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Abstract

Importance of the field: Metal oxide nanoparticles, including zinc oxide, are versatile platforms for biomedical applications and therapeutic intervention. There is an urgent need to develop new classes of anticancer agents, and recent studies demonstrate that ZnO nanomaterials hold considerable promise.

Areas covered in this review: This review analyzes the biomedical applications of metal oxide and ZnO nanomaterials under development at the experimental, preclinical, and clinical levels. A discussion regarding the advantages, approaches, and limitations surrounding the use of metal oxide nanoparticles for cancer applications and drug delivery is presented. The scope of this article is focused on ZnO, and other metal oxide nanomaterial systems, and their proposed mechanisms of cytotoxic action, as well as current approaches to improve their targeting and cytotoxicity against cancer cells.

Take home message: Through a better understanding of the mechanisms of action and cellular consequences resulting from nanoparticles interactions with cells, the inherent toxicity and selectivity of ZnO nanoparticles against cancer may be further improved to make them attractive new anti-cancer agents.

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology represents a new and enabling platform that promises to provide a broad range of novel uses and improved technologies for biological and biomedical applications. One of the reasons behind the intense interest is that nanotechnology permits the controlled synthesis of materials where at least one dimension of the structure is less than 100 nm. This ultra-small size is comparable to naturally occurring proteins and biomolecules in the cell [1], and is notably smaller than the typical diameter (~7 μm) of many human cells. The reduction of materials to the nanoscale can frequently alter their electrical, magnetic, structural, morphological, and chemical properties enabling them to interact in unique ways with cell biomolecules and enable their physical transport into the interior structures of cells. Nanoscale particles typically possess a larger percentage of atoms at the material’s surface, which can lead to increased surface reactivity [2], and can maximize their ability to be loaded with therapeutic agents to deliver them to target cells. By appropriate engineering design these nanomaterials can acquire the ability to selectively target particular types of cells or to pass through physiological barriers and penetrate deep into tumor sites.

The application of nanotechnology to medical applications, commonly referred to as “nanomedicine”, seeks to deliver a new set of tools, devices and therapies for treatment of human disease. Nanomaterials that can act as biological mimetics, “nanomachines”, biomaterials for tissue engineering, shape-memory polymers as molecular switches, biosensors, laboratory diagnostics, and nanoscale devices for drug release, are just a few of the applications currently being explored [3-5]. Already, nanomedicine represents an emerging industry with the US National Science Foundation predicting that the market for nanotechnology containing products will reach one trillion US dollars in 10–15 years [6]. As such, there is considerable interest in the role of nanomaterials for the rational delivery and targeting of pharmaceutical and diagnostics agents for the treatment of cancer. The potential use of ZnO and other metal oxide nanoparticles in biomedical and cancer applications is gaining interest in the scientific and medical communities, largely due to the physical and chemical properties of these nanomaterials, and is the focus of this article.

1.1. Cancer Treatment Today

Cancer is reported as the second leading cause of death in the US and accounts for ~25% of all deaths [4]. Even more serious is the recent projection by the World Health Organization, which anticipates total cancer cases will more than double by the year 2030 from the 12.4 million new cases seen in 2008 [7]. Despite the fact that scientific
understanding of the functioning of the human body at the molecular level has improved tremendously, advances in therapeutic options for cancer have lagged by comparison. Current anticancer chemotherapies based on alkylating agents, antimetabolites, biological agents, and natural products frequently fail to produce a complete anti-cancer response due to the development of drug resistance or their failure to effectively differentiate between cancerous and normal cells. This indiscriminate action frequently leads to systemic toxicity and debilitating adverse effects in normal body tissues including bone marrow function suppression, neurotoxicity, and cardiomyopathy, which greatly limits the maximal allowable dose of the chemotherapeutic drug [8,9]. In this regard, recent studies have shown that ZnO nanoparticles exhibit a high degree of cancer cell selectivity with the ability surpass the therapeutic indices of some commonly used chemotherapeutic agents in similar ex vivo studies [10,11]. In addition, the rapid elimination or widespread dissemination of the anti-cancer drug across non-target tissues requires drug administration in large quantities which can further complicate problems related to non-specific toxicity. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop new classes of anticancer drugs with new modes of action that better target cancer cells while sparing healthy tissues.

1.2. Overview of Nanotechnology in Cancer Applications

Nanobiotechnology has been viewed as having the potential to offer a more targeted approach capable of providing significant treatment improvements for cancer patients. The underlying rationale is that reduction of materials of the nanoscale can sometimes lead to the development of new structural, physicochemical, electronic, and magnetic properties that are not present in larger bulk-sized (micron or larger) particles comprised of the same material systems. It is these new properties that can potentially lead to unique biological and medical applications. A growing number of research groups have shown that low concentrations of nanomaterials, including metal oxide nanoparticles, can kill human cancer cells while their larger micron-sized counterparts are comparatively non-toxic [2,10,12-16]. Even more compelling are recent in vitro observations indicating that certain types of metal oxide nanoparticles can preferentially kill cancer cells with strikingly less toxicity against normal cells [10,11]. As a natural outcropping of these studies, there is considerable interest in further improving nanoparticle specificity and anti-cancer properties by functionalizing them with antibodies or other ligands directed against cancer-associated molecules [17]. Nanomaterials are also being explored for use in intracellular delivery of DNA, RNAi, proteins, peptides and small drugs for inducing cancer cell death, as contrast agents for cancer imaging, and as platforms for targeted gene and chemotherapeutics delivery to tumor sites [4,17].

2. Significance of Nanomaterial Physical Properties and Biological Applications

The integration of nanotechnology and biology provides the opportunity for the development of new materials in the nanometer size range that can be applied to many potential applications in clinical medicine [1,18]. The most widely studied type of nanomaterials is the nanoparticle, which is largely due to their ease and efficiency of production from a variety of materials. When reduced to the nanoscale, unique size-dependent properties of nanoparticles are manifested [2]. The principal factors believed to cause properties of nanomaterials to differ from their larger micron-sized bulk counterparts include an increase in relative surface area, a greater percentage of atoms at the material’s surface, quantum effects which can affect chemical reactivity, and other physical and chemical properties [2,18]. The positioning of the vast majority of nanostructure atoms at the material’s surface maximizes their ability to be loaded with therapeutic drugs, and to deliver these agents to target cells and tissues.

The size of nanoparticles, which is comparable to naturally occurring biological molecules, is another feature that makes them well suited for biological applications. Their nanoscale size allows their internalization into cells, and allows them to interact with biomolecules within or on the cell surface, enabling them to potentially affect cellular responses in a dynamic and selective manner. The size of nanoparticles can facilitate their entry into tumor tissues, and their subsequent retention, by a process recognized as the enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect. Therapeutic approaches making use of the EPR effect are now recognized as the “gold-standard” in the design of new anti-cancer agents. The EPR phenomena can be described as a combination of “leaky” tumor blood vessels due to alterations in angiogenic regulators, enlarged gap junctions between endothelial cells, and compromised lymphatic drainage in the tumor microenvironment. This localized imbalance allows nanoparticles of certain sizes [19] to readily enter, but to be passively retained within the tumor interstitial space, thereby improving therapeutic potential. In a recent report, particles of 100-200 nm size showed a 4-fold higher rate of tumor uptake compared to particles greater than 300 nm, or less than 50 nm in size [20]. Although smaller nanoparticles don’t readily make use
of the EPR/enhanced permeation and retention effect, they typically exhibit more nanotoxicity related to their larger surface area/volume ratio [19,20]. These seemingly conflicting actions with respect to nanoparticle size and anti-tumor activity can make it difficult to reliably predict nanoparticle characteristics likely to provide the best therapeutic efficacy without direct testing.

The electrostatic nature of nanoparticles is another important consideration as electrostatic interactions between positively charged nanomaterials and target cells are believed to play an important part in cellular adhesion and uptake [21]. Compared to normal eukaryotic cells whose outer leaflet consists of neutral charged zwitterionic phospholipids [22], cancer cells frequently maintain a high concentration of anionic phospholipids on their outer leaflet and large membrane potentials [23-25], and over-express specific groups of charged proteins and carbohydrates [5]. In addition, studies have shown that intracellular pH increases with cell cycle progression and proliferation [26,27], which could affect electrostatically-driven interactions with charged particles at the cell membrane. Even more compelling is data demonstrating that while polycationic polymer particles and cationic fullerenes cause substantial disruption of biomembranes, their neutral or negatively charged counterparts fail to cause measurable effect [28]. While nanoparticles with higher positive charge may be desirable for higher toxicity to cancer cells, very high positive charge may not be suitable for in vivo cancer treatment due to rapid serum clearance [29]. Thus, tailoring the surface charge of nanoparticles is expected to influence their cytotoxicity and will likely be an important parameter for developing cancer therapies.

The overall shape and morphology of the nanomaterial is another important consideration for biomedical applications. In addition to nanoparticles, which are roughly spherical in structure, there are two-dimensional thin films which have been utilized for more than 40 years. There is also a class of one-dimensional nanostructures, commonly referred to as nanowires, which have cylindrical cross-sections of less than 100 nm but can be hundreds of microns long. This later class includes the well-described carbon nanotubes, which have a hollow interior, while other types of nanowires made of other materials are frequently solid [30,31]. Other shapes of nanomaterials are emerging concurrent with technological advancements, such as tetrapod-like ZnO nanostructures[32] and are discussed later in section 5.4. Because nanoparticles can be readily and efficiently synthesized from a wide variety of materials, including semiconductors, which can participate in cellular redox-reactions and have photocatalytic activity, they are increasingly being considered for use in biomedical applications and are the focus of this review.

3. Toxicology concerns of ZnO nanoparticles

Although nanoparticles of many different types of materials can be produced compatibility issues with living cells limits the types of nanomaterials under consideration for use in biomedical applications. ZnO is considered to be a “GRAS” (generally recognized as safe) substance by the FDA. However, the GRAS designation most commonly refers to materials in the micron to larger size range, as even these substances when reduced to the nanoscale can develop new actions of toxicity. As a result, a detailed evaluation of nanomaterial toxicity in both in vitro and in vivo systems is needed, as well as identifying means to reduce unwanted toxicity. One common approach to increase biocompatibility and reduce particle aggregation involves coating nanoparticles with discrete sized polymers to render them less toxic, more likely to be taken up by cells, and potentially more suitable for drug delivery applications [33].

The primary means by which inadvertent nanoparticle exposure in humans can occur is via inhalation, ingestion, or dermal contact. After gaining access to the circulatory system, nanoparticles can be distributed throughout the body and to specific organs [34,35], and taken up by cells through phagocytic or endocytic mechanisms [18]. The liver, heart, spleen, pancreas and bone all appear to be targeted sites of ZnO nanoparticles in mice [36], and inhalation of these particles in rats produces potent yet reversible pulmonary inflammation [37]. In humans, a common occupational pulmonary illness known as metal fume fever, an influenza-like illness resulting from inflammation of the respiratory tract, occurs when unprotected metal workers inhale metal fumes such as zinc oxide. Another common exposure route of ZnO nanoparticles in humans occurs via topical application of sunscreens and cosmetic products which incorporates these particles due to their UV absorption and transparent properties. While there remains some concern whether ZnO nanoparticles in these products can enter the body and cause toxicity, the majority of studies indicate that ZnO nanoparticles do not penetrate the skin and cause recognizable illness [38,39].
The mechanisms of cytotoxicity from ZnO nanoparticles are not completely understood, but generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is believed to be a major component. When nanoparticles interact with cells, cellular defense mechanisms are activated to minimize damage. However, if ROS production exceeds the antioxidative defensive capacity of the cell, it results in oxidative damage of biomolecules which can lead to cell death [40,41]. Nel et al. has described ROS oxidative stress as a three-tier model [2]. Tier 1 involves increases in antioxidant enzymes to start the initial antioxidant defense, followed by Tier 2 which includes an increase in potent pro-inflammatory cytokines leading to inflammation, while Tier 3 is characterized by mitochondrial perturbation resulting in cellular death by apoptosis or necrosis. All three of these levels have been observed for ZnO nanoparticles in immortalized phagocytic or bronchial epithelial cells leading to damage of lipids, proteins and DNA, increased release of lactate dehydrogenase, and death by either necrosis or apoptosis [2,12,37,42,43].

Studies have recorded some degree of toxicity from ZnO nanoparticles in a wide array of organisms including bacteria, macroalgae, yeast, protozoa, zebrafish, and mice [44-47]. Some of this toxicity has been attributed to the potential dissolvability of ZnO nanoparticles into free Zn$^{2+}$ ions [2,48,49], while others reports indicate that particle dissolution into Zn$^{2+}$ ions is not a major mechanism of cytotoxicity [42,45,50,51]. These differences in nanoparticle dissolution properties may likely be related to differences in nanoparticle synthesis conditions and procedures. Typically, physiological levels of zinc are recognized to be important for a variety of normal growth and developmental processes, as well as regulation of the immune system by controlling the activity of many different types of enzymes including transcription factors, metalloproteinases, and polymerases [52,53]. Under normal conditions, the cell has a relatively high concentration of zinc bound to various proteins, while the level of free Zn$^{2+}$ ions remain very low and tightly regulated by homeostatic mechanisms [52,54]. Excess zinc can be harmful, however, with intracellular zinc accumulation implicated in neuronal toxicity and brain injury [55]. Excess zinc consumption or inhalation has also been shown to cause ataxia and metal fume fever, respectively [37]. For instances where appreciable nanoparticle dissolution can occur, such as in acidic environments including intracellular lysosomal compartments, hydrated zinc ions in conjunction with intact ZnO nanoparticles, are suggested to lead to mitochondrial damage and disruption of cellular zinc homeostasis leading to cell death. The ultimate cytoprotective or toxic roles of zinc likely reflect the route of administration and dosage, with high concentrations of zinc salt counter-ions capable of causing cell membrane damage on their own due to osmotic disruption. Nevertheless, reduction of ZnO to the nanoscale has been shown to reveal actions of toxicity that appear to preferentially target rapidly dividing cancerous cells [10,11], which could serve as a foundation for developing novel cancer therapeutics.

4. Nanoparticles and Cancer Treatment

The use of nanomaterials as pharmaceutical carriers to enhance in vivo anti-tumor efficacy has been considered for more than 30 years [56]. The first studies on the clinical potential of nano-drug carriers as liposomes occurred in the mid-1970’s [57] where treatment of tumor bearing mice with liposome-entrapped actinomycin D was shown to significantly prolong survival. Today, the use of nanomaterials for delivery of pharmaceutical and diagnostics agents remains at the forefront of nanomedicine, where recent improvements have been described by conjugating cell specific ligands to the surface of nanoparticles resulting in greater control of drug targeting at the tissue and cellular levels, and by encapsulating drugs within nanoparticles to significantly improve drug release profiles [58-60].

Numerous preclinical studies using nanoparticle-targeted therapies in oncology are underway, although some ideas have already been brought to the clinic (Tables 1-3). The FDA-approved Abraxane®, an albumin-paclitaxel (Taxol®) nanoparticle treatment for metastatic breast cancer has shown a promising overall response rate of 33%, compared with 19% for Taxol® alone in a randomized, open-labeled trial of 454 patients. Overall side effects were fewer with the nano-based drug even though it delivered a 50% higher dose of the active Taxol® than the conventional formulation [8]. An additional example is Myocet®, a liposomal formulation of doxorubicin that has significantly improved the therapeutic index, the ratio of the amount of an agent that causes the desired therapeutic effect to that which causes unwanted cell death, compared with conventional doxorubicin. The development of Myocet® through nanotechnology has yielded a less cardiotoxic, better tolerated, and equally efficacious doxorubicin capable of extending the therapeutic options for the management of breast cancer [61].
In addition to nano-drug carriers, interest is growing regarding the ability of certain nanomaterials to mediate anti-cancer effects on their own, including metal oxides. One approach involves the successful use of TiO2 metal oxide nanoparticles to kill cancer cells when UV irradiated [62-64]. In these studies, HeLa cells were completely killed in the presence of TiO2 and UV irradiation, and in vivo tumor growth arrested up to 30 days, while no cancer cell killing was observed in the absence of TiO2 nanoparticles and UV light. Although effective for the treatment of skin cancer, a limitation of this photodynamic nanomedicine-based approach is the inability of UV light to penetrate more than 1 mm through skin, unless fiber optics or surgery are used in conjunction.

Nanomedicine-based hyperthermia is another promising therapy for cancer treatment. Infusing a tumor with magnetic or metal nanoparticles, and then exposing the patient to an alternating magnetic field or shortwave radiofrequency energy produces heat which warms areas immediately adjacent to the nanoparticles [65,66]. When sufficient supernormal temperatures are reached, the tumor cells are killed without harming surrounding healthy tissue. Both photodynamic and hyperthermic nanoparticle-based cancer approaches share the challenge of preferentially accumulating at tumor sites, unless targeting strategies are also employed. In addition to the above described applications, emerging approaches using zinc oxide nanoparticles are gaining interest for the development for new anti-cancer therapeutics and are described below.

5. ZnO Nanoparticle Properties Useful for Biomedical and Cancer Applications

ZnO is a conventional wide band-gap semiconductor that has been highly explored in multiple areas of science. ZnO nanomaterials have been used as semiconductors in microelectronic devices and for accelerating degradation of water pollutants via photocatalytic activity. Due to its inherent ability to absorb UV irradiation and optical transparency, ZnO nanoparticles are used in the cosmetic industry, typically in sunscreens and facial creams [38,67]. Their recognized antibacterial properties are also encouraging a variety of antimicrobial applications [68,69]. ZnO nanoparticles have gained interest in other biomedical applications based on their high stability, inherent photoluminescence properties which can be useful in biosensing applications, and wide band-gap semiconductor properties useful in photocatalytic systems and promotion of reactive oxygen species generation. ZnO nanoparticles have recently shown promise as cholesterol biosensors, dietary modulators for hydrolase activity relevant to controlling diabetes and hyperlipaemia, as well as cell imaging [11,70]. Additionally, ZnO nanoparticles show promise in modulating allergic reactions via inhibition of mast cell degranulation [71]. The diversity of these activities has popularized ZnO nanomaterials in interdisciplinary research communities involving physicists, chemists, and biologists.

Although ZnO nanoparticles have been used in the cosmetic industry for many years, they have only recently been explored for use in cancer applications or as active drugs themselves. The question arises as to what makes ZnO nanoparticles an attractive consideration. Clearly, this is not simply a matter of being able to synthesize nanoparticles, as nanoparticles of many different material systems can be produced. The practical limitation for biomedical applications largely comes down to issues of biocompatibility. In this regard, ZnO nanomaterials, at least sizes larger than 100 nm, are considered to be relatively biocompatible, with bulk ZnO being recognized as a GRAS substance by the FDA making them reasonable choices for drug delivery. ZnO nanowires have been shown to be biodegradable and to eventually dissolve into ions that can be adsorbed by the body and become part of the nutritional cycle, and thereby proposed for in vivo biosensing and biodetection applications [72]. The ability to synthesize ZnO into hollow nanotube-type structures [30,31] also makes them reasonable choices for drug delivery, particularly slow drug release applications.

One of the primary advantages for considering ZnO nanoparticles for use in cancer is the inherent preferential cytotoxicity against cancer cells in vitro [10,11]. It is anticipated that their cancer cell selectivity may be even further improved by engineering design to minimize harmful effects to normal body cells, which has been observed to occur at very high concentrations of ZnO nanoparticles, particularly those in the smaller size range of 4-20 nm [73]. In this regard, the surface chemistry of ZnO nanoparticles readily lends them to functionalization with targeting proteins or chemical groups, and may be a key to rendering them benign to normal cells while still retaining their cancer targeting and killing properties.
The electrostatic characteristics of ZnO nanoparticles are another useful feature for biomedical applications. Zinc oxide nanoparticles typically have neutral hydroxyl groups attached to their surface, which plays a key role in their surface charge behavior [74,75]. In aqueous medium and at high pH, the chemisorbed protons (H\(^+\)) move out from the particle surface leaving a negatively charged surface with partially bonded oxygen atoms (ZnO\(^+\)). At lower pH, protons from the environment are likely transferred to the particle surface, leading to a positive charge from surface ZnOH\(^-\)\(^+\) groups. The isoelectric point of 9-10 [76] indicates that ZnO nanoparticles will have a strong positive surface charge under physiological conditions. Given that cancer cells frequently contain a high concentration of anionic phospholipids on their outer membrane and large membrane potentials [23-25], interactions with positively charged ZnO nanoparticles are expected to be driven by electrostatic interactions, thereby promoting cellular uptake, phagocytosis and ultimate cytotoxicity.

The concentration of various chemical groups (-ZnOH\(^+\), -ZnOH, -ZnO-) on the surface of ZnO nanoparticles is pH dependent [77]. The availability of chemical reactive groups lends ZnO nanoparticles to antibody/protein functionalization via N-hydroxysuccinimide/1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (NHS/EDC) coupling chemistry [78], as well as other standard coupling approaches, which can further improve cancer cell targeting. ZnO nanoparticles have also been shown to exhibit strong protein adsorption properties, which can be used to modulate cytotoxicity, metabolism or other cellular responses [79].

Another important feature of ZnO nanoparticles is the relatively straightforward process that allows their size and size distribution to be controlled. Studies demonstrate that the cytotoxic properties of ZnO nanoparticles against cancerous cells is directly related to size, with smaller nanoparticles exhibiting greater toxicity [13,15,73]. By tailoring nanoparticle size, it is possible to take the greatest advantage of the EPR/enhanced permeation and retention effect for increasing intra-tumor concentrations. Another important consideration is that hydrophilic nanoparticles of 100 nm size or less tend to remain in circulation considerably longer and are more likely to avoid clearance by macrophages and rapid serum clearance by the reticuloendothelial system [17]. In contrast, particles with a preponderance of hydrophobic surfaces tend to be preferentially taken up by the liver, followed by the spleen and lungs [17]. The ability to modify the surface and electrostatic characteristics (zeta-potential) of ZnO nanoparticles is a desirable feature, as well as their spherical morphology which is well suited for removal from the blood stream by the kidneys to help avoid build up of these materials in the liver. The zeta potential of metal oxide nanoparticles can be varied from -30 mV in uncoated samples to +50 mV when coated with cationic surfactants such as CTAB (cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide), by using different anionic, cationic and non-ionic surface groups including polymethyl methacrylate, sodium dodecyl sulfate, bovine serum albumin, and by varying reaction medium and chemical precursors [80,81]. The detailed evaluation of variations in ZnO nanoparticle electrostatic charge in in vivo systems is important for identifying the optimal charge needed to mediate cancer cell adhesion and cytotoxicity, yet avoid rapid circulation clearance and end-organ toxicities.

Another feature of ZnO nanoparticles, as stated earlier, is their ability to induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, which can lead to cell death when the antioxidative capacity of the cell is exceeded [12,41,82-84]. The ability of ZnO nanoparticles to generate ROS is related to their semiconductor properties. Unlike metals, which have a continuum of electronic states, the electrons in semiconductors can have energies only within certain bands. The void region which extends from the top of the filled valence band to the bottom of the vacant conduction band is called the band gap and is ~3.3 eV for crystalline ZnO [85]. Consequently, light of certain wavelengths (i.e. UV) contains sufficient energy to promote electrons (e\(^-\)) to the conduction band to leave behind electron holes (h\(^+\)), or unoccupied states in the valence band. Electrons and holes often recombine quickly, but can also migrate to the nanoparticle surface where they react with adsorbed species enabling 1) electrons to react with oxygen, and 2) holes to react with hydroxyl ions or water to form superoxide and hydroxyl radicals. Such photo-oxidations by ZnO have been traditionally used for photocatalytic oxidation of organic and inorganic pollutants, and sensitizers for the photodestruction of cancer cells [14,62,63] and bacteria [15] via oxidative damage. However, for nanoscale ZnO, large numbers of valence band holes and/or conduction band electrons are thought to be available to serve in redox reactions even in the absence of UV light [51]. One of the reasons is that as ZnO nanoparticle size decreases, so does the nanocrystal quality, which results in increased interstitial zinc ions and oxygen vacancies, and possibly donor/acceptor impurities [86]. These crystal defects can lead to a large number of electron-hole pairs (e\(^-\) - h\(^+\)). The holes are powerful oxidants and can split water molecules derived from the ZnO aqueous environment into H\(^+\) and
OH-. The conduction band electrons are good reducers and can move to the particle surface to react with dissolved oxygen molecules to generate superoxide radical anions (\(\bullet O_2^-\)), which in turn react with H\(^+\) to generate (HO\(_2^+\)) radicals. These HO\(_2^+\) molecules can then produce hydrogen peroxide anions (HO\(_2^\)) following a subsequent encounter with electrons. Hydrogen peroxide anions can then react with hydrogen ions to produce hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) [87,88]. The relative positions of the band edges for the conduction and valence band for ZnO, and the redox potential for adsorbed substances provides a sufficiently large overpotential (voltage differences) to drive redox reactions and ROS generation in cellular environments [89-91]. The various ROS molecules produced in this fashion can trigger redox-cycling cascades in the cell, or on adjacent cell membranes, leading to depletion of endogenous cellular reserves of antioxidants such that irreparable oxidative damage to cells occurs.

The doping of ZnO nanoparticles with transition metal ions has been demonstrated [85,92,93], and may be another approach to improve their therapeutic potential as transition metals can potentiate redox-cycling cascades. It is postulated that incorporation of Fe\(^{3+}\) into the ZnO crystal lattice enhances the particle’s ability to generate ROS by catalyzing the dissociation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) to a hydroxyl radical and hydroxide ion, or to a hydrogen ion and hydroperoxy radical following the Fenton’s reaction [94,95]. In support of this, recent studies have shown that Fe\(^{3+}\) supported on bulk ZnO improves catalytic activity for H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production [87], and introduction of free transition metal ions can induce protein oxidation and redox state within cells [96]. Although a conflicting report suggests iron-doping of ZnO may not function in this manner [97], recent data from our laboratory is consistent with increased ROS capacity and may reflect differences in nanoparticle synthesis resulting in variations in surface structure and charge. Thus, the engineering of metal oxide nanoparticles to incorporate metal dopants may be a means to enhance ROS generation leading to improved cancer cell killing.

5.1. ZnO Nanoparticles and Cancer Cell Cytotoxicity
Several studies have suggested an increase in in vitro cytotoxicity with nanophase ZnO compared to micron-sized ZnO for several types of cancers including glioma, breast, bone, colon, and leukemias and lymphomas [10,11,13,98]. In most of these studies, however, a systematic review of cancer cell cytotoxicity compared to relevant non-immortalized cell types was not performed. Perhaps the most compelling evidence of ZnO preferential toxicity comes from controlled studies comparing nanoparticle susceptibility of cancerous cells to primary non-immortalized cells of identical lineage. These studies showed that cancerous cells of lymphocytic lineage were ~28-35 times more susceptible to ZnO nanoparticle-induced cytotoxicity compared to their normal counterparts [10,11,73]. This high degree of selective cancer cell killing exceeds the ex vivo therapeutic indices of ≤ 10 reported for commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs such as doxorubicin and carboplatin against a variety of leukemias, lymphomas, and solid tumors using similar biological assays. The preferential cytotoxicity was found to be dependent upon the proliferation status of cells, with rapidly dividing cells being the most susceptible [10,73]. Based on a growing body of evidence, ROS production is proposed as a key cytotoxic mechanism of ZnO nanoparticles [43,43,50,73] leading to cell death via an apoptotic mechanism.

Based on the self-lighting photodynamic therapy concept, photoactivation of ZnO nanoparticles is predicted to lead to greater levels of ROS release which, if effectively targeted to cancer cells, will lead to their selective destruction. Recent supporting studies have described the ability of ZnO nanoparticles conjugated to porphyrin to synergistically induce cytotoxicity in ovarian cancer upon exposure to UV A light, while little cytotoxicity was observed under dark conditions, or with UV exposure in the absence of nanoparticles [16]. Similar studies have demonstrated that co-administration of ZnO nanoparticles and the chemotherapeutic drug, daunorubicin, resulted in synergistic cytotoxic effects on leukemic cancer cells, which was further enhanced by UV irradiation [13]. Collectively, these reports indicate that photoactivation of ZnO nanoparticles conjugated to tumor ligands may be useful for the targeted destruction of cancer cells. Future efforts in this area of research are expected to investigate direct drug conjugation or encapsulation within the ZnO nanocrystal structure to further improve anti-cancer efficacy as discussed below.

5.2. Metal Oxide Nanoparticles as Vehicles for Drug Delivery
The development of tumor-specific nanoparticles as vehicles for self-sustained drug delivery is currently an area of intense research with the potential to revolutionize cancer treatment. Nanotechnology may make it possible to improve the delivery of poorly water-soluble drugs, target delivery of drugs to specific cell or tissue sites, co-deliver two or more drugs, and aid in the visualization of drug site delivery by combining therapeutic agents with
imaging modalities [99]. Using nanoparticles for drug delivery of anticancer agents has significant advantages including the ability to target specific locations in the body, reduce the overall amount of drug used, and the potential to reduce drug concentrations at nontarget sites resulting in fewer side effects. Recently, the use of ZnO quantum dots loaded with doxorubicin has proved to be an effective drug carrier characterized by an initial rapid drug release followed by a controlled release in vitro [100]. In this study, ZnO nanoparticles were encapsulated with chitosan to enhance the nanomaterial stability due to its hydrophilicity and cationic charge characteristics. Although ZnO nanomaterials have only recently been investigated for use as a drug delivery system, the feasibility of this approach has been demonstrated in related metal oxide systems. Iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles have been successfully used for loading high doses of water-insoluble anticancer agents to mediate dose-dependent anti-proliferative effects in breast and prostate cancer lines [101]. Iron oxide nanoparticles have also been used to deliver therapeutic agents by conjugation to both a chemotherapeutic agent, methotrexate, and a cancer targeting ligand, chlorotoxin [102]. These multifunctional nanoparticles showed increased cytotoxicity to tumor cells and prolonged tumor retention in vivo. Cerium oxide nanoparticles loaded with carboxybenzenesulfonamide have also been used to inhibit human carbonic anhydrase, a metalloenzyme associated with glaucoma, a major cause of blindness [103]. Thus, the relative biocompatibility of metal oxide nanomaterials and the ability to functionalize them with targeting moieties make them important for consideration as drug release platforms.

5.3. Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Tumor Imaging and Early Cancer Detection

Interest is growing regarding the use of ZnO and other metal oxide nanomaterials for use as biomarkers for cancer diagnosis, screening, and imaging. Recent studies have shown that ZnO nanoparticle cores capped with polymethyl methacrylate are useful in the detection of low abundant biomarkers [104]. These nanobeads work by facilitating surface absorption of peptide/proteins from cell extracts enabling increased sensitivity and accuracy of cancer biomarker detection using mass spectrometry. Using another approach, a ZnO nanorod-based cancer biomarker assay has been developed for high-throughput detection of ultralow levels of the telomerase activity for cancer diagnosis and screening [105].

In an additional approach, multiple reports have described the successful use of iron oxide nanoparticles as contrast agents for cancer detection. Superparamagnetic oxide nanoparticles coated with a cell resistant polymer have been shown to accumulate within tumor sites via the EPR/enhanced permeation and retention effect in tumor xenograft mice model using magnetic resonance imaging [106]. In another report, the surface of nanoparticles composed of an iron oxide core and oleic acid coating were modified with various pluronic and tetronic block copolymers and shown to provide superior in-vivo tumor imaging properties compared to Feridex IV, a commonly used contrast agent [107]. These modified nanoparticles exhibited an extended systemic circulation half-life and reduced clearance properties allowing them to diffuse throughout the tumor vasculature to act as whole tumor contrast agents. While the superparamagnetic properties of iron oxide nanoparticles offer an advantage for magnetic resonance imaging compared to ZnO, ZnO composite nanomaterials may ultimately prove useful for tumor imaging in the future.

5.4. Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Targeted Gene Delivery

Nanoparticles are also being studied for use as vehicles for targeted gene delivery to tumor sites. One of the advantages of this approach is that the enclosure of the expression plasmid, or conjugation/absorption of the nucleic acid to the nanoparticle surface ensures safe and efficient gene delivery to the desired tissue. Another advantage relies on the capability of nanoparticles to be taken up by specific cells and internalized to the nucleus according to their surface chemistry. The feasibility of this approach has been validated by a growing number of studies including the reported in vivo studies demonstrating inhibition of metastasis in melanoma tumor bearing mice treated with poly-L-lysine modified iron oxide nanoparticles carrying the NM23-H1 gene [108]. These findings are consistent with reports that this gene product inhibits metastasis in certain types of cancers. A relatively new non-invasive nanoparticle vehicle called a tetrapod avoids the requirement of cellular internationalization. These nanomaterials can be made of various materials and possess four needle-shaped legs reminiscent of the mechanism by which phages deliver genetic material to bacteria. Recently, ZnO tetrapod-like nanostructures have been synthesized as novel carriers for gene delivery. These functionalized tetrapods, consisting of silica-coated amino-modified tetrapod-like ZnO nanostructures, are able to effectively bind plasmid DNA through electrostatic interactions and
enhance transfection efficiency of A375 cells [32,109]. Polycation-capped ZnO quantum dots have been recently
developed and shown to mediate efficient DNA transfer into COS-7 cells, and at the same time allow for real-time
imaging of gene transfer [110]. Thus, with continued research, ZnO and metal oxide nanomaterials may provide an
effective means for targeted gene delivery and gene silencing for next-generation cancer applications.

6. ZnO Nanoparticles and Proinflammatory Cytokines
ZnO nanoparticle exposure has been shown to induce the production of a variety of pro-inflammatory cytokines,
including TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-12, in \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} pulmonary inhalation studies [37,73,111,112]. The ability
of ZnO nanoparticles to induce pro-inflammatory cytokines at nanoparticle concentrations below those causing
appreciable cell death suggests that, when used at appropriate concentrations, they could enhance tumor cell killing
through the production of TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor), a cytokine named for its potent anti-tumor activities [113].
Nanoparticle-induced cytokines could also facilitate effective anti-cancer actions by eliciting a cytokine profile
crucial for directing the development of Th1-mediated immunity [114]. The Th1 lymphocyte subset plays an
essential role in enhancing the natural cytotoxic potential of natural killer cells and T cytotoxic cells against cancer
cells. As high level or chronic exposure to TNF-α has been shown to produce serious detrimental effects on the host
[113], the magnitude of TNF-α and other pro-inflammatory cytokines, and their delivery to tumor sites will
undoubtedly be important parameters when considering ZnO nanoparticles for biomedical purposes to achieve
desired therapeutic response without eliciting potential systemic damaging effects. Thus, a careful titration of ZnO
nanoparticle-based therapeutic interventions may be successful in elevating a group of cytokines important for
eliciting a Th1-mediated immune response with effective anti-cancer actions without exacerbating the recognized
relationship between chronic inflammation and tumorigenesis.

7. Conclusion
As nanotechnology increases in scale and novelty, new applications and uses are continually being discovered.
Some of the most exciting advances include using nanotechnology to combat cancer. Currently, some nanobased
cancer treatments are in clinical use or the development pipeline. This review has focused on ZnO nanoparticles,
which have only recently begun to be investigated with respect to cancer applications. Specific properties and
characteristics of ZnO nanoparticles, such as their inherent toxicity against cancerous cells, at least for cells of
lymphocytic origin, their ability to induce intracellular ROS generation leading to death via an apoptotic mechanism,
and their physiochemical properties leading to cellular uptake and ease of functionalization make them an appealing
candidate for biomedical applications.

8. Expert Opinion:
Nanotechnology has already provided significant breakthroughs in medicine and cancer applications. The potential
benefits of metal oxide nanomaterials for tumor imaging, controlled drug delivery, and targeted cancer cell killing
can be enormous and may offer clinical therapeutic platforms that simply do not exist today. There are multiple
characteristics of ZnO that make these nanomaterials attractive considerations including their versatility, relative
ease of synthesis, ability to tailor their physiochemical characteristics, ability to functionalize them with
chemotherapeutic drugs and cancer targeting molecules, and their desirable cancer cell cytotoxicity profile. By
building upon the inherent cancer cell cytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles, and fine tuning their size, shape and
surface properties during the synthesis process, it may be possible to identify the physiochemical properties that take
the greatest advantage of the EPR/enhanced permeation and retention effect and bypass multidrug resistance of the
cell membrane. Likewise, the optimum balance of cationic surface charge to encourage cell membrane interactions
without promoting rapid clearance from serum by macrophages and the RES may be identified, as well as optimum
morphology to increase the likelihood of recognizing specific biological targets and control blood vessel wall
adhesion strength relevant to cellular internalization. It is the expectation that systematic investigations can identify
ZnO nanomaterial characteristics capable of overcoming at least some of the major barriers needed for more
effective cancer treatments.

Although metal oxide nanomaterials hold potential for improving human health, there are still multiple challenges to
bring these materials to the clinic. One of the obstacles is that there is current misunderstanding regarding the
biological effects and cytotoxicity profiles of ZnO nanoparticles. The discrepancies in the literature are likely
attributable to the lack of common understanding between life scientists and materials scientists regarding the
other’s limitations and capabilities. Nanoparticles are not necessarily identical from batch-to-batch and may display alterations in surface chemistry or size distribution. Life scientists might not appreciate the difficulty in controlling the synthesis process, while nanotechnologists might not appreciate the sensitivity of mammalian cells to these variations. There is also concern that researchers may treat ZnO nanoparticles made by different synthesis methods as a single entity with insufficient regard to their potential to exert different biological responses. Other confounding factors include differences in handling, pH variations of the dispersion media, long term stability versus freshly prepared nanoparticles, impurities, humidity variations during the synthesis, and variations in aspect ratio or agglomeration potential. In sum, a lack of careful surface and physiochemical characterizations of ZnO nanoparticles has led to much of the current confusion regarding the biological responses elicited from these materials. What is needed to avoid these types of problems is a better understanding of the intersecting areas of science between nanomaterial scientists and biologists, such that collaborations allow for the effective exchange of information and methodology to advance the field.

Currently, the work with metal oxide nanoparticles in medicine is at a preliminary stage. Nevertheless, the use of metal oxide nanomaterials represents an expanding domain for the diagnosis and treatment of cancer. Currently insufficient in vivo data is available to know the biological effects of these materials with respect to inflammation and functional alterations at the cellular or whole body level. There is a need to deepen this knowledge to determine if potential advantages for these nanomedicines outweigh potential dangers associated with nanotoxicity. Although ZnO nanoparticles are widely used in the cosmetic industry and evidence against skin penetration is encouraging, there remains some debate regarding epidermal penetration and lingering questions regarding the safety of these materials. Most studies have been performed in vitro with limited longitudinal in vivo studies to assess long-term effects to kidneys, liver, and spleen, and whether the particles are cleared from the body, dissolve, or remain indefinitely. As drug carriers, ZnO nanomaterials have an advantage over dissolvable polymers in that they can exist in the body for considerable periods of time. Nanoparticles can enhance the circulation half-life of drugs to several hours allowing time to reach the cancer, while single drug molecule half-lives are usually limited to a few minutes and can require repeated injections. Nanoparticle drug carriers also have the advantage of being small enough to pass through the capillaries yet large enough not to slip through endothelial gap junctions. However, ZnO nanoparticles have a potential disadvantage to build up in the body and cause organ toxicities or breakdown in unpredictable ways. The ability of ZnO nanoparticles to induce expression of proinflammatory cytokines under certain conditions also indicates that care to dosing regimens will be essential given the recognized relationship of chronic inflammation and tumorigenesis. Although it is tempting to speculate that ZnO nanomaterials may ultimately be developed into a next generation cancer treatment, clearly more data is needed to unequivocally determine their long-term health risks.

For the in vivo potential of nanotechnology in cancer therapy to be fully realized, nanomaterials have to get “smarter”, meaning better able to destroy pathogenic cells while producing negligible off-target effects to normal cells and tissues. For this to occur, it is essential to gain a clear understanding of both physiochemical determinants and physiological processes, which will likely vary with respect to the type and location of the particular cancer, as well as the method of delivery into the body. The future of nanomedicine will depend upon the intelligent design of nanomaterials based around a thorough understanding of cancer biology rather than trying to force the application of popular nanomaterials, including ZnO, to cancer treatment. It is important that synergies between clinicians, biologists and material scientists be strengthened so that future research focuses on developing the tools needed by clinicians rather than what basic scientists perceive as important. An additional stumbling block is the uncertainty of whether nanotechnology-specific medical regulations will be implemented that could add further requirements to the approval process and thereby hamper the commercialization potential. Nanomedicine is still technology driven with many scientific challenges lying ahead. However, it represents a growing field with promise to address the long standing need for new and improved anti-cancer therapies.