proof. \( \square \)

4. Sums of pairwise coprime monomials

**Lemma 5.** If \( d \geq 4 \) and \( d \geq n \geq 2 \), then
\[
\frac{1}{n} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d).
\]

**Proof.** First, if \( n = 2 \), \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(2, d) = d \) while \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(1, d) = 1 \), so the claim is true. Second, suppose \( d > n > 2 \). Let \( M \) be a monomial in \( n - 1 \) variables of degree \( d \) with rank \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) \). Up to reordering the variables, \( M = x_1^1x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \) with \( a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1} \leq a_2 + 1 \). We have
\[
a_{n-1} = \left\lceil \frac{d-1}{n-2} \right\rceil > 1.
\]
Let \( M' = x_1^1x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}-1}x_n^1 \), so \( M' \) still has degree \( d \), and
\[
\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \geq r(M') = r(M) \frac{2a_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} + 1} \geq \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) \frac{2\left\lceil \frac{d-1}{n-2} \right\rceil}{\left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{n-2} \right\rfloor + 1} \geq \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) \frac{2(d-1)}{d + n - 3} \geq \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) \frac{n}{n-1},
\]
where the first equality comes from the Carlini–Catalisano–Geramita expression for Waring rank of monomials and the remaining steps are straightforward algebraic manipulations.

Finally, if \( d = n \geq 4 \) then \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) = 2^{d-1} \) and \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) = 3 \cdot 2^{d-3} = \frac{3}{2} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \). Since \( n \geq 4, \frac{n-1}{n} \geq \frac{3}{2} \), so \( \frac{1}{n} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n-1, d) \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.** First suppose \( d \geq n \geq 4 \). Let \( F = M_1 + \cdots + M_t \) be a sum of pairwise coprime monomials of degree \( d \), where \( M_i \) involves exactly \( n_i \) variables, \( n = \sum n_i, n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 1 \). For each \( i \),
\[
\frac{r(M_i)}{n_i} \leq \frac{\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n_i, d)}{n_i} \leq \frac{\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)}{n}
\]
by Lemma 5. We use the elementary inequality that if \( a_i, b_i > 0 \) and \( \frac{a_i}{b_i} \leq x \) for all \( i \), then \( (\sum a_i)/(\sum b_i) \leq x \). Thus
\[
\frac{r(F)}{n} = \frac{\sum r(M_i)}{\sum n_i} \leq \frac{\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)}{n},
\]
hence \( r(F) \leq \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \). That is, for \( d \geq n \geq 4 \), \( \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d) \leq \bar{r}_{\text{gen}}(n, d) \).

Second we deal with the case \( n > d \geq 4 \). We will use that
\[
(3) \quad \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \frac{d + n - 1}{n - 1} \right) > \frac{2^{d-1}}{d}.
\]
We prove this by induction on $n$ starting from $n = d \geq 4$, where we have \( \frac{1}{2d} \left( \frac{2d-1}{d-1} \right) > \frac{2d-1}{d} \) by Lemma 4. And for $n \geq d \geq 4$ we have
\[
\frac{1}{n^2} \left( \frac{d + n - 1}{n - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{n}{n + d} \left( \frac{d + n}{n} \right) = \frac{(n + 1)^2}{n(n + d)} \cdot \frac{1}{(n + 1)^2} \left( \frac{d + n}{n} \right) < \frac{1}{(n + 1)^2} \left( \frac{d + n}{n} \right)
\]
since $d > 2$. This completes the proof of (3).

Now let $F = M_1 + \cdots + M_t$ be a sum of pairwise coprime monomials of degree $d$, where $M_i$ involves exactly $n_i$ variables, $n = \sum n_i$, $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 1$. Note each $n_i \leq d$. We have
\[
\frac{r(M_i)}{n_i} \leq \frac{\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(d, d)}{d} = \frac{2d-1}{d}.
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{r(F)}{n} = \frac{\sum r(M_i)}{\sum n_i} \leq \frac{2d-1}{d} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \frac{d + n - 1}{n - 1} \right)
\]
by (3), which gives us
\[
r(F) < \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{d + n - 1}{n - 1} \right) \leq r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)
\]
as desired. This completes the case $n > d \geq 4$.

Third we take care of the case $d = 3$, $n \geq 5$. Let $F$ be a sum of pairwise coprime monomials of degree 3 with rank $\bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(n, 3)$. The only monomials that can appear are of the form $x^3$, $xy^2$, $xyz$, with ranks 1, 3, 4 respectively. We can replace each occurrence in $F$ of $xyz$ with $xy^2 + z^3$ without changing the rank or number of variables. So we can assume every term in $F$ is of the form $x^3$ or $xy^2$. This shows that if $n$ is even, $\bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(n, 3) = 3n/2$, and if $n$ is odd, $\bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(n, 3) = (3n - 1)/2$. On the other hand,
\[
r_{\text{gen}}(n, 3) \geq \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{n + 2}{3} \right) = \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n(n - 6) + 2}{6}.
\]
When $n \geq 6$, $n(n - 6) + 2 \geq 2$, which shows $r_{\text{gen}}(n, 3) \geq \frac{3n}{2} \geq \bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(n, 3)$. When $n = 5$, $r_{\text{gen}}(5, 3) = 8$ (by the Alexander–Hirschowitz theorem) while $\bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(5, 3) = 7$.

Fourth and finally, we consider the case $(n, d) = (4, 3)$. Up to reordering terms and variables, the sums of pairwise coprime monomials that use all the variables are the following:
\[
x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3, x_1x_2^2 + x_3^3 + x_4^3, x_1x_2x_3 + x_4^3, x_1x_2x_3 + x_4^3, x_1x_2x_3 + x_4^3.
\]
and these have rank 4, 5, 5, and 6, respectively. Since $r_{\text{gen}}(4, 3) = 5$, this shows that the exceptions listed in the statement of the theorem are the only ones.

\textbf{Remark} 6. We have seen that if $d \geq n$ then $\bar{r}_{\Sigma-\text{mon}}(n, d)$ is attained by a monomial. What if $n > d$? The greatest rank monomial of degree $d$ is a product of $d$ variables. So a “greedy” way to construct a high-rank sum of pairwise coprime monomials is to add up products of $d$ variables, with any remaining variables placed into one more monomial. But this does not necessarily maximize Waring rank, as we have seen for $(n, d) = (4, 3)$: the greedy choice $x_1x_2x_3 + x_4^3$ has rank 5, while the non-greedy choice $x_1x_2^2 + x_3x_4^2$ has rank 6. Similarly, for $(n, d) = (5, 4)$, the greedy choice $x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_5^4$ has rank 9, while the non-greedy choice...
$x_1x_2x_3^2 + x_4x_5^3$ has rank 10; and for $(n, d) = (6, 5)$, the greedy choice $x_1 \cdots x_5 + x_6^5$ has rank 17, while the non-greedy choice $x_1x_2x_3^2 + x_4x_5^3x_6^2$ has rank 18.

Remark 7. It was noted in [9] that, for $n$ fixed and $d$ going to infinity, $\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)$ is asymptotically $d^{n-1}/(n-1)^{n-1}$, while $r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)$ is asymptotically $d^{n-1}/n!$. So $\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(3, d)/r_{\text{gen}}(3, d) \to 3/2$, while for $n > 3$,

$$\frac{\bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)}{r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)} \to \frac{n!}{(n-1)^{n-1}} < 1 \quad \text{as } d \to \infty.$$  

Similarly, if $n \geq 4$ is fixed and $d \to \infty$, then, for $d \geq n$, $\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d) = \bar{r}_{\text{mon}}(n, d)$, and once again,

$$\frac{\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d)}{r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)} \to \frac{n!}{(n-1)^{n-1}} < 1 \quad \text{as } d \to \infty.$$  

Finally, fix $d$ to find the limit of the ratio as $n \to \infty$. In the proof of Theorem 3 we found that, for a fixed $d \geq 3$, $\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d)$ is bounded by a linear function for large enough $n$: $\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d) \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ when $d = 3$ and $\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d) \leq \frac{n^{d-1}}{d}$ when $n > d \geq 4$, by (4). However for $d \geq 3$, $r_{\text{gen}}(n, d) = O(n^{d-1})$ grows faster than a linear function of $n$, so

$$\frac{\bar{r}_{\Sigma\text{-mon}}(n, d)}{r_{\text{gen}}(n, d)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$  
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